THIS FILING IS	
Item 1: [X] An Initial (Original) Submission	OR [ ] Resubmission No. ____

Form 2 Approved
OMB No.1902-0028
(Expires 10/31/2014)

Form 3-Q Approved
OMB No.1902-0205
(Expires 05/31/2014)

FERC FINANCIAL REPORT
FERC FORM No. 2: Annual Report of Major Natural Gas Companies and Supplemental Form 3-Q: Quarterly Financial Report

These reports are mandatory under the Natural Gas Act, Sections 10(a), and 16 and 18 CFR Parts 260.1 and 260.300. Failure to report may result in criminal fines, civil penalties, and other sanctions as provided by law. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission does not consider these reports to be of a confidential nature.

Exact Legal Name of Respondent (Company)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	End of 2012/Q4

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Deloitte.

Deloitte & Touche LLP
550 South Tryon Street
Suite 2500
Charlotte, NC 28202
USA

Tel: 704-887-1500
www.deloitte.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
Charlotte, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (the "Company"), which comprise the balance sheet — regulatory basis as of December 31, 2012, and the related statements of income — regulatory basis, retained earnings — regulatory basis, and cash flows — regulatory basis for the year then ended, included on pages 110 through 122 of the accompanying Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Form 2, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the accounting requirements of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts and published accounting releases; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the regulatory basis financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets, liabilities, and proprietary capital of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. as of December 31, 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with the accounting requirements of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts and published accounting releases.

Basis of Accounting

As discussed on pages 122.2 and 122.3, these financial statements were prepared in accordance with the accounting requirements of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts and published accounting releases, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Restricted Use

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of the Company and for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

April 15, 2013

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

QUARTERLY/ANNUAL REPORT OF MAJOR NATURAL GAS COMPANIES

IDENTIFICATION

01 Exact Legal Name of Respondent	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	End of 2012/Q4

03 Previous Name and Date of Change (If name changed during year)

04 Address of Principal Office at End of Year (Street, City, State, Zip Code)
139 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

05 Name of Contact Person	06 Title of Contact Person
Sharon Hood	Lead Accounting Analyst

07 Address of Contact Person (Street, City, State, Zip Code)
550 South Tryon Street, Charlotte, NC 28202

08 Telephone of Contact Person, Including Area Code	This Report Is:	10 Date of Report
704-382-3451	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	*(Mo, Da, Yr)*

ANNUAL CORPORATE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

The undersigned officer certifies that:

I have examined this report and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief all statements of fact contained in this report are correct statements of the business affairs of the respondent and the financial statements, and other financial information contained in this report, conform in all material respects to the Uniform System of Accounts.

11 Name	12 Title
Steven K. Young	VP/Chief Accounting Officer/Controller
13 Signature: Steven K. Young	14 Date Signed: 04/15/2013

Title 18, U.S.C. 1001, makes it a crime for any person knowingly and willingly to make to any Agency or Department of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

List of Schedules (Natural Gas Company)

Enter in column (d) the terms "none," "not applicable," or "NA" as appropriate, where no information or amounts have been reported for certain pages. Omit pages where the responses are "none," "not applicable," or "NA."

Line No.	Title of Schedule (a)	Reference Page No. (b)	Date Revised (c)	Remarks (d)
	GENERAL CORPORATE INFORMATION AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS			
1	General Information	101		
2	Control Over Respondent	102		
3	Corporations Controlled by Respondent	103		
4	Security Holders and Voting Powers	107		
5	Important Changes During the Year	108		
6	Comparative Balance Sheet	110-113		
7	Statement of Income for the Year	114-116		
8	Statement of Accumulated Comprehensive Income and Hedging Activities	117		
9	Statement of Retained Earnings for the Year	118-119		
10	Statements of Cash Flows	120-121		
11	Notes to Financial Statements	122		
	BALANCE SHEET SUPPORTING SCHEDULES (Assets and Other Debits)			
12	Summary of Utility Plant and Accumulated Provisions for Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion	200-201		
13	Gas Plant in Service	204-209		
14	Gas Property and Capacity Leased from Others	212		
15	Gas Property and Capacity Leased to Others	213		N/A
16	Gas Plant Held for Future Use	214		N/A
17	Construction Work in Progress-Gas	216		
18	Non-Traditional Rate Treatment Afforded New Projects	217		N/A
19	General Description of Construction Overhead Procedure	218		
20	Accumulated Provision for Depreciation of Gas Utility Plant	219		
21	Gas Stored	220		
22	Investments	222-223		
23	Investments in Subsidiary Companies	224-225		
24	Prepayments	230		
25	Extraordinary Property Losses	230		N/A
26	Unrecovered Plant and Regulatory Study Costs	230		N/A
27	Other Regulatory Assets	232		
28	Miscellaneous Deferred Debits	233		
29	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	234-235		
	BALANCE SHEET SUPPORTING SCHEDULES (Liabilities and Other Credits)			
30	Capital Stock	250-251		
31	Capital Stock Subscribed, Capital Stock Liability for Conversion, Premium on Capital Stock, and Installments Received on Capital Stock	252		N/A
32	Other Paid-in Capital	253		
33	Discount on Capital Stock	254		N/A
34	Capital Stock Expense	254		N/A
35	Securities issued or Assumed and Securities Refunded or Retired During the Year	255		
36	Long-Term Debt	256-257		
37	Unamortized Debt Expense, Premium, and Discount on Long-Term Debt	258-259		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

List of Schedules (Natural Gas Company) (continued)

Enter in column (d) the terms "none," "not applicable," or "NA" as appropriate, where no information or amounts have been reported for certain pages. Omit pages where the responses are "none," "not applicable," or "NA."

Line No.	Title of Schedule (a)	Reference Page No. (b)	Date Revised (c)	Remarks (d)
38	Unamortized Loss and Gain on Reacquired Debt	260		
39	Reconciliation of Reported Net Income with Taxable Income for Federal Income Taxes	261		
40	Taxes Accrued, Prepaid, and Charged During Year	262-263		
41	Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Liabilities	268		
42	Other Deferred Credits	269		
43	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes-Other Property	274-275		
44	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes-Other	276-277		
45	Other Regulatory Liabilities	278		
	INCOME ACCOUNT SUPPORTING SCHEDULES			
46	Monthly Quantity & Revenue Data by Rate Schedule	299		
47	Gas Operating Revenues	300-301		
48	Revenues from Transportation of Gas of Others Through Gathering Facilities	302-303		N/A
49	Revenues from Transportation of Gas of Others Through Transmission Facilities	304-305		N/A
50	Revenues from Storage Gas of Others	306-307		N/A
51	Other Gas Revenues	308		
52	Discounted Rate Services and Negotiated Rate Services	313		N/A
53	Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses	317-325		
54	Exchange and Imbalance Transactions	328		
55	Gas Used in Utility Operations	331		N/A
56	Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	332		N/A
57	Other Gas Supply Expenses	334		N/A
58	Miscellaneous General Expenses-Gas	335		
59	Depreciation, Depletion, and Amortization of Gas Plant	336-338		
60	Particulars Concerning Certain Income Deduction and Interest Charges Accounts	340		
	COMMON SECTION			
61	Regulatory Commission Expenses	350-351		
62	Employee Pensions and Benefits (Account 926)	352		
63	Distribution of Salaries and Wages	354-355		
64	Charges for Outside Professional and Other Consultative Services	357		
65	Transactions with Associated (Affiliated) Companies	358		
	GAS PLANT STATISTICAL DATA			
66	Compressor Stations	508-509		N/A
67	Gas Storage Projects	512-513		N/A
68	Transmission Lines	514		N/A
69	Transmission System Peak Deliveries	518		N/A
70	Auxiliary Peaking Facilities	519		
71	Gas Account-Natural Gas	520		
72	Shipper Supplied Gas for the Current Quarter	521		N/A
73	System Map	522		N/A
74	Footnote Reference	551		N/A
75	Footnote Text	552		N/A
76	Stockholder's Reports (check appropriate box)			
	[ ] Four copies will be submitted [X] No annual report to stockholders is prepared			

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

General Information

1. Provide name and title of officer having custody of the general corporate books of account and address of office where the general corporate books are kept and address of office where any other corporate books of account are kept, if different from that where the general corporate books are kept.

Steven K. Young
Controller, Chief Accounting Officer & Vice President
550 South Tryon Street
Charlotte, NC 28202

2. Provide the name of the State under the laws of which respondent is incorporated and date of incorporation. If incorporated under a special law, give reference to such law. If not incorporated, state that fact and give the type of organization and the date organized.

State of Ohio
Date of Incorporation: April 3, 1837

3. If at any time during the year the property of respondent was held by a receiver or trustee, give (a) name of receiver or trustee, (b) date such receiver or trustee took possession, (c) the authority by which the receivership or trusteeship was created, and (d) date when possession by receiver or trustee ceased.

Not applicable

4. State the classes of utility and other services furnished by respondent during the year in each State in which the respondent operated.

Ohio - Gas and Electric

5. Have you engaged as the principal accountant to audit your financial statements an accountant who is not the principal accountant for your previous year's certified financial statements?

(1) [ ] Yes... Enter the date when such independent accountant was initially engaged:
(2) [X] No

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Control Over Respondent

1. Report in column (a) the names of all corporations, partnerships, business trusts, and similar organizations that directly, indirectly, or jointly held control (see page 103 for definition of control) over the respondent at the end of the year. If control is in a holding company organization, report in a footnote the chain of organization.
2. If control is held by trustees, state in a footnote the names of trustees, the names of beneficiaries for whom the trust is maintained, and the purpose of the trust.
3. In column (b) designate type of control over the respondent. Report an "M" if the company is the main parent or controlling company having ultimate control over the respondent. Otherwise, report a "D" for direct, an "I" for indirect, or a "J" for joint control.

Line No.	Company Name (a)	Type of Control (b)	State of Incorporation (c)	Percent Voting Stock Owned (d)
1	Cinergy Corp	M	DE	100.00
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Corporations Controlled by Respondent

1. Report below the names of all corporations, business trusts, and similar organizations, controlled directly or indirectly by respondent at any time during the year. If control ceased prior to end of year, give particulars (details) in a footnote.
2. If control was by other means than a direct holding of voting rights, state in a footnote the manner in which control was held, naming any intermediaries involved.
3. If control was held jointly with one or more other interests, state the fact in a footnote and name the other interests.
4. In column (b) designate type of control of the respondent as "D" for direct, an "I" for indirect, or a "J" for joint control.

DEFINITIONS

---

1. See the Uniform System of Accounts for a definition of control.
2. Direct control is that which is exercised without interposition of an intermediary.
3. Indirect control is that which is exercised by the interposition of an intermediary that exercises direct control.
4. Joint control is that in which neither interest can effectively control or direct action without the consent of the other, as where the voting control is equally divided between two holders, or each party holds a veto power over the other. Joint control may exist by mutual agreement or understanding between two or more parties who together have control within the meaning of the definition of control in the Uniform System of Accounts, regardless of the relative voting rights of each party.

Line No.	Name of Company Controlled (a)	Type of Control (b)	Kind of Business (c)	Percent Voting Stock Owned (d)	Footnote Reference (e)
1	DECAM Generation Holdco, LLC	D	Public Utility	100	Not used
2	Duke Energy Beckjord, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
3	Duke Energy Commercial Asset Management	D	Public Utility	100	Not used
4	Duke Energy Conesville, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
5	Duke Energy Dicks Creek, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
6	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	D	Public Utility	100	Not used
7	Duke Energy Killen, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
8	Duke Energy Miami Fort, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
9	Duke Energy Piketon, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
10	Duke Energy Stuart, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
11	Duke Energy Zimmer, LLC	I	Public Utility	100	Not used
12	KO Transmission Company	D	Transportation of Energy	100	Not used
13	Miami Power Corporation	D	Transmission of Electric	100	Not used
14	Ohio Valley Electric Corporation	J	Owns Generating Facility	9	Not used
15	Sugartree Timber, LLC	D	Real Estate	100	Not used
16	Tri-State Improvement Company	D	Public Utility	100	Not used
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Security Holders and Voting Powers

1. Give the names and addresses of the 10 security holders of the respondent who, at the date of the latest closing of the stock book or compilation of list of stockholders of the respondent, prior to the end of the year, had the highest voting powers in the respondent, and state the number of votes that each could cast on that date if a meeting were held. If any such holder held in trust, give in a footnote the known particulars of the trust (whether voting trust, etc.), duration of trust, and principal holders of beneficiary interests in the trust. If the company did not close the stock book or did not compile a list of stockholders within one year prior to the end of the year, or if since it compiled the previous list of stockholders, some other class of security has become vested with voting rights, then show such 10 security holders as of the close of the year. Arrange the names of the security holders in the order of voting power, commencing with the highest. Show in column (a) the titles of officers and directors included in such list of 10 security holders.
2. If any security other than stock carries voting rights, explain in a supplemental statement how such security became vested with voting rights and give other important details concerning the voting rights of such security. State whether voting rights are actual or contingent; if contingent, describe the contingency.
3. If any class or issue of security has any special privileges in the election of directors, trustees or managers, or in the determination of corporate action by any method, explain briefly in a footnote.
4. Furnish details concerning any options, warrants, or rights outstanding at the end of the year for others to purchase securities of the respondent or any securities or other assets owned by the respondent, including prices, expiration dates, and other material information relating to exercise of the options, warrants, or rights. Specify the amount of such securities or assets any officer, director, associated company, or any of the 10 largest security holders is entitled to purchase. This instruction is inapplicable to convertible securities or to any securities substantially all of which are outstanding in the hands of the general public where the options, warrants,

1. Give date of the latest closing of the stock book prior to end of year, and, in a footnote, state the purpose of such closing:	2. State the total number of votes cast at the latest general meeting prior to the end of year for election of directors of the respondent and number of such votes cast by proxy. Total: 89663086 By Proxy:	3. Give the date and place of such meeting:

Line No.	Name (Title) and Address of Security Holder (a)	VOTING SECURITIES 4. Number of votes as of (date): Total Votes (b)	Common Stock (c)	Preferred Stock (d)	Other (e)
5	TOTAL votes of all voting securities	89,663,086	89,663,086		
6	TOTAL number of security holders	1	1		
7	TOTAL votes of security holders listed below	89,663,086	89,663,086		
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) X An Original (2) __ A Resubmission	/ /	2012/Q4

Important Changes During the Quarter/Year

Give details concerning the matters indicated below. Make the statements explicit and precise, and number them in accordance with the inquiries. Answer each inquiry. Enter "none" or "not applicable" where applicable. If the answer is given elsewhere in the report, refer to the schedule in which it appears.

1. Changes in and important additions to franchise rights: Describe the actual consideration and state from whom the franchise rights were acquired. If the franchise rights were acquired without the payment of consideration, state that fact.
2. Acquisition of ownership in other companies by reorganization, merger, or consolidation with other companies: Give names of companies involved, particulars concerning the transactions, name of the Commission authorizing the transaction, and reference to Commission authorization.
3. Purchase or sale of an operating unit or system: Briefly describe the property, and the related transactions, and cite Commission authorization, if any was required. Give date journal entries called for by Uniform System of Accounts were submitted to the Commission.
4. Important leaseholds (other than leaseholds for natural gas lands) that have been acquired or given, assigned or surrendered: Give effective dates, lengths of terms, names of parties, rents, and other conditions. State name of Commission authorizing lease and give reference to such authorization.
5. Important extension or reduction of transmission or distribution system: State territory added or relinquished and date operations began or ceased and cite Commission authorization, if any was required. State also the approximate number of customers added or lost and approximate annual revenues of each class of service.

Each natural gas company must also state major new continuing sources of gas made available to it from purchases, development, purchase contract or otherwise, giving location and approximate total gas volumes available, period of contracts, and other parties to any such arrangements, etc.

6. Obligations incurred or assumed by respondent as guarantor for the performance by another of any agreement or obligation, including ordinary commercial paper maturing on demand or not later than one year after date of issue: State on behalf of whom the obligation was assumed and amount of the obligation. Cite Commission authorization if any was required.
7. Changes in articles of incorporation or amendments to charter: Explain the nature and purpose of such changes or amendments.
8. State the estimated annual effect and nature of any important wage scale changes during the year.
9. State briefly the status of any materially important legal proceedings pending at the end of the year, and the results of any such proceedings culminated during the year.
10. Describe briefly any materially important transactions of the respondent not disclosed elsewhere in this report in which an officer, director, security holder, voting trustee, associated company or known associate of any of these persons was a party or in which any such person had a material interest.
11. Estimated increase or decrease in annual revenues caused by important rate changes: State effective date and approximate amount of increase or decrease for each revenue classification. State the number of customers affected.
12. Describe fully any changes in officers, directors, major security holders and voting powers of the respondent that may have occurred during the reporting period.
13. In the event that the respondent participates in a cash management program(s) and its proprietary capital ratio is less than 30 percent please describe the significant events or transactions causing the proprietary capital ratio to be less than 30 percent, and the extent to which the respondent has amounts loaned or money advanced to its parent, subsidiary, or affiliated companies through a cash management program(s). Additionally, please describe plans, if any to regain at least a 30 percent proprietary ratio.

1. None

2. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 2, "Acquisitions and Dispositions of Businesses and Sales of Other Assets"

3. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 2, "Acquisitions and Dispositions of Businesses and Sales of Other Assets" and Note 4, "Regulatory Matters"

4. None

5. None

6. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 6, "Debt and Credit Facilities"

7. None

8. No wage scale changes during the year for union or non-union employees.

9. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 4, "Regulatory Matters" and Note 5, "Commitments and Contingencies"

10. None

11. None

12. The officer and director appointments and resignations that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2012 are as follows:

APPOINTMENTS

Effective 12/17/2012

Lloyd M. Yates	Director & Executive Vice President-Regulated Utilities
Julia S. Janson	Executive Vice President & Chief Legal Officer
B. Keith Trent	Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer-Regulated Utilities
James P. Henning	President

Effective 10/08/2012

B. Keith Trent	Executive Vice President-Regulated Utilities

RESIGNATIONS

Effective 12/31/2012

Jeffrey J. Lyash	Executive Vice President-Energy Supply

Effective 12/17/2012

James E. Rogers	Director
Julia S. Janson	President
B. Keith Trent	Executive Vice President-Regulated Utilities
Lloyd M. Yates	Executive Vice President-Customer Operations

Effective 10/08/2012

Myron L. Caldwell	Vice President-Financial Planning & Analysis
Jim L. Stanley	Senior Vice President & Chief Distribution Officer
Thomas F. Moses	Assistant Treasurer

13. None

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Comparative Balance Sheet (Assets and Other Debits)

Line No.	Title of Account (a)	Reference Page Number (b)	Current Year End of Quarter/Year Balance (c)	Prior Year End Balance 12/31 (d)
1	**UTILITY PLANT**			
2	Utility Plant (101-106, 114)	200-201	8,584,433,367	8,207,025,986
3	Construction Work in Progress (107)	200-201	156,971,788	205,967,460
4	TOTAL Utility Plant (Total of lines 2 and 3)	200-201	8,741,405,155	8,412,993,446
5	(Less) Accum. Provision for Depr., Amort., Depl. (108, 111, 115)		2,974,071,318	2,863,162,818
6	Net Utility Plant (Total of line 4 less 5)		5,767,333,837	5,549,830,628
7	Nuclear Fuel (120.1 thru 120.4, and 120.6)		0	0
8	(Less) Accum. Provision for Amort., of Nuclear Fuel Assemblies (120.5)		0	0
9	Nuclear Fuel (Total of line 7 less 8)		0	0
10	Net Utility Plant (Total of lines 6 and 9)		5,767,333,837	5,549,830,628
11	Utility Plant Adjustments (116)	122	0	0
12	Gas Stored-Base Gas (117.1)	220	0	0
13	System Balancing Gas (117.2)	220	0	0
14	Gas Stored in Reservoirs and Pipelines-Noncurrent (117.3)	220	0	0
15	Gas Owed to System Gas (117.4)	220	0	0
16	**OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS**			
17	Nonutility Property (121)		6,854,560	6,906,446
18	(Less) Accum. Provision for Depreciation and Amortization (122)		714,943	631,004
19	Investments in Associated Companies (123)	222-223	0	0
20	Investments in Subsidiary Companies (123.1)	224-225	1,467,048,803	1,797,817,121
21	(For Cost of Account 123.1 See Footnote Page 224, line 40)			
22	Noncurrent Portion of Allowances		0	27,114,837
23	Other Investments (124)	222-223	3,201,120	3,201,120
24	Sinking Funds (125)		0	0
25	Depreciation Fund (126)		0	0
26	Amortization Fund - Federal (127)		0	0
27	Other Special Funds (128)		151,219	0
28	Long-Term Portion of Derivative Assets (175)		0	1,193,762
29	Long-Term Portion of Derivative Assets - Hedges (176)		0	1,592,547
30	TOTAL Other Property and Investments (Total of lines 17-20, 22-29)		1,476,540,759	1,837,194,829
31	**CURRENT AND ACCRUED ASSETS**			
32	Cash (131)		17,638,861	34,465,822
33	Special Deposits (132-134)		0	0
34	Working Funds (135)		0	0
35	Temporary Cash Investments (136)	222-223	0	50,000,000
36	Notes Receivable (141)		0	0
37	Customer Accounts Receivable (142)		41,461,145	55,761,957
38	Other Accounts Receivable (143)		47,214,174	73,758,683
39	(Less) Accum. Provision for Uncollectible Accounts - Credit (144)		1,631,822	16,102,375
40	Notes Receivable from Associated Companies (145)		74,620,354	407,113,231
41	Accounts Receivable from Associated Companies (146)		1,262,548	57,675,809
42	Fuel Stock (151)		72,061,721	83,305,297
43	Fuel Stock Expenses Undistributed (152)		0	0

Name of Respondent Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	This Report Is: (1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr) / /	Year/Period of Report End of 2012/Q4

Comparative Balance Sheet (Assets and Other Debits)(continued)

Line No.	Title of Account (a)	Reference Page Number (b)	Current Year End of Quarter/Year Balance (c)	Prior Year End Balance 12/31 (d)
44	Residuals (Elec) and Extracted Products (Gas) (153)		0	0
45	Plant Materials and Operating Supplies (154)		103,431,565	109,526,778
46	Merchandise (155)		0	0
47	Other Materials and Supplies (156)		0	0
48	Nuclear Materials Held for Sale (157)		0	0
49	Allowances (158.1 and 158.2)		1,392,022	27,893,063
50	(Less) Noncurrent Portion of Allowances		0	27,114,837
51	Stores Expense Undistributed (163)		1,008,769	2,369,522
52	Gas Stored Underground-Current (164.1)	220	0	0
53	Liquefied Natural Gas Stored and Held for Processing (164.2 thru 164.3)	220	0	0
54	Prepayments (165)	230	24,884,178	59,185,995
55	Advances for Gas (166 thru 167)		0	0
56	Interest and Dividends Receivable (171)		0	9,607
57	Rents Receivable (172)		0	0
58	Accrued Utility Revenues (173)		(4,658,000)	(4,658,000)
59	Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Assets (174)		45,015,922	46,227,810
60	Derivative Instrument Assets (175)		1,645,221	8,591,801
61	(Less) Long-Term Portion of Derivative Instrument Assets (175)		0	1,193,762
62	Derivative Instrument Assets - Hedges (176)		2,163,373	4,999,402
63	(Less) Long-Term Portion of Derivative Instrument Assests - Hedges (176)		0	1,592,547
64	TOTAL Current and Accrued Assets (Total of lines 32 thru 63)		427,510,031	970,223,256
65	**DEFERRED DEBITS**			
66	Unamortized Debt Expense (181)		11,204,104	13,061,088
67	Extraordinary Property Losses (182.1)	230	0	0
68	Unrecovered Plant and Regulatory Study Costs (182.2)	230	0	0
69	Other Regulatory Assets (182.3)	232	449,263,553	391,824,710
70	Preliminary Survey and Investigation Charges (Electric)(183)		62,487	2,083,896
71	Preliminary Survey and Investigation Charges (Gas)(183.1 and 183.2)		0	0
72	Clearing Accounts (184)		918,572	672,989
73	Temporary Facilities (185)		0	(233,828)
74	Miscellaneous Deferred Debits (186)	233	1,002,815,680	989,740,146
75	Deferred Losses from Disposition of Utility Plant (187)		0	0
76	Research, Development, and Demonstration Expend. (188)		0	0
77	Unamortized Loss on Reacquired Debt (189)		5,090,884	5,614,530
78	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (190)	234-235	224,728,946	154,396,051
79	Unrecovered Purchased Gas Costs (191)		(10,186,259)	(17,623,309)
80	TOTAL Deferred Debits (Total of lines 66 thru 79)		1,683,897,967	1,539,536,273
81	TOTAL Assets and Other Debits (Total of lines 10-15,30,64,and 80)		9,355,282,594	9,896,784,986

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Comparative Balance Sheet (Liabilities and Other Credits)

Line No.	Title of Account (a)	Reference Page Number (b)	Current Year End of Quarter/Year Balance	Prior Year End Balance 12/31 (d)
1	**PROPRIETARY CAPITAL**			
2	Common Stock Issued (201)	250-251	762,136,231	762,136,231
3	Preferred Stock Issued (204)	250-251	0	0
4	Capital Stock Subscribed (202, 205)	252	0	0
5	Stock Liability for Conversion (203, 206)	252	0	0
6	Premium on Capital Stock (207)	252	0	0
7	Other Paid-In Capital (208-211)	253	4,881,726,601	5,084,933,157
8	Installments Received on Capital Stock (212)	252	0	0
9	(Less) Discount on Capital Stock (213)	254	0	0
10	(Less) Capital Stock Expense (214)	254	0	0
11	Retained Earnings (215, 215.1, 216)	118-119	(891,457,345)	(878,336,894)
12	Unappropriated Undistributed Subsidiary Earnings (216.1)	118-119	414,005,574	226,201,754
13	(Less) Reacquired Capital Stock (217)	250-251	0	0
14	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (219)	117	42,448	(27,759,807)
15	TOTAL Proprietary Capital (Total of lines 2 thru 14)		5,166,453,509	5,167,174,441
16	**LONG TERM DEBT**			
17	Bonds (221)	256-257	712,000,000	1,008,700,000
18	(Less) Reacquired Bonds (222)	256-257	0	0
19	Advances from Associated Companies (223)	256-257	0	0
20	Other Long-Term Debt (224)	256-257	949,756,973	1,203,929,742
21	Unamortized Premium on Long-Term Debt (225)	258-259	6,131,289	6,563,540
22	(Less) Unamortized Discount on Long-Term Debt-Dr (226)	258-259	37,727,210	39,854,349
23	(Less) Current Portion of Long-Term Debt		258,901,001	505,230,163
24	TOTAL Long-Term Debt (Total of lines 17 thru 23)		1,371,260,051	1,674,108,770
25	**OTHER NONCURRENT LIABILITIES**			
26	Obligations Under Capital Leases-Noncurrent (227)		19,632,656	26,053,109
27	Accumulated Provision for Property Insurance (228.1)		0	0
28	Accumulated Provision for Injuries and Damages (228.2)		(881)	(46)
29	Accumulated Provision for Pensions and Benefits (228.3)		110,484,374	107,656,531
30	Accumulated Miscellaneous Operating Provisions (228.4)		14,265,000	27,600,000
31	Accumulated Provision for Rate Refunds (229)		0	0

Name of Respondent Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	This Report Is: (1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr) / /	Year/Period of Report End of 2012/Q4

Comparative Balance Sheet (Liabilities and Other Credits)(continued)

Line No.	Title of Account (a)	Reference Page Number (b)	Current Year End of Quarter/Year Balance	Prior Year End Balance 12/31 (d)
32	Long-Term Portion of Derivative Instrument Liabilities		0	1,001,033
33	Long-Term Portion of Derivative Instrument Liabilities - Hedges		0	0
34	Asset Retirement Obligations (230)		21,958,544	20,973,979
35	TOTAL Other Noncurrent Liabilities (Total of lines 26 thru 34)		166,339,693	183,284,606
36	**CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES**			
37	Current Portion of Long-Term Debt		258,901,001	505,230,163
38	Notes Payable (231)		0	0
39	Accounts Payable (232)		223,042,581	278,246,331
40	Notes Payable to Associated Companies (233)		166,641,000	0
41	Accounts Payable to Associated Companies (234)		54,504,521	73,650,211
42	Customer Deposits (235)		26,108,559	25,874,079
43	Taxes Accrued (236)	262-263	73,867,578	171,471,861
44	Interest Accrued (237)		14,521,231	22,912,777
45	Dividends Declared (238)		0	0
46	Matured Long-Term Debt (239)		0	0
47	Matured Interest (240)		0	0
48	Tax Collections Payable (241)		123,144	86,710
49	Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Liabilities (242)	268	38,333,126	44,349,498
50	Obligations Under Capital Leases-Current (243)		6,420,453	6,924,836
51	Derivative Instrument Liabilities (244)		1,014,132	6,197,336
52	(Less) Long-Term Portion of Derivative Instrument Liabilities		0	1,001,033
53	Derivative Instrument Liabilities - Hedges (245)		0	0
54	(Less) Long-Term Portion of Derivative Instrument Liabilities - Hedges		0	0
55	TOTAL Current and Accrued Liabilities (Total of lines 37 thru 54)		863,477,326	1,133,942,769
56	**DEFERRED CREDITS**			
57	Customer Advances for Construction (252)		3,800,150	3,494,675
58	Accumulated Deferred Investment Tax Credits (255)		4,867,218	5,802,252
59	Deferred Gains from Disposition of Utility Plant (256)		0	0
60	Other Deferred Credits (253)	269	118,050,059	117,540,202
61	Other Regulatory Liabilities (254)	278	13,816,840	20,205,545
62	Unamortized Gain on Reacquired Debt (257)	260	445,953	487,437
63	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes - Accelerated Amortization (281)		67,049,053	41,315,543
64	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes - Other Property (282)		1,376,330,152	1,292,805,761
65	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes - Other (283)		203,392,590	256,622,985
66	TOTAL Deferred Credits (Total of lines 57 thru 65)		1,787,752,015	1,738,274,400
67	TOTAL Liabilities and Other Credits (Total of lines 15,24,35,55,and 66)		9,355,282,594	9,896,784,986

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Income

Quarterly
1. Enter in column (d) the balance for the reporting quarter and in column (e) the balance for the same three month period for the prior year.
2. Report in column (f) the quarter to date amounts for electric utility function; in column (h) the quarter to date amounts for gas utility, and in (j) the quarter to date amounts for other utility function for the current year quarter.
3. Report in column (g) the quarter to date amounts for electric utility function; in column (i) the quarter to date amounts for gas utility, and in (k) the quarter to date amounts for other utility function for the prior year quarter.
4. If additional columns are needed place them in a footnote.

Annual or Quarterly, if applicable
5. Do not report fourth quarter data in columns (e) and (f)
6. Report amounts for accounts 412 and 413, Revenues and Expenses from Utility Plant Leased to Others, in another utility columnin a similar manner to a utility department. Spread the amount(s) over lines 2 thru 26 as appropriate. Include these amounts in columns (c) and (d) totals.
7. Report amounts in account 414, Other Utility Operating Income, in the same manner as accounts 412 and 413 above.
8. Report data for lines 8, 10 and 11 for Natural Gas companies using accounts 404.1, 404.2, 404.3, 407.1 and 407.2.
9. Use page 122 for important notes regarding the statement of income for any account thereof.
10. Give concise explanations concerning unsettled rate proceedings where a contingency exists such that refunds of a material amount may need to be made to the utility's customers or which may result in material refund to the utility with respect to power or gas purchases. State for each year effected the gross revenues or costs to which the contingency relates and the tax effects together with an explanation of the major factors which affect the rights of the utility to retain such revenues or recover amounts paid with respect to power or gas purchases.
11 Give concise explanations concerning significant amounts of any refunds made or received during the year resulting from settlement of any rate proceeding affecting revenues received or costs incurred for power or gas purches, and a summary of the adjustments made to balance sheet, income, and expense accounts.
12. If any notes appearing in the report to stokholders are applicable to the Statement of Income, such notes may be included at page 122.
13. Enter on page 122 a concise explanation of only those changes in accounting mehods made during the year which had an effect on net income, including the basis of allocations and apportionments from those used in the preceding year. Also, give the appropriate dollar effect of such changes.
14. Explain in a footnote if the previous year's/quarter's figures are different from that reported in prior reports.
15. If the columns are insufficient for reporting additional utility departments, supply the appropriate account titles report the information in a footnote to this schedule.

Line No.	Title of Account (a)	Reference Page Number (b)	Total Current Year to Date Balance for Quarter/Year (c)	Total Prior Year to Date Balance for Quarter/Year (d)	Current Three Months Ended Quarterly Only No Fourth Quarter (e)	Prior Three Months Ended Quarterly Only No Fourth Quarter (f)
1	**UTILITY OPERATING INCOME**					
2	Gas Operating Revenues (400)	300-301	2,071,281,634	2,339,789,426	0	0
3	Operating Expenses					
4	Operation Expenses (401)	317-325	1,419,716,947	1,399,387,733	0	0
5	Maintenance Expenses (402)	317-325	147,574,255	184,467,944	0	0
6	Depreciation Expense (403)	336-338	176,543,867	184,043,461	0	0
7	Depreciation Expense for Asset Retirement Costs (403.1)	336-338	4,855	310,923	0	0
8	Amortization and Depletion of Utility Plant (404-405)	336-338	23,805,122	24,680,950	0	0
9	Amortization of Utility Plant Acu. Adjustment (406)	336-338	19,006,130	19,201,855	0	0
10	Amort. of Prop. Losses, Unrecovered Plant and Reg. Study Costs (407.1)		0	0	0	0
11	Amortization of Conversion Expenses (407.2)		0	0	0	0
12	Regulatory Debits (407.3)		32,202,092	23,136,680	0	0
13	(Less) Regulatory Credits (407.4)		14,427,800	4,402,072	0	0
14	Taxes Other than Income Taxes (408.1)	262-263	202,269,268	242,309,718	0	0
15	Income Taxes-Federal (409.1)	262-263	(26,047,535)	(103,794,844)	0	0
16	Income Taxes-Other (409.1)	262-263	1,689,326	(2,068,319)	0	0
17	Provision of Deferred Income Taxes (410.1)	234-235	419,564,560	455,643,165	0	0
18	(Less) Provision for Deferred Income Taxes-Credit (411.1)	234-235	397,352,642	309,354,681	0	0
19	Investment Tax Credit Adjustment-Net (411.4)		(935,034)	(1,019,161)	0	0
20	(Less) Gains from Disposition of Utility Plant (411.6)		0	0	0	0
21	Losses from Disposition of Utility Plant (411.7)		0	0	0	0
22	(Less) Gains from Disposition of Allowances (411.8)		512,551	3,009,418	0	0
23	Losses from Disposition of Allowances (411.9)		0	0	0	0
24	Accretion Expense (411.10)		191,812	368,561	0	0
25	TOTAL Utility Operating Expenses (Total of lines 4 thru 24)		2,003,292,672	2,109,902,495	0	0
26	Net Utility Operating Income (Total of lines 2 less 25) (Carry forward to page 116, line 27)		67,988,962	229,886,931	0	0

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Income

Line No.	Elec. Utility Current Year to Date (in dollars) (g)	Elec. Utility Previous Year to Date (in dollars) (h)	Gas Utility Current Year to Date (in dollars) (i)	Gas Utility Previous Year to Date (in dollars) (j)	Other Utility Current Year to Date (in dollars) (k)	Other Utility Previous Year to Date (in dollars) (l)
1						
2	1,689,223,992	1,894,134,836	382,057,642	445,654,590	0	0
3						
4	1,219,362,957	1,131,052,067	200,353,990	268,335,666	0	0
5	141,133,823	177,668,041	6,440,432	6,799,903	0	0
6	138,702,981	150,391,482	37,840,886	33,651,979	0	0
7	4,855	310,923	0	0	0	0
8	20,192,099	19,552,521	3,613,023	5,128,429	0	0
9	19,006,130	19,201,855	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	24,962,734	20,347,634	7,239,358	2,789,046	0	0
13	6,466,534	1,002,336	7,961,266	3,399,736	0	0
14	154,035,122	191,029,192	48,234,146	51,280,526	0	0
15	(16,519,919)	(67,876,811)	(9,527,616)	(35,918,033)	0	0
16	1,155,612	(1,376,973)	533,714	(691,346)	0	0
17	324,089,623	321,847,351	95,474,937	133,795,814	0	0
18	337,719,462	283,251,296	59,633,180	26,103,385	0	0
19	(715,988)	(800,115)	(219,046)	(219,046)	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	512,551	3,009,418	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	191,812	368,561	0	0	0	0
25	1,680,903,294	1,674,452,678	322,389,378	435,449,817	0	0
26	8,320,698	219,682,158	59,668,264	10,204,773	0	0

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Income(continued)

Line No.	Title of Account (a)	Reference Page Number (b)	Total Current Year to Date Balance for Quarter/Year (c)	Total Prior Year to Date Balance for Quarter/Year (d)	Current Three Months Ended Quarterly Only No Fourth Quarter (e)	Prior Three Months Ended Quarterly Only No Fourth Quarter (f)
27	Net Utility Operating Income (Carried forward from page 114)		67,988,962	229,886,931	0	0
28	**OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS**					
29	Other Income					
30	Nonutility Operating Income					
31	Revenues form Merchandising, Jobbing and Contract Work (415)		732,703	280,164	0	0
32	(Less) Costs and Expense of Merchandising, Job & Contract Work (416)		864,207	716,375	0	0
33	Revenues from Nonutility Operations (417)		108,857	199,067	0	0
34	(Less) Expenses of Nonutility Operations (417.1)		15,621,298	(1,277,782)	0	0
35	Nonoperating Rental Income (418)		(41,852)	(85,841)	0	0
36	Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies (418.1)	119	187,803,820	118,151,972	0	0
37	Interest and Dividend Income (419)		4,671,151	10,472,454	0	0
38	Allowance for Other Funds Used During Construction (419.1)		6,016,006	4,038,651	0	0
39	Miscellaneous Nonoperating Income (421)		(14,980,928)	(136,017,628)	0	0
40	Gain on Disposition of Property (421.1)		30,203	1,253,868	0	0
41	TOTAL Other Income (Total of lines 31 thru 40)		167,854,455	(1,145,886)	0	0
42	Other Income Deductions					
43	Loss on Disposition of Property (421.2)		227	266,164	0	0
44	Miscellaneous Amortization (425)		0	0	0	0
45	Donations (426.1)	340	924,979	2,929,692	0	0
46	Life Insurance (426.2)		0	399,773	0	0
47	Penalties (426.3)		150,002	409,173	0	0
48	Expenditures for Certain Civic, Political and Related Activities (426.4)		2,625,868	1,335,214	0	0
49	Other Deductions (426.5)		(8,619,127)	(39,385,951)	0	0
50	TOTAL Other Income Deductions (Total of lines 43 thru 49)	340	(4,918,051)	(34,045,935)	0	0
51	Taxes Applic. to Other Income and Deductions					
52	Taxes Other than Income Taxes (408.2)	262-263	723,156	775,023	0	0
53	Income Taxes-Federal (409.2)	262-263	(9,705,748)	(37,546,861)	0	0
54	Income Taxes-Other (409.2)	262-263	913,415	(2,108,875)	0	0
55	Provision for Deferred Income Taxes (410.2)	234-235	2,364,344	20,946,952	0	0
56	(Less) Provision for Deferred Income Taxes-Credit (411.2)	234-235	2,077,340	2,092,785	0	0
57	Investment Tax Credit Adjustments-Net (411.5)		0	0	0	0
58	(Less) Investment Tax Credits (420)		0	0	0	0
59	TOTAL Taxes on Other Income and Deductions (Total of lines 52-58)		(7,782,173)	(20,026,546)	0	0
60	Net Other Income and Deductions (Total of lines 41, 50, 59)		180,554,679	52,926,595	0	0
61	**INTEREST CHARGES**					
62	Interest on Long-Term Debt (427)		86,255,672	95,013,265	0	0
63	Amortization of Debt Disc. and Expense (428)	258-259	4,101,568	3,329,045	0	0
64	Amortization of Loss on Reacquired Debt (428.1)		523,646	523,645	0	0
65	(Less) Amortization of Premium on Debt-Credit (429)	258-259	473,735	473,735	0	0
66	(Less) Amortization of Gain on Reacquired Debt-Credit (429.1)		0	0	0	0
67	Interest on Debt to Associated Companies (430)	340	32,186	0	0	0
68	Other Interest Expense (431)	340	(991,059)	(598,833)	0	0
69	(Less) Allowance for Borrowed Funds Used During Construction-Credit (432)		15,588,006	9,311,955	0	0
70	Net Interest Charges (Total of lines 62 thru 69)		73,860,272	88,481,432	0	0
71	Income Before Extraordinary Items (Total of lines 27,60 and 70)		174,683,369	194,332,094	0	0
72	**EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS**					
73	Extraordinary Income (434)		0	0	0	0
74	(Less) Extraordinary Deductions (435)		0	0	0	0
75	Net Extraordinary Items (Total of line 73 less line 74)		0	0	0	0
76	Income Taxes-Federal and Other (409.3)	262-263	0	0	0	0
77	Extraordinary Items after Taxes (Total of line 75 less line 76)		0	0	0	0
78	Net Income (Total of lines 71 and 77)		174,683,369	194,332,094	0	0

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Accumulated Comprehensive Income and Hedging Activities

1. Report in columns (b) (c) and (e) the amounts of accumulated other comprehensive income items, on a net-of-tax basis, where appropriate.

2. Report in columns (f) and (g) the amounts of other categories of other cash flow hedges.

3. For each category of hedges that have been accounted for as "fair value hedges", report the accounts affected and the related amounts in a footnote.

Line No.	Item (a)	Unrealized Gains and Losses on available-for-sale securities (b)	Minimum Pension liabililty Adjustment (net amount) (c)	Foreign Currency Hedges (d)	Other Adjustments (e)
1	Balance of Account 219 at Beginning of Preceding Year		(21,663,377)		
2	Preceding Quarter/Year to Date Reclassifications from Account 219 to Net Income		(41,455)		
3	Preceding Quarter/Year to Date Changes in Fair Value		(6,054,975)		
4	Total (lines 2 and 3)		(6,096,430)		
5	Balance of Account 219 at End of Preceding Quarter/Year		(27,759,807)		
6	Balance of Account 219 at Beginning of Current Year		(27,759,807)		
7	Current Quarter/Year to Date Reclassifications from Account 219 to Net Income		(3,552)		
8	Current Quarter/Year to Date Changes in Fair Value		27,805,807		
9	Total (lines 7 and 8)		27,802,255		
10	Balance of Account 219 at End of Current Quarter/Year		42,448		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Accumulated Comprehensive Income and Hedging Activities(continued)

Line No.	Other Cash Flow Hedges Interest Rate Swaps (f)	Other Cash Flow Hedges (Insert Category) (g)	Totals for each category of items recorded in Account 219 (h)	Net Income (Carried Forward from Page 116, Line 78) (i)	Total Comprehensive Income (j)
1			(21,663,377)		
2			(41,455)		
3			(6,054,975)		
4			(6,096,430)	194,332,094	188,235,664
5			(27,759,807)		
6			(27,759,807)		
7			(3,552)		
8			27,805,807		
9			27,802,255	174,683,369	202,485,624
10			42,448		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Retained Earnings

1. Report all changes in appropriated retained earnings, unappropriated retained earnings, and unappropriated undistributed subsidiary earnings for the year.
2. Each credit and debit during the year should be identified as to the retained earnings account in which recorded (Accounts 433, 436-439 inclusive). Show the contra primary account affected in column (b).
3. State the purpose and amount for each reservation or appropriation of retained earnings.
4. List first Account 439, Adjustments to Retained Earnings, reflecting adjustments to the opening balance of retained earnings. Follow by credit, then debit items, in that order.
5. Show dividends for each class and series of capital stock.

Line No.	Item (a)	Contra Primary Account Affected (b)	Current Quarter Year to Date Balance (c)	Previous Quarter Year to Date Balance (d)
	UNAPPROPRIATED RETAINED EARNINGS			
1	Balance-Beginning of Period		(878,336,894)	(954,517,016)
2	Changes (Identify by prescribed retained earnings accounts)			
3	Adjustments to Retained Earnings (Account 439)			
4	TOTAL Credits to Retained Earnings (Account 439) (footnote details)			
5	TOTAL Debits to Retained Earnings (Account 439) (footnote details)			
6	Balance Transferred from Income (Acct 433 less Acct 418.1)		(13,120,451)	76,180,122
7	Appropriations of Retained Earnings (Account 436)			
8	TOTAL Appropriations of Retained Earnings (Account 436) (footnote details)			
9	Dividends Declared-Preferred Stock (Account 437)			
10	TOTAL Dividends Declared-Preferred Stock (Account 437) (footnote details)			
11	Dividends Declared-Common Stock (Account 438)			
12	TOTAL Dividends Declared-Common Stock (Account 438) (footnote details)	131/238		
13	Transfers from Account 216.1, Unappropriated Undistributed Subsidiary Earnings			
14	Balance-End of Period (Total of lines 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 13)		(891,457,345)	(878,336,894)
15	APPROPRIATED RETAINED EARNINGS (Account 215)			
16	TOTAL Appropriated Retained Earnings (Account 215) (footnote details)			
17	APPROPRIATED RETAINED EARNINGS-AMORTIZATION RESERVE, FEDERAL (Account			
18	TOTAL Appropriated Retained Earnings-Amortization Reserve, Federal (Account			
19	TOTAL Appropriated Retained Earnings (Accounts 215, 215.1) (Total of lines			
20	TOTAL Retained Earnings (Accounts 215, 215.1, 216) (Total of lines 14 and 1		(891,457,345)	(878,336,894)
21	UNAPPROPRIATED UNDISTRIBUTED SUBSIDIARY EARNINGS (Account 216.1)			
	Report only on an Annual Basis no Quarterly			
22	Balance-Beginning of Year (Debit or Credit)		226,201,754	108,049,782
23	Equity in Earnings for Year (Credit) (Account 418.1)		187,803,820	118,151,972
24	(Less) Dividends Received (Debit)			
25	Other Changes (Explain)			
26	Balance-End of Year		414,005,574	226,201,754

Schedule Page: 118 Line No.: 20 Column: c

Equity Amounts Available for Dividend to Duke Energy Ohio's Parent(s)

On December 20, 2005, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") issued an order approving the merger of Cinergy Corp. ("Cinergy"), a holding company and the parent company of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke Ohio"), and Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy"). The merger closed on April 3, 2006 and now Cinergy is wholly owned by Duke Energy and Duke Ohio remains a wholly owned subsidiary of Cinergy. Under generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), mergers resulting in a change of control must be accounted for by using purchase accounting. Purchase accounting treats a business combination, such as the merger of Duke Energy and Cinergy, as an acquisition of one company by another. Consequently, the purchase price paid for the acquired company is allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities based on their fair values. Under purchase accounting, if the acquiring company's purchase price exceeds the fair value of the acquired company's identifiable net assets, the excess is recorded as goodwill on the acquiring company's balance sheets. The goodwill, and any other corresponding adjustments to the values of assets and liabilities of the acquired entity on the acquiring company's balance sheet, must be reviewed to determine whether it must be then assigned or "pushed-down" to the balance sheets of the acquired entity or any of the acquired entity's subsidiaries to the extent those subsidiaries file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Upon the merger, Duke Energy determined that it needed to apply push-down accounting to Duke Ohio. The application of push-down accounting by Duke Ohio resulted in a one-time adjustment to certain of its assets and liabilities and a resetting of Duke Ohio's retained earnings to zero (immediately prior to the closing, Duke Ohio's retained earnings account was approximately $671 million). This push-down accounting was recorded in Duke Ohio's Uniform System of Accounts balances.

The effects of applying push-down accounting included the recording of approximately $2.9 billion of goodwill and other increases to net assets being pushed down from Duke Energy's balance sheet to the books of Duke Ohio, with offsetting entries to Other Paid-In Capital (accounts 208-211). Since the merger, Duke Ohio has analyzed goodwill for impairment under GAAP, and has written down goodwill on Duke Ohio's books. Moreover, the other increases to net assets added to Duke Ohio's books in purchase accounting have been amortized over time or impaired in accordance with GAAP. These non-cash amortization and impairment charges, in turn, are written off against Duke Ohio's GAAP earnings, thereby decreasing the level of GAAP retained earnings recorded on Duke Ohio's books.

Duke Ohio has received declaratory orders from the FERC (*see Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company, d/b/a Duke Energy Ohio,* et al., 115 FERC ¶ 61,250 (2006) and 137 FERC ¶ 61,137 (2011) with certain conditions, that Duke Ohio will not violate Section 305(a) of the FPA if they pay dividends from their equity accounts that are reflective of the amount that they would have had in their retained earnings account had push-down accounting not been in effect. The conditions of the declaratory orders include a commitment from Duke Ohio that equity, adjusted to remove the amounts that remain from the push-down of purchase accounting ("adjusted equity"), will not fall below 30% of total capital. As of December 31, 2012, Duke Ohio's adjusted equity balance represents approximately 67% of total capital (total capital is calculated as adjusted equity plus long-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt of Duke Energy Ohio and its consolidated subsidiaries).

Additionally, Duke Ohio has committed to separately track, in sub-accounts of Account 211-Miscellaneous Paid-in Capital, the amounts subject to these orders. The purpose of the sub-accounts is to ensure that post-merger dividends that have been paid from equity accounts have not exceeded "adjusted retained earnings." Adjusted retained earnings is defined for these purposes as (a) the amount in Duke Ohio's retained earnings account immediately prior to the closing of the merger plus (b) cumulative "adjusted net income," representing cumulative post-merger reported net income excluding the impact of impairments and amortization of push-down accounting net assets and goodwill impairments, less (c) cumulative post-merger dividends.

As of December 31, 2012, the amount in Duke Ohio's equity accounts available to be paid in the form of dividends to its parent, Cinergy, is as follows:

FOOTNOTE DATA

	In Millions
Retained earnings just prior to the April 3, 2006 merger	$ 671
Post merger adjusted net income, cumulative	1,953
Post-merger dividends, cumulative	(1,355)
Retained earnings as of December 31, 2012, adjusted to remove the affects of push-down accounting ("adjusted retained earnings")	$1,269

The equity accounts in which the adjusting amounts are tracked are as follows:

	In Millions
Retained earnings as of December 31, 2012 – Sum of Lines 11 and 12 on page 112 (Retained Earnings and Unappropriated Undistributed Subsidiary Earnings)	$ (477)
Add: Stated capital account, reflecting pre-merger retained earnings less dividends applied to the account - tracked in a sub account of Account 211 – a component of the amount on line 7 on page 112	0
Add: Net after-tax losses attributable to impairments and amortization of pushdown accounting net assets, cumulative – tracked in a sub account of Account 211 – a component of the amount on line 7 on page 112	1,746
Retained earnings as of December 31, 2012, adjusted to remove the affects of push-down accounting ("adjusted retained earnings")	$ 1,269

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Cash Flows

(1) Codes to be used:(a) Net Proceeds or Payments;(b)Bonds, debentures and other long-term debt; (c) Include commercial paper; and (d) Identify separately such items as investments, fixed assets, intangibles, etc.
(2) Information about noncash investing and financing activities must be provided in the Notes to the Financial statements. Also provide a reconciliation between "Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period" with related amounts on the Balance Sheet.
(3) Operating Activities - Other: Include gains and losses pertaining to operating activities only. Gains and losses pertaining to investing and financing activities should be reported in those activities. Show in the Notes to the Financials the amounts of interest paid (net of amount capitalized) and income taxes paid.
(4) Investing Activities: Include at Other (line 25) net cash outflow to acquire other companies. Provide a reconciliation of assets acquired with liabilities assumed in the Notes to the Financial Statements. Do not include on this statement the dollar amount of leases capitalized per the USofA General Instruction 20; instead provide a reconciliation of the dollar amount of leases capitalized with the plant cost.

Line No.	Description (See Instructions for explanation of codes) (a)	Current Year to Date Quarter/Year	Previous Year to Date Quarter/Year
1	Net Cash Flow from Operating Activities		
2	Net Income (Line 78(c) on page 116)	174,683,369	194,332,094
3	Noncash Charges (Credits) to Income:		
4	Depreciation and Depletion	176,548,722	184,354,384
5	Amortization of (Specify) (footnote details)	46,962,731	47,261,760
6	Deferred Income Taxes (Net)	22,498,922	165,142,651
7	Investment Tax Credit Adjustments (Net)	(935,034)	(1,019,161)
8	Net (Increase) Decrease in Receivables	69,714,606	186,733,167
9	Net (Increase) Decrease in Inventory	18,699,542	(48,645,618)
10	Net (Increase) Decrease in Allowances Inventory	2,691,473	93,147,062
11	Net Increase (Decrease) in Payables and Accrued Expenses	(146,260,687)	(68,738,300)
12	Net (Increase) Decrease in Other Regulatory Assets	(49,006,687)	(362,602)
13	Net Increase (Decrease) in Other Regulatory Liabilities	(5,347,186)	(3,834,968)
14	(Less) Allowance for Other Funds Used During Construction	6,016,006	4,038,651
15	(Less) Undistributed Earnings from Subsidiary Companies	187,803,820	96,075,541
16	Other (footnote details):	515,336,028	83,600,842
17	Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities		
18	(Total of Lines 2 thru 16)	631,765,973	731,857,119
19			
20	Cash Flows from Investment Activities:		
21	Construction and Acquisition of Plant (including land):		
22	Gross Additions to Utility Plant (less nuclear fuel)	(422,073,713)	(377,872,481)
23	Gross Additions to Nuclear Fuel		
24	Gross Additions to Common Utility Plant	(33,667,506)	(40,629,810)
25	Gross Additions to Nonutility Plant		
26	(Less) Allowance for Other Funds Used During Construction	(6,016,006)	(4,038,651)
27	Other (footnote details):		
28	Cash Outflows for Plant (Total of lines 22 thru 27)	(449,725,213)	(414,463,640)
29			
30	Acquisition of Other Noncurrent Assets (d)		
31	Proceeds from Disposal of Noncurrent Assets (d)		
32			
33	Investments in and Advances to Assoc. and Subsidiary Companies	306,434,000	112,479,000
34	Contributions and Advances from Assoc. and Subsidiary Companies		
35	Disposition of Investments in (and Advances to)		
36	Associated and Subsidiary Companies		(54,699,436)
37			
38	Purchase of Investment Securities (a)		
39	Proceeds from Sales of Investment Securities (a)		

Name of Respondent Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	This Report Is: (1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr) / /	Year/Period of Report End of 2012/Q4

Statement of Cash Flows (continued)

Line No.	Description (See Instructions for explanation of codes) (a)	Current Year to Date Quarter/Year	Previous Year to Date Quarter/Year
40	Loans Made or Purchased		
41	Collections on Loans		
42			
43	Net (Increase) Decrease in Receivables		
44	Net (Increase) Decrease in Inventory		
45	Net (Increase) Decrease in Allowances Held for Speculation		
46	Net Increase (Decrease) in Payables and Accrued Expenses		
47	Other (footnote details):		2,646,995
48	Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities		
49	(Total of lines 28 thru 47)	(143,291,213)	(354,037,081)
50			
51	Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
52	Proceeds from Issuance of:		
53	Long-Term Debt (b)		
54	Preferred Stock		
55	Common Stock		
56	Other (footnote details):	166,641,000	
57	Net Increase in Short-term Debt (c)		
58	Other (footnote details):		
59	Cash Provided by Outside Sources (Total of lines 53 thru 58)	166,641,000	
60			
61	Payments for Retirement of:		
62	Long-Term Debt (b)	(546,700,000)	
63	Preferred Stock		
64	Common Stock		
65	Other (footnote details):	(242,721)	(3,212,997)
66	Net Decrease in Short-Term Debt (c)		
67			
68	Dividends on Preferred Stock		
69	Dividends on Common Stock	(175,000,000)	(485,000,000)
70	Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities		
71	(Total of lines 59 thru 69)	(555,301,721)	(488,212,997)
72			
73	Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		
74	(Total of line 18, 49 and 71)	(66,826,961)	(110,392,959)
75			
76	Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	84,465,822	194,858,781
77			
78	Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	17,638,861	84,465,822

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 5 Column: c

Amortization of:

Plant items	43,882,805
Debt discount, premium, exp, loss on reacq. debt	3,378,955
	47,261,760

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 5 Column: b

Amortization of:

Plant items	42,811,252
Debt discount, premium, exp, loss on reacq. debt	4,151,479
	46,962,731

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 16 Column: c

OTHER:

Special deposits	(23)
Prepayments	(28,703,525)
Miscellaneous current and accrued assets	7,758,993
Preliminary survey and investigation charges	1,312,827
Clearing accounts	(285,801)
Temporary facilities	220,220
Miscellaneous deferred debits	11,813,879
Unrecovered purchased gas costs	(6,765,080)
Obligations under capital leases - noncurrent	(6,884,836)
Accumulated provisions	(19,084,231)
Derivative instrument - hedges	1,284,785
Customer advances for construction	(286,550)
Other deferred credits	(2,152,340)
Contribution to pension plan	(41,264,062)
Derivative Instruments	24,521,956
Net utility plant and nonutility property	14,701,456
Cost of removal	(4,654,726)
Investment in Subsidiary Companies (Dividend from DE Kentucky)	135,000,000
Debt expenses	17,328
Deferred income taxes	(1,322,899)
Fair market value adjustment	(1,626,529)
Total Other	83,600,842

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 16 Column: b

OTHER:

Special funds	(151,219)
Prepayments	5,151,620
Miscellaneous current and accrued assets	1,211,888
Preliminary survey and investigation charges	2,021,409
Clearing accounts	(245,583)
Temporary facilities	(233,828)
Miscellaneous deferred debits	7,087,694
Unrecovered purchased gas costs	(7,437,050)
Obligations under capital leases - noncurrent	(6,420,453)
Accumulated provisions	1,169,723
Derivative instrument - hedges	2,836,029
Customer advances for construction	305,475
Other deferred credits	(10,578,789)
Derivative Instruments	1,763,376
Net utility plant and nonutility property	3,539,487
Investment in Subsidiary Companies (Dividend from DECAM)	500,000,000
Investment in Subsidiary Companies (Dividend from DE Kentucky)	10,000,000
Investment in Subsidiary Companies (I/C Equitization)	4,387,362

Debt expenses	125,276
Deferred income taxes	2,670,377
Fair market value adjustment	(4,172,769)
Impairment Charges	2,306,003
Total Other	515,336,028

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 65 Column: c

Other:

Premium payments and fees on deferred debt	(3,212,997)

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 65 Column: b

Other:

Premium payments and fees on deferred debt	(242,721)

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 78 Column: b

	2012	2011
Supplemental Disclosures:		
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	75M	83M
Cash paid for income taxes	4M	(131M)
Significant non-cash transactions:		
Accrued Capital Expenditures	26M	35M
Transfer of Assets to DECAM	----	1,297M
Transfer of Vermillion Generating Station to DE Indiana	28M	----
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of period:		
Cash (131)	17,638,861	34,465,822
Working Fund (135)	0	0
Temporary Cash Investments (136)	0	50,000,000
Total	17,638,861	84,465,822

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 56 Column: b

Other:

Notes Payable to Associated Companies	166,641,000

Schedule Page: 120 Line No.: 47 Column: c

Other:

Other investments	(1,000,000)
Withdrawal of restricted funds held in trust	3,646,995
	2,646,995

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) X An Original (2) __ A Resubmission	/ /	2012/Q4

Notes to Financial Statements

1. Provide important disclosures regarding the Balance Sheet, Statement of Income for the Year, Statement of Retained Earnings for the Year, and Statement of Cash Flow, or any account thereof. Classify the disclosures according to each financial statement, providing a subheading for each statement except where a disclosure is applicable to more than one statement. The disclosures must be on the same subject matters and in the same level of detail that would be required if the respondent issued general purpose financial statements to the public or shareholders.
2. Furnish details as to any significant contingent assets or liabilities existing at year end, and briefly explain any action initiated by the Internal Revenue Service involving possible assessment of additional income taxes of material amount, or a claim for refund of income taxes of a material amount initiated by the utility. Also, briefly explain any dividends in arrears on cumulative preferred stock.
3. Furnish details on the respondent's pension plans, post-retirement benefits other than pensions (PBOP) plans, and post-employment benefit plans as required by instruction no. 1 and, in addition, disclose for each individual plan the current year's cash contributions. Furnish details on the accounting for the plans and any changes in the method of accounting for them. Include details on the accounting for transition obligations or assets, gains or losses, the amounts deferred and the expected recovery periods. Also, disclose any current year's plan or trust curtailments, terminations, transfers, or reversions of assets. Entities that participate in multiemployer postretirement benefit plans (e.g. parent company sponsored pension plans) disclose in addition to the required disclosures for the consolidated plan, (1) the amount of cost recognized in the respondent's financial statements for each plan for the period presented, and (2) the basis for determining the respondent's share of the total plan costs.
4. Furnish details on the respondent's asset retirement obligations (ARO) as required by instruction no. 1 and, in addition, disclose the amounts recovered through rates to settle such obligations. Identify any mechanism or account in which recovered funds are being placed (i.e. trust funds, insurance policies, surety bonds). Furnish details on the accounting for the asset retirement obligations and any changes in the measurement or method of accounting for the obligations. Include details on the accounting for settlement of the obligations and any gains or losses expected or incurred on the settlement.
5. Provide a list of all environmental credits received during the reporting period.
6. Provide a summary of revenues and expenses for each tracked cost and special surcharge.
7. Where Account 189, Unamortized Loss on Reacquired Debt, and 257, Unamortized Gain on Reacquired Debt, are not used, give an explanation, providing the rate treatment given these item. See General Instruction 17 of the Uniform System of Accounts.
8. Explain concisely any retained earnings restrictions and state the amount of retained earnings affected by such restrictions.
9. Disclose details on any significant financial changes during the reporting year to the respondent or the respondent's consolidated group that directly affect the respondent's gas pipeline operations, including: sales, transfers or mergers of affiliates, investments in new partnerships, sales of gas pipeline facilities or the sale of ownership interests in the gas pipeline to limited partnerships, investments in related industries (i.e., production, gathering), major pipeline investments, acquisitions by the parent corporation(s), and distributions of capital.
10. Explain concisely unsettled rate proceedings where a contingency exists such that the company may need to refund a material amount to the utility's customers or that the utility may receive a material refund with respect to power or gas purchases. State for each year affected the gross revenues or costs to which the contingency relates and the tax effects and explain the major factors that affect the rights of the utility to retain such revenues or to recover amounts paid with respect to power and gas purchases.
11. Explain concisely significant amounts of any refunds made or received during the year resulting from settlement of any rate proceeding affecting revenues received or costs incurred for power or gas purchases, and summarize the adjustments made to balance sheet, income, and expense accounts.
12. Explain concisely only those significant changes in accounting methods made during the year which had an effect on net income, including the basis of allocations and apportionments from those used in the preceding year. Also give the approximate dollar effect of such changes.
13. For the 3Q disclosures, respondent must provide in the notes sufficient disclosures so as to make the interim information not misleading. Disclosures which would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the most recent FERC Annual Report may be omitted.
14. For the 3Q disclosures, the disclosures shall be provided where events subsequent to the end of the most recent year have occurred which have a material effect on the respondent. Respondent must include in the notes significant changes since the most recently completed year in such items as: accounting principles and practices; estimates inherent in the preparation of the financial statements; status of long-term contracts; capitalization including significant new borrowings or modifications of existing financing agreements; and changes resulting from business combinations or dispositions. However were material contingencies exist, the disclosure of such matters shall be provided even though a significant change since year end may not have occurred.
15. Finally, if the notes to the financial statements relating to the respondent appearing in the annual report to the stockholders are applicable and furnish the data required by the above instructions, such notes may be included herein.

Notes to Financial Statements

This Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Form 2 represents the financial statements of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) as of and for the period ended December 31, 2012. Duke Energy Ohio's financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the requirements of the FERC as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts and published accounting releases, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The following areas represent the significant differences between the Uniform System of Accounts and GAAP: (1) the presentation of significant non-cash transactions, (2) the presentation of business segments, (3) the presentation of the current portion of regulatory assets and liabilities, (4) the presentation of majority-owned subsidiaries, (5) the presentation of current and non-current portions of long-term debt, preferred stock and other liabilities, (6) the presentation of extraordinary deductions, (7) the presentation of removal costs, (8) the presentation of ASC 740-10 (formerly SFAS No. 109) regulatory assets and liabilities, (9) the presentation of the current portion of deferred income taxes, (10) the presentation of purchases and sales of emission allowances, (11) the presentation of realized and unrealized gains and losses on non-hedging transactions, (12) the presentation of credit balances in asset accounts and debit balances in liability accounts, and (13) the presentation of capital leases vs. operating leases.

GAAP requires that the current and non-current portions of long-term debt, preferred stock and other liabilities be appropriately identified and reported on the Balance Sheet. FERC requires that these items be reported as set forth in the Uniform System of Accounts and published accounting releases, which does not recognize any segregation between the current and non-current portions of these items for reporting purposes.

GAAP requires that the current portion of regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities be reported as current assets and current liabilities, respectively, on the Balance Sheet. FERC requires that the current portion of regulatory assets and liabilities be reported as Regulatory Assets within Deferred Debits and Regulatory Liabilities within Deferred Credits, respectively. The current portion of regulatory assets was approximately $12 million at December 31, 2012, and the current portion of regulatory liabilities was approximately $9 million at December 31, 2012.

GAAP requires that majority-owned subsidiaries be consolidated for financial reporting purposes. FERC requires that majority-owned subsidiaries be separately reported as Investment in Subsidiary Companies.

GAAP requires that public business enterprises report certain information about operating segments in complete sets of financial statements of the enterprise and certain information about their products and services, which are not required for FERC reporting purposes.

FERC requires that losses of unusual nature and infrequent occurrence, which would significantly distort the current year's income, be recorded as extraordinary deductions.

GAAP requires that removal costs for property that does not have an associated legal retirement obligation be presented as a liability on the Balance Sheet. These costs are presented as accumulated depreciation on the Balance Sheet for FERC reporting purposes. The portion of accumulated depreciation related to removal costs was $188 million at December 31, 2012 and $185 million at December 31, 2011.

GAAP requires the regulatory assets and liabilities resulting from the implementation of ASC 740-10 (formerly SFAS No. 109) be presented as a net amount on the balance sheet. For FERC reporting purposes, these assets and liabilities are presented separately and are included in the other regulatory asset and other regulatory liability line items.

GAAP requires the current portion of deferred income taxes be reported as a current asset or liability on the balance sheet. For FERC reporting purposes, the current portion of deferred income taxes are included in Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, which is non-current.

GAAP requires that proceeds from the purchase and sale of emission allowances to be presented within the Investing Section of the Statement of Cash Flows. For FERC purposes, these amounts are included within the Operating Section of the Cash Flow Statement.

GAAP requires that the gains and losses recorded to the income statement related to realized and unrealized non-hedging activities be recorded in the revenue or expense line item along with the underlying transaction. For FERC reporting purposes, non-hedging transactions are recorded gross to other revenues and expenses as a below-the-line amount in accordance with FERC Order No. 627.

GAAP requires that certain account balances within financial statement line items which are not in the natural position for that line item (i.e. an account within Accounts Receivable with a credit balance) be reclassed to the appropriate side of the Balance Sheet. FERC does not require certain accounts which are not in a natural position for their respective line item to be reclassed, as long as the line item in total is in its natural position.

GAAP requires the payments related to capital leases to be included within the Financing Section of the Statement of Cash Flows. For FERC purposes, payments related to these capital leases are included within the Operating Section of the Cash Flow Statement.

Duke Energy Ohio's Notes to Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP. Accordingly, certain footnotes are not reflective of Duke Energy Ohio's Financial Statements contained herein.

In Docket No. A1-07-2-000, the FERC issued accounting and financial reporting guidance related to the implementation of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" (FIN 48). Duke Energy Ohio reflects this guidance in the FERC Form No. 2.

The Notes to the Financial Statements below are published in the 2012 combined Form 10-K (includes Duke Energy Corporation, Progress Energy, Inc, Carolina Power and Light Company, Florida Power Corporation, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. and Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.) which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2013. See "Index to the Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for a listing of applicable notes for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Index to Combined Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

The notes to the consolidated financial statements that follow are a combined presentation. The following list indicates the registrants to which the footnotes apply:

	Applicable Notes																										
Registrant	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**12**	**13**	**14**	**15**	**16**	**17**	**18**	**19**	**20**	**21**	**22**	**23**	**24**	**25**	**26**	**27**
Duke Energy Corporation	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•		•	•
Progress Energy, Inc.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•		•	•
Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•		•	•

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations and Basis of Consolidation.

Duke Energy Corporation (collectively with its subsidiaries, Duke Energy), is an energy company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Duke Energy operates in the United States (U.S.) and Latin America primarily through its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Duke Energy's subsidiaries included Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy Carolinas), Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio), which includes Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. (Duke Energy Kentucky), and Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Duke Energy Indiana) prior to the merger with Progress Energy, Inc. (Progress Energy). On July 2, 2012, Duke Energy merged with Progress Energy, with Duke Energy continuing as the surviving corporation, and Progress Energy becoming a subsidiary of Duke Energy. Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. (Progress Energy Carolinas) and Florida Power Corporation d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (Progress Energy Florida), Progress Energy's regulated utility subsidiaries, are now indirect subsidiaries of Duke Energy. Duke Energy's consolidated financial statements include Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida activity beginning July 2, 2012. See Note 2 for additional information regarding the merger. When discussing Duke Energy's consolidated financial information, it necessarily includes the results of its six separate subsidiary registrants, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana (collectively referred to as the Subsidiary Registrants), which, along with Duke Energy, are collectively referred to as the Duke Energy Registrants.

Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida (collectively referred to as the Progress Energy Registrants) continue to maintain reporting requirements as SEC registrants. In accordance with SEC guidance, the Progress Energy Registrants did not reflect the impacts of acquisition accounting from the merger with Duke Energy, whereby the adjustments of assets and liabilities to fair value and the resultant goodwill would be shown on the financial statements of the Progress Energy Registrants. These adjustments were recorded by Duke Energy.

The information in these combined notes relates to each of the Duke Energy Registrants as noted in the Index to the Combined Notes. However, none of the registrants makes any representation as to information related solely to Duke Energy or the subsidiaries of Duke Energy other than itself. As discussed further in Note 3, Duke Energy operates three reportable business segments: U.S. Franchised Electric and Gas (USFE&G), Commercial Power and International Energy. The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other.

These Consolidated Financial Statements include, after eliminating intercompany transactions and balances, the accounts of the Duke Energy Registrants and all majority-owned subsidiaries where the respective Duke Energy Registrants have control and those variable interest entities (VIEs) where the respective Duke Energy Registrants are the primary beneficiary. These Consolidated Financial Statements also reflect the Duke Energy Registrants' proportionate share of certain generation and transmission facilities. In January 2012, Duke Energy Ohio completed the sale of its 75% ownership of the Vermillion Generating Station (Vermillion); upon the close, Duke Energy Indiana purchased a 62.5% interest in the station. See Note 2 for further discussion.

Duke Energy Carolinas, a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy, is an electric utility company that generates, transmits, distributes and sells electricity in North Carolina and South Carolina. Duke Energy Carolinas is subject to the regulatory provisions of the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC), the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (PSCSC), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Substantially all of Duke Energy Carolinas' operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting treatment. As discussed further in Note 3, Duke Energy Carolinas' operations include one reportable business segment, Franchised Electric.

Progress Energy, a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy, is a holding company headquartered in Raleigh, North Carolina, subject to regulation by the FERC. Progress Energy conducts operations through its wholly owned subsidiaries, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida. As discussed further in Note 3, Progress Energy's operations include one reportable segment, Franchised Electric.

Progress Energy Carolinas, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy, is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina and South Carolina. Progress Energy Carolinas is subject to the regulatory provisions of the NCUC, the PSCSC, the NRC and the FERC. Substantially all of Progress Energy Carolinas' operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting treatment. As discussed further in Note 3, Progress Energy Carolinas' operations include one reportable segment, Franchised Electric.

Progress Energy Florida, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy, is a regulated public utility primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in west central Florida. Progress Energy Florida is subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), the NRC and the FERC. Substantially all of Progress Energy Florida's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting treatment. As discussed further in Note 3, Progress Energy Florida's operations include one reportable segment, Franchised Electric.

Duke Energy Ohio, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy, is a combination electric and gas public utility that provides service in the southwestern portion of Ohio and in northern Kentucky through its wholly owned subsidiary, Duke Energy Kentucky, as well as electric generation in parts of Ohio, Illinois and Pennsylvania. Duke Energy Ohio's principal lines of business include generation, transmission and distribution of electricity, the sale of and/or transportation of natural gas, and energy marketing. Duke Energy Ohio conducts competitive auctions for retail electricity supply in Ohio whereby the energy price is recovered from retail customers. Duke Energy Kentucky's principal lines of business include generation, transmission and distribution of electricity, as well as the sale of and/or transportation of natural gas. References herein to Duke Energy Ohio include Duke Energy Ohio and its subsidiaries, unless otherwise noted. Duke Energy Ohio is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO), the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC) and the FERC. Duke Energy Ohio applies regulatory accounting treatment to substantially all of the operations in its Franchised Electric and Gas operating segment. Through November 2011, Duke Energy Ohio applied regulatory accounting treatment to certain rate riders associated with retail generation of its Commercial Power operating segment. See Note 3 for further information about Duke Energy Ohio's business segments.

Duke Energy Indiana, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy, is an electric utility that provides service in north central, central, and southern Indiana. Its primary line of business is generation, transmission and distribution of electricity. Duke Energy Indiana is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC) and the FERC. Substantially all of Duke Energy Indiana's operations are regulated and qualify for regulatory accounting treatment. As discussed further in Note 3, Duke Energy Indiana's operations include one reportable business segment, Franchised Electric.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation. In addition, prior year financial statements and footnote disclosures for the Progress Energy Registrants have been reclassified to conform to Duke Energy's presentation.

Reverse Stock Split.

On July 2, 2012, just prior to the close of the merger with Progress Energy, Duke Energy executed a one-for-three reverse stock split with respect to the issued and outstanding shares of Duke Energy common stock. All per-share amounts included in this Form 10-K are presented as if the one-for-three reverse stock split had been effective from the beginning of the earliest period presented.

Use of Estimates.

To conform to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S., management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes. Although these estimates are based on management's best available information at the time, actual results could differ.

Cost-Based Regulation.

The Duke Energy Registrants account for their regulated operations in accordance with applicable regulatory accounting guidance. The economic effects of regulation can result in a regulated company recording assets for costs that have been or are expected to be approved for recovery from customers in a future period or recording liabilities for amounts that are expected to be returned to customers in the rate-setting process in a period different from the period in which the amounts would be recorded by an unregulated enterprise. Accordingly, the Duke Energy Registrants record assets and liabilities that result from the regulated ratemaking process that would not be recorded under GAAP for nonregulated entities. Regulatory assets and liabilities are amortized consistent with the treatment of the related cost in the ratemaking process. Management continually assesses whether regulatory assets are probable of future recovery by considering factors such as applicable regulatory changes, recent rate orders applicable to other regulated entities and the status of any pending or potential deregulation legislation. Additionally, management continually assesses whether any regulatory liabilities have been incurred. Based on this continual assessment, management believes the existing regulatory assets are probable of recovery and that no regulatory liabilities, other than those recorded, have been incurred. These regulatory assets and liabilities are classified in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Regulatory assets and Other in Current Assets and as Regulatory liabilities and Other in Current Liabilities, respectively. The Duke Energy Registrants periodically evaluate the applicability of regulatory accounting treatment by considering factors such as regulatory changes and the impact of competition. If cost-based regulation ends or competition increases, the Duke Energy Registrants may have to reduce their asset balances to reflect a market basis less than cost and write-off the associated regulatory assets and liabilities. If it becomes probable that part of the cost of a plant under construction or a recently completed plant will be disallowed for ratemaking purposes and a reasonable estimate of the amount of the disallowance can be made, that amount is recognized as a loss.

In November 2011, in conjunction with the PUCO's approval of its new Electric Security Plan (ESP), Duke Energy Ohio ceased applying regulatory accounting treatment to generation operations within its Commercial Power segment.

For further information, see Note 4.

Energy Purchases, Fuel Costs and Fuel Cost Deferrals.

The Duke Energy Registrants utilize cost-tracking mechanisms, commonly referred to as a fuel adjustment clause, to recover the retail portion of fuel and purchased power. The Duke Energy Registrants defer the related cost through Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power — regulated on the Consolidated Statement of Operations, unless a regulatory requirement exists for deferral through Operating Revenues.

Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power — regulated includes fuel, purchased power and recoverable costs that are deferred through fuel clauses established by the Subsidiary Registrants' regulators. These clauses allow the Subsidiary Registrants to recover fuel costs, fuel-related costs and portions of purchased power costs through surcharges on customer rates. The Subsidiary Registrants record any under-recovery or over-recovery resulting from the differences between estimated and actual costs as a regulatory asset or regulatory liability until billed or refunded to customers, at which point the differences are adjusted through revenues. Indiana law limits the amount of fuel costs that Duke Energy Indiana can recover to an amount that will not result in earning a return in excess of that allowed by the IURC.

As discussed in Note 4, beginning January 1, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio procures energy for its retail customers through a third-party auction. Purchases of energy through the auction process are a pass-through of costs for Duke Energy Ohio, with no affect on earnings. Subsequent to December 31, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio's generation assets are no longer dedicated to retail customers and, accordingly, Duke Energy Ohio can no longer recover their generation assets' energy purchases and fuel costs from regulated customers.

Cash and Cash Equivalents.

All highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition are considered cash equivalents. At December 31, 2012, Duke Energy had cash and cash equivalents of $1,424 million, of which $731 million is held in foreign jurisdictions and is forecasted to be used to fund international operations and investments.

Restricted Cash.

The Duke Energy Registrants have restricted cash related primarily to collateral assets, escrow deposits, and restricted cash of VIEs. Restricted cash balances are reflected in Other within Current Assets and in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31,	
(in millions)	2012	2011
Duke Energy	$ 574	$ 104
Duke Energy Carolinas	—	—
Progress Energy	11	35
Progress Energy Carolinas	—	—
Progress Energy Florida	—	—
Duke Energy Ohio	—	30
Duke Energy Indiana	—	—

Inventory.

Inventory is comprised of amounts presented in the tables below and is recorded primarily using the average cost method. Inventory related to the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated operations is valued at historical cost consistent with ratemaking treatment. Materials and supplies are recorded as inventory when purchased and subsequently charged to expense or capitalized to property, plant and equipment when installed. Reserves are established for excess and obsolete inventory. Inventory related to the Duke Energy Registrants' nonregulated operations is valued at the lower of cost or market. The following tables present the Duke Energy Registrants' inventory.

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Materials and supplies	$ 1,751	$ 574	$ 768	$ 499	$ 269	$ 142	$ 164
Coal held for electric generation	1,468	488	673	329	344	82	216
Natural gas	4	—	—	—	—	3	—
Total inventory	$ 3,223	$ 1,062	$ 1,441	$ 828	$ 613	$ 227	$ 380

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Materials and supplies	$ 873	$ 505	$ 747	$ 446	$ 301	$ 150	$ 134
Coal held for electric generation	712	412	681	323	358	90	196
Natural gas	3	—	1	1	—	3	—
Total inventory	$ 1,588	$ 917	$ 1,429	$ 770	$ 659	$ 243	$ 330

Duke Energy Ohio has agreements with a third party through which title of natural gas inventory purchased by Duke Energy Ohio is transferred to a third party. Under the agreements, the gas inventory is stored and managed for Duke Energy Ohio and is delivered on demand. As a result of the agreements, the combined natural gas inventory of approximately $44 million and $50 million being held by a third party as of December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, was classified as Other within Current Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities.

The Duke Energy Registrants classify investments into two categories — trading and available-for-sale. Trading securities are reported at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets with net realized and unrealized gains and losses included in earnings each period. Available-for-sale securities are also reported at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets with unrealized gains and losses included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) or as a regulatory asset or liability, unless it is determined that the carrying value of an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. Other-than-temporary impairments related to equity securities and the credit loss portion of debt securities are included in earnings, unless deferred in accordance with regulatory accounting treatment. Investments in debt and equity securities are classified as either short-term investments or long-term investments based on management's intent and ability to sell these securities, taking into consideration illiquidity factors in the current markets with respect to certain investments that have historically provided for a high degree of liquidity, such as investments in auction rate debt securities.

See Note 17 for further information on the investments in debt and equity securities, including investments held in the nuclear decommissioning trust funds (NDTF).

Goodwill.

Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio perform annual goodwill impairment tests as of August 31 each year and update these tests between annual tests if events or circumstances occur that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value.

In 2012, Progress Energy changed its goodwill impairment testing date from October 31 to August 31. The change in the goodwill impairment test date is preferable as it better aligns the annual goodwill impairment testing procedures with the testing procedures of Duke Energy. The change in accounting principle did not accelerate, delay, avoid, or cause a goodwill impairment charge. Neither the change in the goodwill impairment testing date nor the merger resulted in any changes to the Progress Energy reporting units. Due to significant judgments and estimates that are utilized in a goodwill impairment analysis, Progress Energy determined it was impracticable to objectively determine, without the use of hindsight, projected cash flows and related valuation estimates as of each August 31, for periods prior to August 31, 2012. As such, the change in the annual goodwill impairment testing date was prospectively applied from August 31, 2012.

Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Ohio perform the annual review for goodwill impairment at the reporting unit level, which Duke Energy and Progress Energy have determined to be an operating segment or one level below and which Duke Energy Ohio has determined to be an operating segment.

The annual goodwill impairment test may first consider qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (i.e. greater than 50 percent chance) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its book value. This is sometimes referred to as "step zero" and is an optional step in the annual goodwill impairment analysis (see further discussion as discussed in "New Accounting Standards" below). If the results of qualitative assessments indicate that the fair value of a reporting unit is more likely than not less than the carrying value of the reporting unit, the two-step impairment test is required. Step one of the impairment test involves comparing the fair values of reporting units with their carrying values, including goodwill. If the carrying amount is less than fair value in step one, further testing of goodwill is not performed. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit's fair value, step two must be performed to determine the amount, if any, of the goodwill impairment loss. Step two of the goodwill impairment test involves comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill against the carrying value of the goodwill. Under step two, determining the implied fair value of goodwill requires the valuation of a reporting unit's identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination on the testing date. The difference between the fair value of the entire reporting unit as determined in step one and the net fair value of all identifiable assets and liabilities represents the implied fair value of goodwill. The goodwill impairment charge, if any, would be the excess of the carrying amount of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill upon the completion of step two.

As a result of the Progress Energy merger, Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Ohio performed step one of the goodwill impairment test as of August 31, 2012, and concluded the fair value of the reporting units exceeded their respective carrying values, and thus, did not record any impairment charges. In 2011, Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio performed the qualitative assessments under step zero and concluded that it was more likely than not the fair value of each reporting unit exceeded its carrying value. In 2011, Progress Energy performed step one of the goodwill impairment test, which indicated the carrying amounts of goodwill were not impaired. In 2010, Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Duke Energy Ohio used the two-step process to test goodwill for impairment, which resulted in impairments recorded by Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio.

See Note 12 for further information.

Long-Lived Asset Impairments.

The Duke Energy Registrants evaluate whether long-lived assets, excluding goodwill, have been impaired when circumstances indicate the carrying value of those assets may not be recoverable. For such long-lived assets, an impairment exists when its carrying value exceeds the sum of estimates of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. When alternative courses of action to recover the carrying amount of a long-lived asset are under consideration, a probability-weighted approach is used for developing estimates of future undiscounted cash flows. If the carrying value of the long-lived asset is not recoverable based on these estimated future undiscounted cash flows, the impairment loss is measured as the excess of the carrying value of the asset over its fair value, such that the asset's carrying value is adjusted to its estimated fair value.

Management assesses the fair value of long-lived assets using commonly accepted techniques, and may use more than one source. Sources to determine fair value include, but are not limited to, recent third party comparable sales, internally developed discounted cash flow analysis and analysis from outside advisors. Significant changes in market conditions resulting from events such as, among others, changes in commodity prices or the condition of an asset, or a change in management's intent to utilize the asset are generally viewed by management as triggering events to re-assess the cash flows related to the long-lived assets.

See Note 12 for further information.

Property, Plant and Equipment.

Property, plant and equipment are stated at the lower of historical cost less accumulated depreciation or fair value, if impaired. The Duke Energy Registrants capitalize all construction-related direct labor and material costs, as well as indirect construction costs. Indirect costs include general engineering, taxes and the allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC). See "AFUDC and Interest Capitalized," below for additional information. The cost of renewals and betterments that extend the useful life of property, plant and equipment are also capitalized. The cost of repairs, replacements and major maintenance projects, which do not extend the useful life or increase the expected output of the asset, are expensed as incurred. Depreciation is generally computed over the estimated useful life of the asset using the composite straight-line method. For regulated operations, depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update the composite rates and are approved by the various state commissions. The composite weighted-average depreciation rates, excluding nuclear fuel, for each of the Duke Energy Registrants are included in the following table:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Duke Energy	2.9 %	3.2 %	3.2 %
Duke Energy Carolinas	2.8 %	2.6 %	2.7 %
Progress Energy	2.6 %	2.3 %	2.0 %
Progress Energy Carolinas	2.7 %	2.1 %	2.1 %
Progress Energy Florida	2.5 %	2.4 %	1.9 %
Duke Energy Ohio	3.2 %	3.5 %	4.1 %
Duke Energy Indiana	3.3 %	3.4 %	3.5 %

When the Duke Energy Registrants retire regulated property, plant and equipment under what is considered a normal retirement, the original cost plus the cost of retirement, less salvage value, is charged to accumulated depreciation, consistent with regulated rate-making practices. When it becomes probable that a regulated generation asset will be retired substantially in advance of its original expected useful life or is abandoned, the cost of the asset and the corresponding accumulated depreciation is removed from Cost and Accumulated depreciation and amortization within Property, Plant and Equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and a separate asset is recognized. If the plant is still in operation, the amount is classified as Generation facilities to be retired, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. If the plant is no longer operating, then a regulatory asset is recognized. The carrying value of the asset is based on historical cost if the Duke Energy Registrants are allowed to recover the remaining net book value and a full return on the asset. If the Duke Energy Registrants do not expect to recover the full remaining cost and a full return, the carrying value of the asset is based on the lower of cost or the present value of the future revenues expected to be provided to recover the allowable costs discounted at the Duke Energy Registrants' incremental borrowing rate. An impairment is recognized if the net book value of the asset exceeds the present value of the future revenues to be recovered in rates.

When the Duke Energy Registrants sell entire regulated operating units, or retire or sell nonregulated properties, the original cost is removed from property and the related accumulated depreciation and amortization balances are reduced. Any gain or loss is recorded in earnings, unless otherwise required by the applicable regulatory body.

See Note 10 for further information on the components and estimated useful lives of Duke Energy's property, plant and equipment.

Nuclear Fuel.

Nuclear fuel is classified as Property, Plant and Equipment in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Nuclear fuel in the front-end fuel processing phase is considered work in progress and not amortized until placed in service. Amortization of nuclear fuel is included within Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power-regulated in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The amortization is recorded using the units-of-production method.

AFUDC and Interest Capitalized.

In accordance with applicable regulatory accounting guidance, the Duke Energy Registrants record AFUDC, which represents the estimated debt and equity costs of capital funds necessary to finance the construction of new regulated facilities. The equity component of AFUDC is a non-cash amount within the Consolidated Statements of Operations. AFUDC is capitalized as a component of the cost of property, plant and equipment, with an offsetting credit to Other income and expenses, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the equity component and as an offset to Interest Expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the debt component. After construction is completed, the Duke Energy Registrants are permitted to recover these costs through inclusion in the rate base and the corresponding depreciation expense or nuclear fuel expense.

AFUDC equity is a permanent difference item for income tax purposes, thus reducing the Duke Energy Registrants' effective tax rate during the construction phase in which AFUDC equity is being recorded. The effective tax rate is subsequently increased in future periods when the completed property, plant and equipment are placed in service and depreciation of the AFUDC equity commences. See Note 24 for information related to the impacts of AFUDC equity on the Duke Energy Registrants' effective tax rate.

For nonregulated operations, interest is capitalized during the construction phase in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance.

Asset Retirement Obligations.

The Duke Energy Registrants recognize asset retirement obligations for legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or normal use of the asset, and for conditional asset retirement obligations. The term conditional asset retirement obligation refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and (or) method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. The obligation to perform the asset retirement activity is unconditional even though uncertainty exists about the timing and (or) method of settlement. Thus, the timing and (or) method of settlement may be conditional on a future event. When recording an asset retirement obligation, the present value of the projected liability is recognized in the period in which it is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The liability is then accreted over time by applying an interest method of allocation to the liability. Substantially all accretion is related to regulated operations and is deferred pursuant to regulatory accounting. The present value of the liability is added to the carrying amount of the associated asset and this additional carrying amount is depreciated over the remaining life of the asset.

The present value of the initial obligation and subsequent updates are based on discounted cash flows, which include estimates regarding the timing of future cash flows, the selection of discount rates and cost escalation rates, among other factors. These underlying assumptions and estimates are made as of a point in time and are subject to change. The obligations for nuclear decommissioning are based on site-specific cost studies. Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas assume prompt dismantlement of the nuclear facilities, which reflects dismantling the site after operations are ceased. Progress Energy Florida assumes the nuclear facility will be placed into a safe storage configuration until the eventual dismantling of the site begins in approximately 40-60 years. The nuclear decommissioning asset retirement obligation also assumes Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida will store spent fuel on site until such time that it can be transferred to a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facility.

See Note 9 for further information.

Revenue Recognition and Unbilled Revenue.

Revenues on sales of electricity and gas are recognized when either the service is provided or the product is delivered. Unbilled retail revenues are estimated by applying average revenue per kilowatt-hour (kWh) or per thousand cubic feet (Mcf) for all customer classes to the number of estimated kWh or Mcf delivered but not billed. Unbilled wholesale energy revenues are calculated by applying the contractual rate per megawatt-hour (MWh) to the number of estimated MWh delivered but not yet billed. Unbilled wholesale demand revenues are calculated by applying the contractual rate per megawatt (MW) to the MW volume delivered but not yet billed. The amount of unbilled revenues can vary significantly from period to period as a result of numerous factors, including seasonality, weather, customer usage patterns and customer mix.

The Duke Energy Registrants had unbilled revenues within Receivables and within Restricted receivables of variable interest entities on their respective Consolidated Balance Sheets as shown in the table below.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012	2011
Duke Energy	$ 920	$ 674
Duke Energy Carolinas	315	293
Progress Energy	187	157
Progress Energy Carolinas	112	102
Progress Energy Florida	74	55
Duke Energy Ohio	47	50
Duke Energy Indiana	3	2

Additionally, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana sell, on a revolving basis, nearly all of their retail and wholesale accounts receivable to Cinergy Receivables Company, LLC (CRC). These transfers meet sales/derecognition criteria and, therefore, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana account for the transfers of receivables to Cinergy Receivables as sales. Accordingly, the receivables sold are not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. See Note 18 for further information. Receivables for unbilled revenues related to retail and wholesale accounts receivable at Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana included in the sales of accounts receivable to CRC were as shown in the table below.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012	2011
Duke Energy Ohio	$ 90	$ 89
Duke Energy Indiana	132	115

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts.

The Duke Energy Registrants' allowances for doubtful accounts are included in the following table:

(in millions)	December 31, 2012	2011	2010
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts			
Duke Energy	$ 34	$ 35	$ 34
Duke Energy Carolinas	3	3	3
Progress Energy	16	27	35
Progress Energy Carolinas	9	9	10
Progress Energy Florida	7	18	25
Duke Energy Ohio	2	16	18
Duke Energy Indiana	1	1	1
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - VIEs			
Duke Energy	$ 44	$ 40	$ 34
Duke Energy Carolinas	6	6	6

Accounting for Risk Management, Hedging Activities and Financial Instruments.

The Duke Energy Registrants may use a number of different derivative and non-derivative instruments in connection with their commodity price, interest rate and foreign currency risk management activities, including swaps, futures, forwards and options. All derivative instruments except those that qualify for the normal purchase/normal sale (NPNS) exception within the accounting guidance for derivatives are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair value. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges is recorded in AOCI. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of a fair value hedge is offset in net income by changes in the hedged item. The Duke Energy Registrants may designate qualifying derivative instruments as either cash flow hedges or fair value hedges, while others either have not been designated as hedges or do not qualify as a hedge (hereinafter referred to as undesignated contracts).

For all contracts accounted for as a hedge, the Duke Energy Registrants prepare formal documentation of the hedge in accordance with the accounting guidance for derivatives. In addition, at inception and at least every three months thereafter, the Duke Energy Registrants formally assess whether the hedge contract is highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows or fair values of hedged items. The Duke Energy Registrants document hedging activity by transaction type and risk management strategy.

See Note 15 for further information.

Captive Insurance Reserves.

Duke Energy has captive insurance subsidiaries that provide coverage, on an indemnity basis, to the Subsidiary Registrants as well as certain third parties, on a limited basis, for various business risks and losses, such as property, business interruption, workers' compensation and general liability. Liabilities include provisions for estimated losses incurred but not yet reported (IBNR), as well as provisions for known claims which have been estimated on a claims-incurred basis. IBNR reserve estimates involve the use of assumptions and are primarily based upon historical loss experience, industry data and other actuarial assumptions. Reserve estimates are adjusted in future periods as actual losses differ from historical experience.

Duke Energy, through its captive insurance entities, also has reinsurance coverage with third parties, which provides reimbursement for certain losses above a per occurrence and/or aggregate retention. Duke Energy recognizes a reinsurance receivable for recovery of incurred losses under its captive's reinsurance coverage once realization of the receivable is deemed probable.

Unamortized Debt Premium, Discount and Expense.

Premiums, discounts and expenses incurred with the issuance of outstanding long-term debt are amortized over the terms of the debt issues. Any call premiums or unamortized expenses associated with refinancing higher-cost debt obligations used to finance regulated assets and operations are amortized consistent with regulatory treatment of those items, where appropriate. The amortization expense is recorded as a component of Interest Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and is reflected as Depreciation, amortization and accretion within Net cash provided by operating activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Loss Contingencies and Environmental Liabilities.

The Duke Energy Registrants are involved in certain legal and environmental matters that arise in the normal course of business. Contingent losses are recorded when it is determined that it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. When a range of the probable loss exists and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, the Duke Energy Registrants record a loss contingency at the minimum amount in the range. Unless otherwise required by GAAP, legal fees are expensed as incurred.

Environmental liabilities are recorded on an undiscounted basis when the necessity for environmental remediation becomes probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated, or when other potential environmental liabilities are reasonably estimable and probable. The Duke Energy Registrants expense environmental expenditures related to conditions caused by past operations that do not generate current or future revenues. Certain environmental expenses receive regulatory accounting treatment, under which the expenses are recorded as regulatory assets. Environmental expenditures related to operations that generate current or future revenues are expensed or capitalized, as appropriate.

See Note 5 for further information.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans.

Duke Energy maintains qualified, non-qualified and other post-retirement benefit plans. Eligible employees of the Subsidiary Registrants participate in the respective Duke Energy or Progress Energy qualified, non-qualified and other post-retirement benefit plans and are allocated their proportionate share of benefit costs.

See Note 23 for information related to Duke Energy's benefit plans, including certain accounting policies associated with these plans.

Severance and Special Termination Benefits.

Duke Energy has an ongoing severance plan under which, in general, the longer a terminated employee worked prior to termination the greater the amount of severance benefits. The Duke Energy Registrants record a liability for involuntary severance once an involuntary severance plan is committed to by management, or sooner, if involuntary severances are probable and the related severance benefits can be reasonably estimated. For involuntary severance benefits that are incremental to its ongoing severance plan benefits, Duke Energy measures the obligation and records the expense at its fair value at the communication date if there are no future service requirements, or, if future service is required to receive the termination benefit, ratably over the service period. From time to time, Duke Energy offers special termination benefits under voluntary severance programs. Special termination benefits are measured upon employee acceptance and recorded immediately absent a significant retention period. If a significant retention period exists, the cost of the special termination benefits are recorded ratably over the remaining service periods of the affected employees. Employee acceptance of voluntary severance benefits is determined by management based on the facts and circumstances of the special termination benefits being offered.

See Note 21 for further information.

Guarantees.

Upon issuance or modification of a guarantee, the Duke Energy Registrants recognize a liability at the time of issuance or material modification for the estimated fair value of the obligation it assumes under that guarantee, if any. Fair value is estimated using a probability-weighted approach. The Duke Energy Registrants reduce the obligation over the term of the guarantee or related contract in a systematic and rational method as risk is reduced under the obligation. Any additional contingent loss for guarantee contracts subsequent to the initial recognition of a liability in accordance with applicable accounting guidance is accounted for and recognized at the time a loss is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

The Duke Energy Registrants have entered into various indemnification agreements related to purchase and sale agreements and other types of contractual agreements with vendors and other third parties. These agreements typically cover environmental, tax, litigation and other matters, as well as breaches of representations, warranties and covenants. Typically, claims may be made by third parties for various periods of time, depending on the nature of the claim. Potential exposure under these indemnification agreements can range from a specified to an unlimited dollar amount, depending on the nature of the claim and the particular transaction.

See Note 7 for further information.

Other Current and Non-Current Assets and Liabilities.

Other within Current Assets includes current regulatory assets, which are disclosed in Note 4, and the current portion of deferred tax assets, which are disclosed in Note 24. Additionally, the following are included in Other within Current Assets or Current Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Duke Energy Registrants at December 31, 2012 and 2011. The amounts presented exceeded 5% of Current assets or 5% of Current liabilities unless otherwise noted.

		December 31,	
(in millions)	**Location**	**2012**	**2011**
Duke Energy			
Accrued compensation	Current Liabilities	$ 725	$ 407
Duke Energy Carolinas			
Accrued compensation	Current Liabilities	$ 203	$ 163
Collateral liabilities[a]	Current Liabilities	105	94
Progress Energy			
Customer deposits	Current Liabilities	$ 342	$ 340
Accrued compensation[a]	Current Liabilities	304	155
Derivative liabilities	Current Liabilities	221	382
Progress Energy Carolinas			
Customer deposits	Current Liabilities	$ 120	$ 116
Accrued compensation[a]	Current Liabilities	160	82
Derivative liabilities[b]	Current Liabilities	94	123
Progress Energy Florida			
Customer deposits	Current Liabilities	$ 222	$ 224
Accrued compensation[a]	Current Liabilities	95	49
Derivative liabilities	Current Liabilities	127	220
Duke Energy Ohio			
Collateral assets[a]	Current Assets	$ 99	$ 31
Duke Energy Indiana			
Derivative liabilities[a]	Current Liabilities	$ 63	$ 1

(a) Does not exceed 5% of Total current assets or Total current liabilities at December 31, 2011.
(b) Does not exceed 5% of Total current assets or Total current liabilities at December 31, 2012.

Net Income Amounts Attributable to Controlling Interests.

The following tables present the net income amounts attributable to controlling interests for the Duke Energy Registrants with noncontrolling interests during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Progress Energy
Net Income Amounts Attributable to Controlling Interests		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	$ 1,732	$ 348
Discontinued operations, net of tax	36	52
Net income attributable to controlling interests	$ 1,768	$ 400

	Year Ended December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Progress Energy
Net Income Amounts Attributable to Controlling Interests		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	$ 1,705	$ 580
Discontinued operations, net of tax	1	(5)
Net income attributable to controlling interests	$ 1,706	$ 575

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Progress Energy
Net Income Amounts Attributable to Controlling Interests		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	$ 1,317	$ 860
Discontinued operations, net of tax	3	(4)
Net income attributable to controlling interests	$ 1,320	$ 856

Stock-Based Compensation.

Stock-based compensation represents the cost related to stock-based awards granted to employees. Duke Energy recognizes stock-based compensation based upon the estimated fair value of the awards, net of estimated forfeitures. The recognition period for these costs begin at either the applicable service inception date or grant date and continues throughout the requisite service period, or for certain share-based awards until the employee becomes retirement eligible, if earlier. Share-based awards, including stock options, but not performance shares, granted to employees that are already retirement eligible are deemed to have vested immediately upon issuance, and, therefore, compensation cost for those awards is recognized on the date such awards are granted.

See Note 22 for further information.

Accounting For Purchases and Sales of Emission Allowances.

Emission allowances are issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at zero cost and permit the holder of the allowance to emit certain gaseous by-products of fossil fuel combustion, including sulfur dioxide (SO_2) and nitrogen oxide (NO_X). Allowances may also be bought and sold via third-party transactions. Allowances allocated to or acquired by the Duke Energy Registrants are held primarily for consumption. Emission allowances at cost are included in Intangibles, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the Duke Energy Registrants recognize expense as the allowances are consumed or sold. Gains or losses on sales of emission allowances by regulated businesses that do not provide for direct recovery through a cost-tracking mechanism and by nonregulated businesses are presented in Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. For regulated businesses that provide for direct recovery of emission allowances, any gain or loss on sales of recoverable emission allowances are included in the rate structure of the regulated entity and are deferred as a regulatory asset or liability. Future rates charged to retail customers are impacted by any gain or loss on sales of recoverable emission allowances. Purchases and sales of emission allowances are presented gross as investing activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. See Note 12 for discussion regarding the impairment of the carrying value of certain emission allowances in 2011.

Income Taxes.

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and other state and foreign jurisdictional returns as required. Deferred income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between the GAAP and tax carrying amounts of assets and liabilities. These differences create taxable or tax-deductible amounts for future periods. Investment tax credits (ITC) associated with regulated operations are deferred and are amortized as a reduction of income tax expense over the estimated useful lives of the related properties.

The Subsidiary Registrants entered into a tax sharing agreement with Duke Energy, where the separate return method is used to allocate tax expenses and benefits to the subsidiaries whose investments or results of operations provide these tax expenses or benefits. The accounting for income taxes essentially represents the income taxes that the Subsidiary Registrants would incur if the Subsidiary Registrants were a separate company filing its own federal tax return as a C-Corporation. The Duke Energy Registrants record unrecognized tax benefits for positions taken or expected to be taken on tax returns, including the decision to exclude certain income or transactions from a return, when a more-likely-than-not threshold is met for a tax position and management believes that the position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities. Management evaluates each position based solely on the technical merits and facts and circumstances of the position, assuming the position will be examined by a taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. The Duke Energy Registrants record the largest amount of the unrecognized tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement or effective settlement. Management considers a tax position effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits when the following conditions exist: (i) the taxing authority has completed its examination procedures, including all appeals and administrative reviews that the taxing authority is required and expected to perform for the tax positions, (ii) the Duke Energy Registrants do not intend to appeal or litigate any aspect of the tax position included in the completed examination, and (iii) it is remote that the taxing authority would examine or reexamine any aspect of the tax position. Deferred taxes are not provided on translation gains and losses where Duke Energy expects earnings of a foreign operation to be indefinitely reinvested.

The Duke Energy Registrants record tax-related interest expense in Interest Expense and interest income and penalties in Other Income and Expenses, net, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

See Note 24 for further information.

Accounting for Renewable Energy Tax Credits and Grants.

In 2009, The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the Stimulus Bill) was signed into law, which provides tax incentives in the form of ITC or cash grants for renewable energy facilities and renewable generation property either placed in service through specified dates or for which construction has begun prior to specified dates. Under the Stimulus Bill, Duke Energy may elect an ITC, which is determined based on a percentage of the tax basis of the qualified property placed in service, for property placed in service after 2008 and before 2014 (2013 for wind facilities) or a cash grant, which allows entities to elect to receive a cash grant in lieu of the ITC for certain property either placed in service in 2009 or 2010 or for which construction begins in 2009 and 2010. In 2010, the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (the 2010 Tax Relief Act) extended the cash grant program for renewable energy property for one additional year, through 2011. In 2011, the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA) was passed which provided for an automatic reduction in defense and non-defense spending beginning January 1, 2013, which could reduce future cash grant payments since such grants are likely to be treated as non-defense discretionary spending subject to reduction under the sequester. In 2012, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the ATRA) extended the ITC (energy credit) and production tax credits available for wind facilities one year, through 2013, and changed the timing for determining property eligible for the ITC, from property placed in service before the credit deadline, to property under construction by the applicable deadline for the credit. The ATRA delayed the start of the automatic reductions/sequester under the BCA from January 1 to March 1, 2013. When Duke Energy elects either the ITC or cash grant on Commercial Power's wind or solar facilities that meet the stipulations of the Stimulus Bill, Duke Energy reduces the basis of the property recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets by the amount of the ITC or cash grant and, therefore, the ITC or grant benefit is recognized ratably over the life of the associated asset through reduced depreciation expense. Additionally, certain tax credits and government grants received under the Stimulus Bill provide for an incremental initial tax depreciable base in excess of the carrying value for GAAP purposes, creating an initial deferred tax asset equal to the tax effect of one half of the ITC or government grant. Duke Energy records the deferred tax benefit as a reduction to income tax expense in the period that the basis difference is created.

Excise Taxes.

Certain excise taxes levied by state or local governments are collected by the Duke Energy Registrants from their customers. These taxes, which are required to be paid regardless of the Duke Energy Registrants' ability to collect from the customer, are accounted for on a gross basis. When the Duke Energy Registrants act as an agent, and the tax is not required to be remitted if it is not collected from the customer, the taxes are accounted for on a net basis. The Duke Energy Registrants' excise taxes accounted for on a gross basis and recorded as operating revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Operations were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31, 2012	2011	2010
Duke Energy	$ 466	$ 293	$ 300
Duke Energy Carolinas	161	153	156
Progress Energy	317	315	345
Progress Energy Carolinas	113	110	119
Progress Energy Florida	205	205	226
Duke Energy Ohio	102	109	115
Duke Energy Indiana	33	31	29

Foreign Currency Translation.

The local currencies of Duke Energy's foreign operations have been determined to be their functional currencies, except for certain foreign operations whose functional currency has been determined to be the U.S. Dollar, based on an assessment of the economic circumstances of the foreign operation. Assets and liabilities of foreign operations, except for those whose functional currency is the U.S. Dollar, are translated into U.S. Dollars at the exchange rates in effect at period end. Translation adjustments resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates are included as a separate component of AOCI. Revenue and expense accounts of these operations are translated at average exchange rates prevailing during the year. Gains and losses arising from balances and transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are included in the results of operations in the period in which they occur.

Dividend Restrictions and Unappropriated Retained Earnings.

Duke Energy does not have any legal, regulatory or other restrictions on paying common stock dividends to shareholders. However, as further described in Note 4, due to conditions established by regulators at the time of the Duke Energy/Cinergy merger in April 2006 and the Duke Energy/Progress Energy merger in 2012, certain wholly owned subsidiaries, including Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, have restrictions on paying dividends or otherwise advancing funds to Duke Energy. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, an insignificant amount of Duke Energy's consolidated Retained earnings balance represents undistributed earnings of equity method investments.

New Accounting Standards.

The following new accounting standards were adopted by the Duke Energy Registrants during the year ended December 31, 2012, and the impact of such adoption, if applicable, has been presented in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements:

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 220 — Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the FASB amended the existing requirements for presenting comprehensive income in financial statements primarily to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income (OCI) and to facilitate the convergence of U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Specifically, the revised guidance eliminates the option previously provided to present components of OCI as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. Accordingly, all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity are required to be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. For the Duke Energy Registrants, this revised guidance was effective on a retrospective basis for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2012. The adoption of this standard changed the presentation of the Duke Energy Registrants' financial statements but did not affect the calculation of net income, comprehensive income or earnings per share.

ASC 820 — Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. In May 2011, the FASB amended existing requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. This revised guidance results in a consistent definition of fair value, as well as common requirements for measurement and disclosure of fair value information between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. In addition, the amendments set forth enhanced disclosure requirements with respect to recurring Level 3 measurements, nonfinancial assets measured or disclosed at fair value, transfers between levels in the fair value hierarchy, and assets and liabilities disclosed but not recorded at fair value. For the Duke Energy Registrants, the revised fair value measurement guidance was effective on a prospective basis for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2012. The adoption of this new guidance did not have a significant impact on the Duke Energy Registrants disclosures or their consolidated results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

The following new accounting standards were adopted by Duke Energy during the year ended December 31, 2011, and the impact of such adoption, if applicable has been presented in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements:

ASC 605 — Revenue Recognition. In October 2009, the FASB issued new revenue recognition accounting guidance in response to practice concerns related to the accounting for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. This new accounting guidance primarily applies to all contractual arrangements in which a vendor will perform multiple revenue generating activities and addresses the unit of accounting for arrangements involving multiple deliverables, as well as how arrangement consideration should be allocated to the separate units of accounting. For the Duke Energy Registrants, the new accounting guidance was effective January 1, 2011, and applied on a prospective basis. This new accounting guidance did not have a material impact to the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Duke Energy Registrants.

ASC 805 — Business Combinations. In November 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance in response to diversity in the interpretation of pro forma information disclosure requirements for business combinations. The new accounting guidance requires an entity to present pro forma financial information as if a business combination occurred at the beginning of the earliest period presented as well as additional disclosures describing the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments. This new accounting guidance was effective January 1, 2011, and has been applied to all business combinations consummated after that date.

ASC 820 — Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. In January 2010, the FASB amended existing fair value measurements and disclosures accounting guidance to clarify certain existing disclosure requirements and to require a number of additional disclosures, including amounts and reasons for significant transfers between the three levels of the fair value hierarchy, and presentation of certain information in the reconciliation of recurring Level 3 measurements on a gross basis. For the Duke Energy Registrants, certain portions of this revised accounting guidance were effective on January 1, 2010, with additional disclosures effective for periods beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this accounting guidance resulted in additional disclosure in the notes to the consolidated financial statements but did not have an impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

ASC 350 — Intangibles–Goodwill and Other. In September 2011, the FASB amended existing goodwill impairment testing accounting guidance to provide an entity testing goodwill for impairment with the option of performing a qualitative assessment prior to calculating the fair value of a reporting unit in step one of a goodwill impairment test. Under this revised guidance, a qualitative assessment would require an evaluation of economic, industry, and company-specific considerations. If an entity determines, on a basis of such qualitative factors, that the fair value of a reporting unit is more likely than not less than the carrying value of a reporting unit, the two-step impairment test, as required under pre-existing applicable accounting guidance, would be required. Otherwise, no further impairment testing would be required. The revised goodwill impairment testing accounting guidance is effective for the Duke Energy Registrants' annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning January 1, 2012, with early adoption of this revised guidance permitted for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed as of a date before September 15, 2011. Since annual goodwill impairment tests are performed by Duke Energy as of August 31, the Duke Energy Registrants early adopted this revised accounting guidance during the third quarter of 2011 and applied that guidance to their annual goodwill impairment tests for 2011.

The following new accounting standards were adopted by Duke Energy during the year ended December 31, 2010, and the impact of such adoption, if applicable has been presented in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements:

ASC 860 — Transfers and Servicing. In June 2009, the FASB issued revised accounting guidance for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, to require additional information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, as well as additional information about an enterprise's continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. This revised accounting guidance eliminated the concept of a Qualifying Special Purpose Entity (QSPE) and required those entities which were not subject to consolidation under previous accounting rules to now be assessed for consolidation. In addition, this accounting guidance clarified and amended the derecognition criteria for transfers of financial assets (including transfers of portions of financial assets) and required additional disclosures about a transferor's continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. For Duke Energy, this revised accounting guidance was effective prospectively for transfers of financial assets occurring on or after January 1, 2010, and early adoption of this statement was prohibited. Since 2002, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana, and Duke Energy Kentucky have sold, on a revolving basis, nearly all of their accounts receivable and related collections through CRC, a bankruptcy-remote QSPE. The securitization transaction was structured to meet the criteria for sale accounting treatment, and, accordingly, Duke Energy did not consolidate CRC, and the transfers were accounted for as sales. Effective with adoption of this revised accounting guidance and ASC 810-Consolidation, as discussed below, the accounting treatment and/or financial statement presentation of Duke Energy's accounts receivable securitization programs was impacted as Duke Energy began consolidating CRC effective January 1, 2010. Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's sales of accounts receivable and related financial statement presentation were not impacted by the adoption of ASC 860.

ASC 810 — Consolidations. In June 2009, the FASB amended existing consolidation accounting guidance to eliminate the exemption from consolidation for QSPEs, and clarified, but did not significantly change, the criteria for determining whether an entity meets the definition of a VIE. This revised accounting guidance also required an enterprise to qualitatively assess the determination of the primary beneficiary of a VIE based on whether that enterprise has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of a VIE and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of a VIE that could potentially be significant to a VIE. In addition, this revised accounting guidance modified existing accounting guidance to require an ongoing evaluation of a VIE's primary beneficiary and amended the types of events that trigger a reassessment of whether an entity is a VIE. Furthermore, this accounting guidance required enterprises to provide additional disclosures about their involvement with VIEs and any significant changes in their risk exposure due to that involvement.

For the Duke Energy Registrants, this accounting guidance was effective beginning on January 1, 2010, and is applicable to all entities in which Duke Energy is involved, including entities previously subject to existing accounting guidance for VIEs, as well as any QSPEs that existed as of the effective date. Effective with adoption of this revised accounting guidance, the accounting treatment and/or financial statement presentation of Duke Energy's accounts receivable securitization programs were impacted as Duke Energy began consolidating CRC effective January 1, 2010. Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's sales of accounts receivable and related financial statement presentation were not impacted by the adoption of ASC 810. This revised accounting guidance did not have a significant impact on any of the Duke Energy Registrants' other interests in VIEs.

ASC 820 — Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. In January 2010, the FASB amended existing fair value measurements and disclosures accounting guidance to clarify certain existing disclosure requirements and to require a number of additional disclosures, including amounts and reasons for significant transfers between the three levels of the fair value hierarchy, and presentation of certain information in the reconciliation of recurring Level 3 measurements on a gross basis. For the Duke Energy Registrants, certain portions of this revised accounting guidance were effective on January 1, 2010, with additional disclosures effective for periods beginning January 1, 2011. The initial adoption of this accounting guidance resulted in additional disclosure in the notes to the consolidated financial statements but did not have an impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

The following new Accounting Standards Updates (ASU) have been issued, but have not yet been adopted by Duke Energy, as of December 31, 2012.

ASC 210 — Balance Sheet. In December 2011, the FASB issued revised accounting guidance to amend the existing disclosure requirements for offsetting financial assets and liabilities to enhance current disclosures, as well as to improve comparability of balance sheets prepared under U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The revised disclosure guidance affects all companies that have financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either offset in the balance sheet (i.e., presented on a net basis) or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement and/or similar agreement. The revised guidance requires that certain enhanced quantitative and qualitative disclosures be made with respect to a company's netting arrangements and/or rights of setoff associated with its financial instruments and/or derivative instruments including associated collateral. For the Duke Energy Registrants, the revised disclosure guidance is effective on a retrospective basis for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2013. Other than additional disclosures, this revised guidance does not impact the Duke Energy Registrants' consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

ASC 220 — Comprehensive Income. In February 2013, the FASB amended the existing requirements for presenting comprehensive income in financial statements to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of AOCI. The amendments in this Update seek to attain that objective by requiring an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of AOCI on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. This would be the case when a portion of the amount reclassified out of AOCI is reclassified to a balance sheet account (for example, inventory) instead of directly to income or expense in the same reporting period. For the Duke Energy Registrants, this revised guidance is effective on a prospective basis for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2013. Other than additional disclosures or a change in the presentation on the statement of comprehensive income, this revised guidance does not impact the Duke Energy Registrants' consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

2. ACQUISITIONS, DISPOSITIONS AND SALES OF OTHER ASSETS

Acquisitions.

The Duke Energy Registrants consolidate assets and liabilities from acquisitions as of the purchase date, and include earnings from acquisitions in consolidated earnings after the purchase date.

Merger with Progress Energy

Description of Transaction

On July 2, 2012, Duke Energy completed the merger contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement), among Diamond Acquisition Corporation, a North Carolina corporation and Duke Energy's wholly owned subsidiary (Merger Sub) and Progress Energy, a North Carolina corporation engaged in the regulated utility business of generation, transmission and distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina, South Carolina and Florida. As a result of the merger, Merger Sub was merged into Progress Energy and Progress Energy became a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy.

The merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy provides increased scale and diversity with potentially enhanced access to capital over the long term and a greater ability to undertake the significant construction programs necessary to respond to increasing environmental regulation, plant retirements and customer demand growth. Duke Energy's business risk profile is expected to improve over time due to the increased proportion of the business that is regulated. Additionally, cost savings, efficiencies and other benefits are expected from the combined operations.

Progress Energy's shareholders received 0.87083 shares of Duke Energy common stock in exchange for each share of Progress Energy common stock outstanding as of July 2, 2012. Generally, all outstanding Progress Energy equity-based compensation awards were converted into Duke Energy equity-based compensation awards using the same ratio. The merger was structured as a tax-free exchange of shares.

Refer to Note 5 for information regarding Progress Energy merger shareholder litigation.

Merger Related Regulatory Matters

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. On June 8, 2012, the FERC conditionally approved the merger including Duke Energy and Progress Energy's revised market power mitigation plan, the Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) and the joint Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT). The revised market power mitigation plan provides for the acceleration of one transmission project and the construction of seven other transmission projects (Long-term FERC Mitigation) and interim firm power sale agreements during the construction of the transmission projects (Interim FERC Mitigation). The Long-term FERC Mitigation will increase power imported into the Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas service areas and enhance competitive power supply options in the service areas. The construction of these projects will occur over the next two to three years. In conjunction with the Interim FERC Mitigation, Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas entered into power sale agreements with various counterparties that were effective with the consummation of the merger. These agreements, or similar power sale agreements, will be in place until the Long-term FERC Mitigation is operational. Under the agreements Duke Energy will deliver around-the-clock power during the winter and summer in quantities that vary by season and by peak period.

The FERC order requires an independent party to monitor whether the power sale agreements remain in effect during construction of the transmission projects and provide quarterly reports to the FERC regarding the status of construction of the transmission projects.

On June 25, 2012, Duke Energy and Progress Energy accepted the conditions imposed by the FERC.

On July 10, 2012, certain intervenors requested a rehearing seeking to overturn the June 8, 2012 order by the FERC. On August 8, 2012, FERC granted rehearing for further consideration.

North Carolina Utilities Commission and Public Service Commission of South Carolina. In September 2011, Duke Energy and Progress Energy reached settlements with the Public Staff of the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NC Public Staff) and the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff (ORS) and certain other interested parties in connection with the regulatory proceedings related to the merger, the JDA and the OATT that were pending before the NCUC and PSCSC. These settlements were updated in May 2012 to reflect the results of ongoing merger related applications pending before the FERC.

On June 29, 2012, the NCUC approved the merger application and the JDA application. On July 2, 2012, the PSCSC approved the JDA application subject to Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas providing their South Carolina retail customers pro rata benefits equivalent to those approved by the NCUC in its merger approval order.

On July 6, 2012, the NCUC issued an order initiating investigation and scheduling hearings on the Duke Energy board of directors' decision on July 2, 2012, to replace William D. Johnson with James E. Rogers as President and CEO of Duke Energy subsequent to the merger close, as well as other related matters. On November 29, 2012, a settlement agreement was reached and was subsequently approved by the NCUC on December 3, 2012. See Note 4 for further information.

As part of these settlements, approval of the merger by the NCUC and PSCSC, and resolution of the subsequent investigation by the NCUC, Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas agreed to the conditions and obligations listed below.

- Guarantee of $687 million in system fuel and fuel-related savings over 60 to 78 months for North Carolina and South Carolina retail and wholesale customers. The savings are expected to be achieved through coal blending, coal commodity and transportation savings, gas transportation savings, and the joint dispatch of Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas generation fleets.
- Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas will not seek recovery from retail customers for the cost of the Long-term FERC Mitigation for five years following merger consummation. After five years, Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas may seek to recover the costs of the Long-term FERC Mitigation, but must show that the projects are needed to provide adequate and reliable retail service regardless of the merger.
- A $65 million rate reduction over the term of the Interim FERC Mitigation to reflect the cost of capacity not available to Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas wholesale and retail customers during the Interim FERC Mitigation. The rate reduction will be achieved through retail decrement riders apportioned between Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas retail customers.
- Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas will not seek recovery from retail customers for any revenue shortfalls or fuel-related costs associated with the Interim FERC Mitigation. The Interim FERC Mitigation agreements were in a loss position for Duke Energy as of the date of the merger consummation.
- Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas will not seek recovery from retail customers for any revenue shortfalls or fuel-related costs associated with the Interim FERC Mitigation.
- Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas will not seek recovery from retail customers for any of their allocable share of merger related severance costs.
- Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas will provide community support and charitable contributions for four years, workforce development, low income energy assistance, and funding for green energy at a total cost of approximately $105 million, which cannot be recovered from retail customers.
- Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas will abide by revised North Carolina Regulatory Conditions and Code of Conduct governing their operations.
- Duke Energy will make certain management personnel changes and create a special committee of the Board of Directors to oversee the recommendation of a successor to James E. Rogers, President and CEO, and the search for two new members of the Board of Directors (see Note 4 for further information).

Kentucky Public Service Commission. On June 24, 2011, Duke Energy and Progress Energy filed a settlement agreement with the Kentucky Attorney General. On August 2, 2011, the KPSC issued an order conditionally approving the merger and required Duke Energy and Progress Energy to accept all conditions contained in the order. Duke Energy and Progress Energy requested and were granted rehearing on the limited issue of the wording of one condition relating to the composition of Duke Energy's post-merger board of directors. On October 28, 2011, the KPSC issued its order approving a settlement with the Kentucky Attorney General on the revised condition relating to the composition of the post-merger Duke Energy board. Duke Energy and Progress Energy filed their acceptance of the condition on November 2, 2011. Duke Energy Kentucky agreed to (i) not file new gas or electric base rate applications for two years from the date of the KPSC's final order in the merger proceedings, (ii) make five annual shareholder contributions of $165,000 to support low-income weatherization efforts and economic development within Duke Energy Kentucky's service territory and (iii) not seek recovery from retail customers for any of their allocable share of merger related costs.

Accounting Charges Related to the Merger Consummation

The following pre-tax consummation charges were recognized upon closing of the merger and are included in the Duke Energy Registrant's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
FERC Mitigation	$ 117	$ 46	$ 71	$ 71	$ —	$ —	$ -
Severance costs	196	63	82	55	27	21	18
Community support, charitable contributions and other	169	79	74	63	11	7	6
Total	**$ 482**	**$ 188**	**$ 227**	**$ 189**	**$ 38**	**$ 28**	**$ 24**

The FERC Mitigation charges reflect the portion of transmission project costs that were probable of disallowance, the impairment of the carrying value of the generation assets serving the Interim FERC Mitigation, and the mark-to-market loss recognized on the power sale agreements upon closing of the merger. The charges related to the transmission projects and the impairment of the carrying value of generation assets were recorded within Impairment charges in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012. The mark-to-market loss on the interim power sale agreements was recorded in Regulated electric operating revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012. Subsequent changes in the fair value of the interim power sale agreements over the life of the contracts and realized gains or losses on the interim contract sales are also recorded within Regulated electric operating revenues. The ability to successfully defend future recovery of a portion of the transmission projects in rates and any future changes to estimated transmission project costs could impact the amount that is not expected to be recovered.

In conjunction with the merger, in November 2011, Duke Energy and Progress Energy each offered a voluntary severance plan (VSP) to certain eligible employees. VSP and other severance costs incurred during the year ended December 31, 2012, were recorded primarily within Operation, maintenance and other in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012. See Note 21 for further information related to employee severance expenses.

Community support, charitable contributions and other reflect (i) the unconditional obligation to provide funding at a level comparable to historic practices over the next four years, and (ii) financial and legal advisory costs that were incurred upon the closing of the merger, retention and relocation costs paid to certain employees. These charges were recorded within Operation, maintenance and other in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Purchase Price

Pursuant to the merger, all Progress Energy common shares were exchanged at the fixed exchange ratio of 0.87083 common shares of Duke Energy for each Progress Energy common share. The total consideration transferred in the merger was based on the closing price of Duke Energy common shares on July 2, 2012, and was calculated as follows:

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts; shares in thousands)		
Progress Energy common shares outstanding at July 2, 2012		296,116
Exchange ratio		0.87083
Duke Energy common shares issued for Progress Energy common shares outstanding		257,867
Closing price of Duke Energy common shares on July 2, 2012	$	69.84
Purchase price for common stock	$	18,009
Fair value of outstanding earned stock compensation awards		62
Total purchase price	**$**	**18,071**

Progress Energy's stock-based compensation awards, including performance shares and restricted stock, were replaced with Duke Energy awards upon consummation of the merger. In accordance with accounting guidance for business combinations, a portion of the fair value of these awards is included in the purchase price as it represents consideration transferred in the merger.

Purchase Price Allocation

The fair value of Progress Energy's assets acquired and liabilities assumed was determined based on significant estimates and assumptions, including level 3 inputs, which are judgmental in nature. The estimates and assumptions include the projected timing and amount of future cash flows; discount rates reflecting risk inherent in the future cash flows and future market prices. The fair value of Progress Energy's assets acquired and liabilities assumed utilized for the purchase price allocation are preliminary. These amounts are subject to revision until the valuations are completed, and to the extent that additional information is obtained about the facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date, including but not limited to the resolution of matters pertaining to the retirement of CR3 as well as certain other tax and contingency related items.

The significant assets and liabilities for which preliminary valuation amounts are reflected as of the filing of this Form 10-K include the fair value of the acquired long-term debt, asset retirement obligations, capital leases and pension and other post-retirement benefit (OPEB) plans. Additionally the February 5, 2013 announcement of the decision to retire Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Unit 3, reflects additional information related to the facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date. See Note 4 for additional information related to Crystal River Unit 3. As such, the Progress Energy assets acquired and liabilities assumed are presented as if the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3 occurred on the acquisition date. The fair value of the outstanding stock compensation awards is included in the purchase price as consideration transferred.

The majority of Progress Energy's operations are subject to the rate-setting authority of the FERC, the NCUC, the PSCSC, and the FPSC and are accounted for pursuant to U.S. GAAP, including the accounting guidance for regulated operations. The rate-setting and cost recovery provisions currently in place for Progress Energy's regulated operations provide revenues derived from costs, including a return on investment of assets and liabilities included in rate base. Except for long-term debt, asset retirement obligations, capital leases, pension and OPEB plans and the wholesale portion of Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Unit 3, the fair values of Progress Energy's tangible and intangible assets and liabilities subject to these rate-setting provisions approximate their carrying values, and the assets and liabilities acquired and pro forma financial information do not reflect any net adjustments related to these amounts. The difference between fair value and the pre-merger carrying amounts for Progress Energy's long-term debt, asset retirement obligations, capital leases and pension and OPEB plans for the regulated operations were recorded as Regulatory assets.

The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed was recognized as goodwill at the acquisition date. The goodwill reflects the value paid primarily for the long-term potential for enhanced access to capital as a result of the company's increased scale and diversity, opportunities for synergies, and an improved risk profile. The goodwill resulting from Duke Energy's merger with Progress Energy was preliminarily allocated entirely to the USFE&G segment, but is subject to change as additional information is obtained. None of the goodwill recognized is deductible for income tax purposes, and as such, no deferred taxes have been recorded related to goodwill.

The preliminary purchase price allocation of the merger is presented in the following table.

(in millions)		
Current assets	$	3,204
Property, plant and equipment		23,279
Goodwill		12,467
Other long-term assets, excluding goodwill		9,994
Total assets		**48,944**
Current liabilities, including current maturities of long-term debt		3,581
Long-term liabilities, preferred stock and noncontrolling interests		10,546
Long-term debt		16,746
Total liabilities and preferred stock		**30,873**
Total purchase price	**$**	**18,071**

The preliminary purchase price allocation in the table above reflects refinements made to the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed since the acquisition date and also reflects the retirement of Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Unit 3 as if it occurred on the acquisition date. These resulted in an increase to the fair value of Other long-term assets, excluding goodwill of $1,845 million, an increase in Current liabilities of $14 million and an increase in Long-term liabilities, preferred stock and noncontrolling interests of $232 million. The fair value of Current assets decreased by $54 million and Property, plant and equipment decreased by $1,670 million. These changes to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed resulted in an increase to goodwill of $125 million and had an immaterial impact on the amortization of the purchase accounting adjustments recorded during 2012.

Pro Forma Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information reflects the consolidated results of operations of Duke Energy and reflects the amortization of purchase price adjustments assuming the merger had taken place on January 1, 2011. The unaudited pro forma financial information has been presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the consolidated results of operations that would have been achieved or the future consolidated results of operations of Duke Energy. This information is preliminary in nature and subject to change based on final purchase price adjustments.

Non-recurring merger consummation, integration and other costs incurred by Duke Energy and Progress Energy during the period have been excluded from the pro forma earnings presented below. After-tax non-recurring merger consummation, integration and other costs incurred by both Duke Energy and Progress Energy were $413 million and $85 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The pro forma financial information also excludes potential future cost savings or non-recurring charges related to the merger.

	Year Ended December 31,	
(in millions, except per share amounts)	2012	2011
Revenues	$ 23,976	$ 23,445
Net Income Attributable to Duke Energy Corporation	2,417	2,397
Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share	3.43	3.41

Chilean Operations

In December 2012, International Energy acquired Iberoamericana de Energía Ibener, S.A. (Ibener) of Santiago, Chile for cash consideration of $415 million. This acquisition included the 140 MW Duqueco hydroelectric generation complex consisting of two run-of-the-river plants located in southern Chile vicinity. The preliminary purchase accounting entries consisted primarily of $383 million of property, plant and equipment, $30 million of intangible assets, $57 million of deferred income tax liabilities, and $59 million of goodwill. The fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed utilized for the purchase price allocation are preliminary and subject to revision until the valuations are completed and to the extent that additional information is obtained about the facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date. In connection with the acquisition, a $190 million six-month bridge loan and a $200 million revolving loan under a credit agreement were executed with a commercial bank. Both loans are collateralized with cash deposits equal to 101% of the loan amounts, and therefore no net proceeds from the financings exist as of December 31, 2012. The $190 million bridge loan is classified in Current maturities of long-term debt and the related cash collateral deposit is classified as Current Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012. The $200 million, fully cash-collateralized revolving loan is due on December 20, 2013 and International Energy has the right to extend the term for additional 1 year terms, not to exceed a final maturity of 13 years from the date of the initial funding. The revolving loan is classified as Long-term Debt and the related cash collateral deposits are classified as restricted cash within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012.

Dispositions

In December 2010, Duke Energy completed the previously announced agreement with investment funds managed by Alinda to sell a 50% ownership interest in DukeNet Communications, LLC (DukeNet). As a result of the disposition transaction, DukeNet and Alinda became equal 50% owners in the new joint venture. Duke Energy received $137 million in cash. The DukeNet disposition transaction resulted in a pre-tax gain of $139 million, which was recorded in Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The pre-tax gain reflects the gain on the disposition of Duke Energy's 50% interest in DukeNet, as well as the gain resulting from the re-measurement to fair value of Duke Energy's retained noncontrolling interest. Effective with the closing of the DukeNet disposition transaction, on December 20, 2010, DukeNet is no longer consolidated into Duke Energy's consolidated financial statements and is now accounted for by Duke Energy as an equity method investment.

Vermillion Generating Station

On January 12, 2012, after receiving approvals from the FERC and the IURC on August 12, 2011 and December 28, 2011, respectively, Duke Energy Vermillion II, LLC (Duke Energy Vermillion), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio, completed the sale of its 75% undivided ownership interest in the Vermillion Generating Station (Vermillion) to Duke Energy Indiana and Wabash Valley Power Association (WVPA). Upon the closing of the sale, Duke Energy Indiana and WVPA held 62.5% and 37.5% interests in Vermillion, respectively. Duke Energy Ohio received net proceeds of $82 million, consisting of $68 million and $14 million from Duke Energy Indiana and WVPA, respectively. Following the transaction, Duke Energy Indiana retired Gallagher Units 1 and 3 effective February 1, 2012.

As Duke Energy Indiana is an affiliate of Duke Energy Vermillion the transaction has been accounted for as a transfer between entities under common control with no gain or loss recorded and did not have a significant impact to Duke Energy Ohio or Duke Energy Indiana's results of operations. The proceeds received from Duke Energy Indiana are included in Net proceeds from the sales of other assets on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The cash paid to Duke Energy Ohio is included in Capital expenditures on Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana recognized non-cash equity transfers of $28 million and $26 million, respectively, in their Consolidated Statements of Common Stockholder's Equity on the transaction representing the difference between cash exchanged and the net book value of Vermillion. These amounts are not reflected in Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows or Consolidated Statements of Equity as the transaction is eliminated in consolidation.

The proceeds from WVPA are included in Net proceeds from the sales of other assets, and sale of and collections on notes receivable on Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. In the second quarter of 2011, Duke Energy Ohio recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of $9 million to adjust the carrying value of the proportionate share of Vermillion to be sold to WVPA to the proceeds to be received from WVPA less costs to sell. The sale of the proportionate share of Vermillion to WVPA did not result in a significant additional gain or loss upon close of the transaction.

Wind Projects Joint Venture

In April 2012, Duke Energy executed a joint venture agreement with Sumitomo Corporation of America (SCOA). Under the terms of the agreement, Duke Energy and SCOA each own a 50% interest in the joint venture (DS Cornerstone, LLC), which owns two wind generation projects. The facilities began commercial operations in June 2012 and August 2012. Duke Energy and SCOA also negotiated a $330 million, Construction and 12-year amortizing Term Loan Facility, on behalf of the borrower, a wholly owned subsidiary of the joint venture. The loan agreement is non-recourse to Duke Energy. Duke Energy received proceeds of $319 million upon execution of the loan agreement. This amount represents reimbursement of a significant portion of Duke Energy's construction costs incurred as of the date of the agreement. See Note 18 for further information.

Sales of Other Assets

The following table summarizes net cash proceeds related to the sales of Other assets not discussed above.

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Year Ended December 31,				
2012 (a)	$ 187	$ 1	$ 6	$ —
2011	12	2	7	1
2010	160	8	13	—

(a) Duke Energy amount relates to proceeds from the disposition of non-core business assets within the Commercial Power segment for which no material gain or loss was recognized.

Discontinued Operations

Included in Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Statements of Operations are amounts related to adjustments for prior sales of diversified businesses. These adjustments are generally due to indemnifications provided for certain legal, tax and environmental matters. See Note 7 for further discussion of indemnifications. The ultimate resolution of these matters could result in additional adjustments in future periods.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, Duke Energy's and Progress Energy's Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax was primarily related to resolution of litigation associated with Progress Energy's former synthetic fuel operations and reversal of certain environmental indemnification liabilities for which the indemnification period expired during 2012. See Note 5 for more information regarding these operations.

3. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Effective with the first quarter of 2012, management began evaluating segment performance based on Segment Income. Segment Income is defined as income from continuing operations net of income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Segment Income, as discussed below, includes intercompany revenues and expenses that are eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. In conjunction with management's use of the new reporting measure, certain governance costs that were previously unallocated have now been allocated to each of the segments. In addition, direct interest expense and income taxes are included in Segment Income. Prior year segment profitability information has been recast to conform to the current year presentation. None of these changes impacts the reportable operating segments' or the Duke Energy Registrants' previously reported consolidated revenues, net income or earnings per share.

Operating segments for each of the Duke Energy Registrants are determined based on information used by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate the performance at each of the Duke Energy Registrants.

Products and services are sold between the affiliate companies and between the reportable segments of Duke Energy at cost. Segment assets as presented in the tables that follow exclude all intercompany assets.

Duke Energy

Duke Energy has the following reportable operating segments: U.S. Franchised Electric and Gas (USFE&G), Commercial Power and International Energy.

USFE&G generates, transmits, distributes and sells electricity in North Carolina, South Carolina, west central Florida, central, north central and southern Indiana, and northern Kentucky. USFE&G also transmits and distributes electricity in southwestern Ohio. Additionally, USFE&G transports and sells natural gas in southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky. It conducts operations primarily through Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, certain regulated portions of Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana. Segment information for USFE&G for the year ended December 31, 2012, includes the results of the regulated operations of Progress Energy from July 2, 2012 forward.

Commercial Power owns, operates and manages power plants and engages in the wholesale marketing and procurement of electric power, fuel and emission allowances related to these plants, as well as other contractual positions. Commercial Power also has a retail sales subsidiary, Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC (Duke Energy Retail), which is certified by the PUCO as a Competitive Retail Electric Service provider in Ohio. Through Duke Energy Generation Services, Inc. and its affiliates (DEGS), Commercial Power engages in the development, construction and operation of renewable energy projects. In addition, DEGS develops commercial transmission projects.

International Energy principally operates and manages power generation facilities and engages in sales and marketing of electric power and natural gas outside the U.S. It conducts operations primarily through Duke Energy International, LLC and its affiliates and its activities principally target power generation in Latin America. Additionally, International Energy owns a 25% interest in National Methanol Company, located in Saudi Arabia, which is a large regional producer of methanol and methyl tertiary butyl ether.

The remainder of Duke Energy's operations is presented as Other. While it is not considered an operating segment, Other primarily includes unallocated corporate costs, which include costs not allocable to Duke Energy's reportable business segments, primarily interest expense on corporate debt instruments, costs to achieve mergers and divestitures, and costs associated with certain corporate severance programs. It also includes Bison Insurance Company Limited (Bison), Duke Energy's wholly owned, captive insurance subsidiary, Duke Energy's 50% interest in DukeNet and related telecommunications businesses, and Duke Energy's 60% interest in Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC.

Business Segment Data

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	USFE&G	Commercial Power	International Energy	Total Reportable Segments	Other	Eliminations	Total
Unaffiliated revenues[a]	$ 16,042	$ 2,020	$ 1,549	$ 19,611	$ 13	$ -	$ 19,624
Intersegment revenues	38	58	-	96	47	(143)	-
Total revenues	$ 16,080	$ 2,078	$ 1,549	$ 19,707	$ 60	$ (143)	$ 19,624
Interest expense	$ 806	$ 63	$ 77	$ 946	$ 296	$ -	$ 1,242
Depreciation and amortization	1,827	228	99	2,154	135	-	2,289
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(5)	14	134	143	5	-	148
Income tax expense (benefit)	942	(8)	149	1,083	(378)	-	705
Segment income[a][b][c]	1,744	87	439	2,270	(538)	-	1,732
Add back noncontrolling interest component							14
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax							36
Net income							1,782
Capital investments expenditures and acquisitions	4,220	1,038	551	5,809	149	-	5,958
Segment assets	98,162	6,992	5,406	110,560	3,126	170	113,856

(a) On January 25, 2012 and January 27, 2012, the Duke Energy Carolinas' South Carolina and North Carolina rate case settlement agreements were approved by the PSCSC and NCUC, respectively. Among other things, the rate case settlements included an annual base rate increase of $309 million in North Carolina and a $93 million annual base rate increase in South Carolina, both beginning in February 2012. The impact of these rates impacts USFE&G. See Note 4 for additional information.

(b) USFE&G recorded after-tax impairment and other charges of $402 million, net of tax of $226 million, related to the Edwardsport integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) project. See Note 4 for additional information. USFE&G also recorded the reversal of expenses of $60 million, net of tax of $39 million, related to a prior year Voluntary Opportunity Plan in accordance with Duke Energy Carolinas' 2011 rate case. See Note 21 for additional information.

(c) Other includes after-tax costs to achieve the merger with Progress Energy of $397 million, net of tax of $239 million. See Note 2 for additional information.

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	USFE&G	Commercial Power	International Energy	Total Reportable Segments[a]	Other	Eliminations	Total
Unaffiliated revenues	$ 10,586	$ 2,480	$ 1,467	$ 14,533	$ (4)	$ -	$ 14,529
Intersegment revenues	33	11	-	44	48	(92)	-
Total revenues	$ 10,619	$ 2,491	$ 1,467	$ 14,577	$ 44	$ (92)	$ 14,529
Interest expense	$ 568	$ 87	$ 47	$ 702	$ 157	$ -	$ 859
Depreciation and amortization	1,383	230	90	1,703	103	-	1,806
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	-	6	145	151	9	-	160
Income tax expense (benefit)	674	(2)	196	868	(116)	-	752
Segment income[a][b][c]	1,181	134	466	1,781	(76)	-	1,705
Add back noncontrolling interest component							8
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax							1
Net income							1,714
Capital investments expenditures and acquisitions	3,717	492	114	4,323	141	-	4,464
Segment assets	47,977	6,939	4,539	59,455	2,961	110	62,526

(a) USFE&G recorded an after-tax impairment charge of $135 million, net of tax of $87 million, related to the Edwardsport IGCC project. See Note 4 for additional information.

(b) Commercial Power recorded an after-tax impairment charge of $51 million, net of tax of $28 million, to write-down the carrying value of certain emission allowances. See Note 12 for additional information.

(c) Other includes after-tax costs to achieve the merger with Progress Energy of $51 million, net of tax of $17 million. See Note 2 for additional information.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	USFE&G	Commercial Power	International Energy	Total Reportable Segments[a]	Other	Eliminations	Total
Unaffiliated revenues	$ 10,563	$ 2,440	$ 1,204	$ 14,207	$ 65	$ -	$ 14,272
Intersegment revenues	34	8	-	42	53	(95)	-
Total revenues	$ 10,597	$ 2,448	$ 1,204	$ 14,249	$ 118	$ (95)	$ 14,272
Interest expense	$ 569	$ 68	$ 71	$ 708	$ 132	$ -	$ 840
Depreciation and amortization	1,386	225	86	1,697	89	-	1,786
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	-	7	102	109	7	-	116
Income tax expense (benefit)	787	22	143	952	(62)	-	890
Segment income[a][b][c]	1,380	(327)	305	1,358	(41)	-	1,317
Add back noncontrolling interest component							3
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax							3
Net income							1,323
Capital investments expenditures and acquisitions	3,891	525	181	4,597	258	-	4,855
Segment assets	45,210	6,704	4,310	56,224	2,845	21	59,090

(a) Commercial Power recorded an impairment charge of $602 million, which consisted of a $500 million goodwill impairment charge associated with the nonregulated Midwest generating operations and a $102 million charge, net of tax of $58 million, to write-down the value of certain nonregulated Midwest generating assets and emission allowances primarily associated with these generation assets.

(b) Other includes expense of $105 million, net of tax of $67 million, related to the 2010 voluntary severance plan and the consolidation of certain corporate office functions from the Midwest to Charlotte, North Carolina. See Note 21 for additional information.

(c) Other recognized an $86 million gain, net of tax of $53 million, from the sale of a 50% ownership interest in DukeNet (See Note 2 for additional information), and $68 million gain, net of tax of $41 million, from the sale of an equity method investment in Q-Comm Corporation (Q-Comm). See Note 13 for additional information.

Geographic Data

(in millions)	U.S.	Latin America[a]	Consolidated
2012			
Consolidated revenues	$ 18,078	$ 1,546	$ 19,624
Consolidated long-lived assets	79,144	2,467	81,611
2011			
Consolidated revenues	$ 13,062	$ 1,467	$ 14,529
Consolidated long-lived assets	45,920	2,612	48,532
2010			
Consolidated revenues	$ 13,068	$ 1,204	$ 14,272
Consolidated long-lived assets	42,754	2,733	45,487

(a) Change in amounts of long-lived assets in Latin America includes foreign currency translation adjustments on property, plant and equipment and other long-lived asset balances.

Progress Energy

Effective with the consummation of the merger with Duke Energy on July 2, 2012, Progress Energy's reportable segments changed based on the financial information the chief decision maker evaluates for the allocation of resources and assessing performance. Progress Energy's sole reportable segment is now Franchised Electric, which is primarily engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity in portions of North Carolina, South Carolina and Florida. These electric operations also distribute and sell electricity to other utilities, primarily on the east coast of the United States. The remainder of Progress Energy's operations is presented as Other. While it is not considered an operating segment, Other primarily includes the Progress Energy holding company and Progress Energy Service Company, LLC and other miscellaneous nonregulated businesses, as well as costs to achieve the merger with Duke Energy and certain governance costs allocated by its parent, Duke Energy. See Note 14 for additional information. Also effective with the consummation of the merger, management began evaluating segment performance based on Segment Income. Segment Income is defined as income from continuing operations net of income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Prior periods' segment information has been recast to conform to the current year presentation. None of these segment changes impact Progress Energy's previously reported consolidated net income.

Business Segment Data

	Year Ended December 31, 2012				
(in millions)	Franchised Electric	Total Reportable Segment	Other	Eliminations	Total
Unaffiliated revenues	$ 9,305	$ 9,305	$ 12	$ -	$ 9,317
Affiliated revenues	90	90	-	(2)	88
Total revenues	$ 9,395	$ 9,395	$ 12	$ (2)	$ 9,405
Interest expense	$ 459	$ 459	$ 304	$ (23)	$ 740
Depreciation and amortization	727	727	20	-	747
Income tax expense (benefit)	384	384	(212)	-	172
Segment income[a][b]	727	727	(379)	-	348
Add back noncontrolling interest component					7
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax					52
Net income					407
Capital investment expenditures and acquisitions	2,334	2,334	32	-	2,366
Segment assets	36,764	36,764	684	(43)	37,405

(a) Franchised Electric recorded an $88 million impairment, net of tax of $58 million, related to the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3 and a $60 million charge, net of tax of $40 million, to record a regulatory liability related to replacement power obligations as a result of the Crystal River Unit 3 outage. These charges were not applicable to Duke Energy as this reporting unit has a lower carrying value at Duke Energy. See Note 4 for additional information.

(b) Other includes after-tax costs to achieve the merger with Duke Energy of $198 million, net of tax of $127 million. See Note 2 for additional information.

	Year Ended December 31, 2011				
(in millions)	Franchised Electric	Total Reportable Segment	Other	Eliminations	Total
Unaffiliated revenues[a]	$ 8,936	$ 8,936	$ 12	$ -	$ 8,948
Affiliated revenues	3	3	-	(3)	-
Total revenues	$ 8,939	$ 8,939	$ 12	$ (3)	$ 8,948
Interest expense	$ 423	$ 423	$ 324	$ (22)	$ 725
Depreciation and amortization	683	683	18	-	701
Income tax expense (benefit)	436	436	(113)	-	323
Segment income[a][b]	853	853	(273)	-	580
Add back noncontrolling interest component					7
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax					(5)
Net income					582
Capital investment expenditures and acquisitions	2,239	2,239	17	-	2,256
Segment assets	34,166	34,166	765	-	34,931

(a) Franchised Electric recorded a $173 million charge, net of tax of $115 million, for the amount to be refunded to customers through the fuel clause in accordance with the FPSC's 2012 settlement agreement. See Note 4 for additional information.

(b) Other includes after-tax costs to achieve the merger with Duke Energy of $33 million, net of tax of $22 million. See Note 2 for additional information.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	Franchised Electric	Total Reportable Segment	Other	Eliminations	Total
Unaffiliated revenues	$ 10,207	$ 10,207	$ 16	$ -	$ 10,223
Affiliated revenues	2	2	-	(2)	-
Total revenues	$ 10,209	$ 10,209	$ 16	$ (2)	$ 10,223
Interest expense	$ 444	$ 444	$ 332	$ (29)	$ 747
Depreciation and amortization	905	905	15		920
Income tax expense (benefit)	627	627	(88)		539
Segment income	1,045	1,045	(185)	-	860
Add back noncontrolling interest component					7
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax					(4)
Net income					863
Capital investment expenditures and acquisitions	2,437	2,437	32	(24)	2,445
Segment assets	32,475	32,475	450	(39)	32,886

Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Ohio has two reportable operating segments, Franchised Electric and Gas and Commercial Power.

Franchised Electric and Gas transmits and distributes electricity in southwestern Ohio and generates, transmits, distributes and sells electricity in northern Kentucky. Franchised Electric and Gas also transports and sells natural gas in southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky. It conducts operations primarily through Duke Energy Ohio and its wholly owned subsidiary, Duke Energy Kentucky.

Commercial Power owns, operates and manages power plants and engages in the wholesale marketing and procurement of electric power, fuel and emission allowances related to these plants, as well as other contractual positions. Duke Energy Ohio's Commercial Power reportable operating segment does not include the operations of DEGS or Duke Energy Retail, which are included in the Commercial Power reportable operating segment at Duke Energy.

The remainder of Duke Energy Ohio's operations is presented as Other. While it is not considered an operating segment, Other primarily includes certain governance costs allocated by its parent, Duke Energy. See Note 14 for additional information. All of Duke Energy Ohio's revenues are generated domestically and its long-lived assets are all in the U.S.

Business Segment Data

(in millions)	Franchised Electric and Gas	Commercial Power	Total Reportable Segments	Other	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
	Year Ended December 31, 2012					
Unaffiliated revenues[a]	$ 1,745	$ 1,407	$ 3,152	$ -	$ -	$ 3,152
Intersegment revenues	1	51	52	-	(52)	-
Total revenues	$ 1,746	$ 1,458	$ 3,204	$ -	$ (52)	$ 3,152
Interest expense	$ 61	$ 28	$ 89	$ -	$ -	$ 89
Depreciation and amortization	179	159	338	-	-	338
Income tax expense (benefit)	91	25	116	(18)	-	98
Segment income	159	50	209	(34)	-	175
Net income						175
Capital expenditures	427	87	514	-	-	514
Segment assets	6,434	4,175	10,609	117	(166)	10,560

(a) Duke Energy Ohio earned approximately 36% of its consolidated operating revenues from PJM Settlements, Inc. in 2012, all of which is included in the Commercial Power segment. These revenues relate to the sale of capacity and electricity from Commercial Power's non-regulated generation assets.

(in millions)	Franchised Electric and Gas	Commercial Power	Total Reportable Segments	Other	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
	Year Ended December 31, 2011					
Unaffiliated revenues[a]	$ 1,474	$ 1,707	$ 3,181	$ -	$ -	$ 3,181
Intersegment revenues	-	4	4	-	(4)	-
Total revenues	$ 1,474	$ 1,711	$ 3,185	$ -	$ (4)	$ 3,181
Interest expense	$ 68	$ 36	$ 104	$ -	$ -	$ 104
Depreciation and amortization	168	167	335	-	-	335
Income tax expense (benefit)	98	6	104	(8)	-	96
Segment income[b]	133	78	211	(17)	-	194
Net income						194
Capital expenditures	375	124	499	-	-	499
Segment assets	6,293	4,740	11,033	259	(353)	10,939

(a) Duke Energy Ohio earned approximately 24% of its consolidated operating revenues from PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM) in 2011, all of which is included in the Commercial Power segment. These revenues relate to the sale of capacity and electricity from Commercial Power's nonregulated generation assets.

(b) Commercial Power recorded an after-tax impairment charge of $51 million, net of tax of $28 million, during the year ended December 31, 2011, to write-down the carrying value of certain emission allowances. See Note 12 for additional information.

(in millions)	Franchised Electric and Gas	Commercial Power	Total Reportable Segments	Other	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
	Year Ended December 31, 2010					
Unaffiliated revenues[a]	$ 1,623	$ 1,706	$ 3,329	$ -	$ -	$ 3,329
Intersegment revenues	-	5	5	-	(5)	-
Total revenues	$ 1,623	$ 1,711	$ 3,334	$ -	$ (5)	$ 3,329
Interest expense	$ 68	$ 41	$ 109	$ -	$ -	$ 109
Depreciation and amortization	226	174	400	-	-	400
Income tax expense (benefit)	106	40	146	(14)	-	132
Segment loss[b][c]	(61)	(361)	(422)	(19)	-	(441)
Net loss						(441)
Capital expenditures	353	93	446	-	-	446
Segment assets	6,258	4,821	11,079	192	(247)	11,024

(a) Duke Energy Ohio earned approximately 13% of its consolidated operating revenues from PJM in 2010, all of which is included in the

Commercial Power segment. These revenues relate to the sale of capacity and electricity from Commercial Power's nonregulated generation assets.

(b) Franchised Electric and Gas recorded an impairment charge of $216 million related to the Ohio Transmission and Distribution reporting unit. This impairment charge was not applicable to Duke Energy as this reporting unit has a lower carrying value at Duke Energy.

(c) Commercial Power recorded impairment charges of $621 million, which consisted of a $461 million goodwill impairment charge associated with the nonregulated Midwest generation operations and a $102 million charge, net of tax of $58 million, to write-down the value of certain nonregulated Midwest generating assets and emission allowances primarily associated with these generation assets.

Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana

Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana each have one reportable operating segment, Franchised Electric, which generates, transmits, distributes and sells electricity. The remainder of each companies' operations is classified as Other. While not considered reportable segments for any of these companies, Other consists of each respective companies' share of costs to achieve the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy, certain corporate severance programs, and certain costs for use of corporate assets as allocated to each company. See Note 14 for additional information. The following table summarizes the net loss for Other at each of these entities.

	Year Ended December 31,	
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**
Duke Energy Carolinas[a]	**$ (169)**	$ (46)
Progress Energy Carolinas[a]	**(139)**	(18)
Progress Energy Florida[a]	**(58)**	(16)
Duke Energy Indiana[a]	**(27)**	(12)

(a) The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2010, recorded in Other was not material.

The Franchised Electric operating segments own substantially all of Duke Energy Carolinas', Progress Energy Carolinas', Progress Energy Florida's and Duke Energy Indiana's assets at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

4. REGULATORY MATTERS

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the substantial majority of USFE&G's operations applied regulatory accounting treatment. Accordingly, these businesses record assets and liabilities that result from the regulated ratemaking process that would not be recorded under GAAP for non-regulated entities. See Note 1 for further information.

The following tables represent the regulatory assets and liabilities on the Duke Energy Registrant's Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	As of December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory Assets							
Vacation accrual	$ 245	$ 85	$ 65	$ 65	$ -	$ 7	$ 13
Nuclear deferral	65	-	65	-	65	-	-
Demand side management (DSM) costs/Energy efficiency (EE)	58	36	-	-	-	22	-
Deferred fuel costs	162	-	109	-	109	1	52
Over-distribution of Bulk Power Marketing (BPM) sharing	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
Post in-service carrying costs and deferred operating expenses	29	27	-	-	-	-	2
Gasification services agreement buyout costs	25	-	-	-	-	-	25
Other	110	30	17	12	5	16	34
Total Current Regulatory Assets[a]	737	221	256	77	179	46	126
Accrued pension and post-retirement	3,306	602	1,650	769	754	225	325
Retired generation facilities	1,781	-	1,720	128	1,592	-	61
Debt fair value adjustment	1,472	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asset retirement obligations	1,461	48	713	372	341	-	-
Net regulatory asset related to income taxes	1,373	731	401	175	226	82	158
Hedge costs and other deferrals	710	88	550	240	310	9	63
DSM costs/Energy efficiency	264	71	121	121	-	72	-
Post in-service carrying costs and deferred operating expenses	93	-	-	-	-	19	74
Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) costs	83	10	5	5	-	72	-
Manufactured gas plant (MGP) costs	77	-	-	-	-	77	-
Gasification services agreement buyout costs	70	-	-	-	-	-	70
Nuclear deferral	77	-	77	-	77	-	-
Other	237	177	55	35	21	23	59
Total Non-Current Regulatory Assets	11,004	1,727	5,292	1,845	3,321	579	810
Total Regulatory Assets	$ 11,741	$ 1,948	$ 5,548	$ 1,922	$ 3,500	$ 625	$ 936

(in millions)	As of December 31, 2012						
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory Liabilities							
Deferred fuel costs	$ 55	$ 45	$ 10	$ 10	$ -	$ -	$ -
DSM costs/Energy efficiency	49	9	17	-	17	15	8
Other	52	24	1	-	1	24	3
Total Current Regulatory Liabilities[b]	156	78	28	10	18	39	11
Removal costs	4,827	1,928	2,048	1,503	401	236	624
Amounts to be refunded to customers	290	-	259	-	259	-	31
Storm reserve	125	-	125	-	125	-	-
Accrued pension and post-retirement benefits	103	-	-	-	-	18	68
Other	239	174	37	35	2	-	18
Total Non-Current Regulatory Liabilities	5,584	2,102	2,469	1,538	787	254	741
Total Regulatory Liabilities	$ 5,740	$ 2,180	$ 2,497	$ 1,548	$ 805	$ 293	$ 752

(in millions)	As of December 31, 2011						
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory Assets							
Vacation accrual	$ 150	$ 70	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 7	$ 13
DSM costs/Energy efficiency	52	25	-	-	-	9	18
Over-distribution of BPM sharing	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred fuel costs	38	-	275	31	244	10	28
Post in-service carrying costs and deferred operating expenses	31	28	-	-	-	-	3
Gasification services agreement buyout costs	25	-	-	-	-	-	25
Other	37	8	-	-	-	2	27
Total Current Regulatory Assets[a]	374	172	275	31	244	28	114
Accrued pension and post-retirement	1,726	734	1,506	691	702	212	314
Net regulatory asset related to income taxes	892	668	352	140	212	77	147
Asset retirement obligations	191	191	540	496	44	-	-
Hedge costs and other deferrals	166	91	703	200	503	8	67
Post in-service carrying costs and deferred operating expenses	119	31	-	-	-	16	72
Nuclear deferral	-	-	129	-	129	-	-
Gasification services agreement buyout costs	88	-	-	-	-	-	88
RTO costs	80	13	7	7	-	74	-
Retired generation facilities	73	-	15	15	-	-	73
MGP costs	69	-	-	-	-	69	-
DSM costs/Energy efficiency	70	38	92	92	-	32	-
Other	198	128	80	41	39	32	37
Total Non-Current Regulatory Assets	3,672	1,894	3,424	1,682	1,629	520	798
Total Regulatory Assets	$ 4,046	$ 2,066	$ 3,699	$ 1,713	$ 1,873	$ 548	$ 912

	As of December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory Liabilities							
DSM costs/Energy efficiency	$ 41	$ 41	$ 19	$ -	$ 19	$ -	$ -
Nuclear deferral	-	-	15	-	15	-	-
Other	46	21	14	2	12	22	3
Total Current Regulatory Liabilities[b]	**87**	**62**	**48**	**2**	**46**	**22**	**3**
Removal costs	2,586	1,770	2,240	1,529	550	230	590
Accrued pension and post-retirement benefits	117	-	-	-	-	19	70
Amount to be refunded to customers	-	-	288	-	288	-	-
Storm reserve	-	-	135	-	135	-	-
Other	216	158	64	14	51	24	23
Total Non-Current Regulatory Liabilities	**2,919**	**1,928**	**2,727**	**1,543**	**1,024**	**273**	**683**
Total Regulatory Liabilities	**$ 3,006**	**$ 1,990**	**$ 2,775**	**$ 1,545**	**$ 1,070**	**$ 295**	**$ 686**

(a) Included in Other within Current Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(b) Included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Descriptions of the regulatory assets and liabilities summarized in the tables above, as well as their recovery and amortization periods are as follows. Items are excluded from rate base unless otherwise noted.

Vacation accrual. Vacation is accrued as it is earned by employees and generally recovered as it is paid, generally within one year. This includes both accrued vacation and personal holiday pay.

Nuclear deferral. In 2009, pursuant to the FPSC nuclear cost-recovery rule, Progress Energy Florida filed a petition to recover costs, which primarily consisted of preconstruction and carrying costs incurred or anticipated to be incurred during 2009 and the projected 2010 costs associated with the Levy project. In an effort to help mitigate the initial price impact on its customers, as part of its filing, Progress Energy Florida recorded this asset, and it was to be recovered or amortized, as approved by the FPSC, over a period not exceeding five years. These costs are projected to be recovered by the end of 2014. This amount also includes deferred depreciation expense related to Crystal River Unit 3 as a result of the 2012 FPSC settlement agreement.

DSM Costs/EE. These amounts represent costs recoverable or refundable under the Duke Energy Registrants' Demand Side Management programs, various state Energy Efficiency programs, SmartGrid, and other peak time energy management programs. The recovery period varies for these costs, with some currently unknown. Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida are required to pay interest on the outstanding liability balance, and Progress Energy Florida collects interest on the outstanding asset balance.

Deferred fuel costs. Deferred fuel costs represent certain energy costs that are recoverable or refundable as approved by the applicable regulatory body. Interest is earned on under-recovered costs and interest is paid on over-recovered costs to customers.

For Progress Energy Florida, as a result of the 2012 FPSC settlement agreement, the FPSC approved an agreement between Progress Energy Florida and consumer advocates in Florida that provides customers a refund through the fuel clause, relating to the Crystal River Unit 3 delamination and subsequent outage. The amounts for Progress Energy Florida are reduced by this refund.

Over-distribution of BPM sharing. These costs represent Duke Energy Carolinas' BPM sharing requirements by the NCUC. The NCUC requires a percentage of the profits on the wholesale market to be shared with retail customers. Under the BPM rider, Duke Energy Carolinas is required to true-up any differences, and as a result, the over-distribution to retail customers is recorded as a regulatory asset. The recovery period for these costs is generally one year, and Duke Energy Carolinas earns a return on the balance.

Post-in-service carrying costs and deferred operating expenses. These costs represent deferred depreciation and operating expenses as well as carrying costs on the portion of assets of the Duke Energy Registrants' capital expenditure programs that are placed in service but not yet reflected in rates as plant in service. Duke Energy Carolinas is allowed to earn a return on the North Carolina portion of the outstanding balance, but does not earn a return on the South Carolina portion. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are allowed to earn a return on the outstanding balance. Duke Energy Carolinas amounts are excluded from rate base and Duke Energy Ohio amounts are included in rate base. At Duke Energy Indiana, some amounts are included in and some are excluded from rate base. Recovery is over various lives, and the latest recovery period for these costs is 2067.

Gasification services agreement buyout costs. In 1999, Duke Energy Indiana entered into a buyout of a gasification services agreement. The IURC authorized Duke Energy Indiana to recover costs incurred, including carrying costs on the unrecovered balance, over an 18-year period. Duke Energy Indiana earns a return on the balance, and the recovery period lasts through 2018.

Accrued pension and post-retirement. Accrued pension and other post-retirement benefits represent regulatory assets related to the recognition of each of the Duke Energy Registrants' respective shares of the underfunded status of Duke Energy and Progress Energy's defined benefit and other post-retirement plans as a liability on each registrant's balance sheet. The regulatory asset is amortized in proportion to the recognition of prior service costs (gains), transition obligations and actuarial losses attributable to Duke Energy and Progress Energy's pension plans and other post-retirement benefit plans determined by the cost recognition provisions of the accounting guidance for pensions and post-retirement benefits. See Note 23, Employee Benefit Plans, for additional detail.

Retired generation facilities. These amounts represent the net book value of Duke Energy facilities that have been retired. Duke Energy Indiana earns a return on the outstanding balances and the costs are included in rate base. Progress Energy Carolinas anticipates earning a return on the outstanding balance with the costs excluded from rate base. For Duke Energy Indiana, the recovery period is through 2026. For Progress Energy Carolinas, the recovery period is over the previously estimated lives of the units.

Debt fair value adjustment. These costs represent purchase accounting adjustments as a result of the merger with Progress Energy in July 2012 to restate the carrying value of existing debt to fair value. The increase in the carrying value of the debt is due to a general reduction in interest rates since the underlying debt was issued. Since the debt is reflected in capital structure for rate setting purposes at its original carrying value and interest rate, the increase in the carrying value of the debt is recorded to a regulatory asset.

Asset retirement obligations. These costs represent future removal costs associated with the Duke Energy Registrants' existing asset retirement obligations. The Duke Energy Registrants do not earn a return on these balances. The recovery period trends with the expiration of the COL for each nuclear unit, the latest of which is 2043. See Note 9, Asset Retirement Obligations, for additional information.

Net regulatory asset related to income taxes. These costs represent the difference between the regulatory accounting of income taxes and the GAAP accounting of income taxes. Regulatory assets and liabilities associated with deferred income taxes, recorded in compliance with the accounting guidance for certain types of regulation and income taxes, include the deferred tax effects associated principally with depreciation of AFUDC equity accounted for in accordance with the ratemaking policies of the respective regulatory bodies, as well as the revenue impacts, and assume continued recovery of these costs in future transmission and distribution rates. A portion of these costs are included in rate base as a reduction of deferred income taxes and the recovery period is over the life of the associated assets.

Hedge costs and other deferrals. These costs are related to unrealized gains and losses on derivatives that are recorded as a regulatory asset or liability, respectively, until the contracts are settled. The recovery period varies for these costs, with some currently unknown.

RTO costs. Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas RTO costs reflect those from GridSouth, while those from Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are related to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (MISO). These amounts reduce rate base and the liability for the removal costs is extinguished as the related removal costs are incurred.

MGP costs. These costs represent remediation costs for Duke Energy Ohio's former MGP sites. Duke Energy Ohio has requested recovery of these costs in its currently pending gas distribution rate case. If the costs are deemed to be recoverable through rates, the period of recovery will be related to the timing of the actual cleanup expenditures and is unknown at this time. Duke Energy Ohio does not earn a return on these costs. See Note 5, Commitments and Contingencies, for additional information.

Removal costs. These amounts represent funds the Duke Energy Registrants have received from customers to cover the future removal of property, plant and equipment from retired or abandoned sites which reduces rate base for ratemaking purposes. These costs are included in rate base, and the liability for removal costs is extinguished over the life of the associated asset.

Amounts to be refunded to customers. These amounts represent required refunds to retail customers by the applicable regulatory body. The refund period is through 2016 for Progress Energy Florida and through 2017 for Duke Energy Indiana.

Storm reserve. Progress Energy Florida is allowed to petition the FPSC to seek recovery of named storms under the 2012 FPSC settlement agreement. Recovery from customers will begin, subject the FPSC approval, 60 days following the filing of a cost recovery petition and will be based on a 12-month recovery period.

Restrictions on the Ability of Certain Subsidiaries to Make Dividends, Advances and Loans to Duke Energy

As a condition to the Duke Energy and Cinergy Corp. (Cinergy) merger approval, the NCUC, the PSCSC, the PUCO, the KPSC, and the IURC imposed conditions (the Cinergy Merger Conditions) on the ability of Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Kentucky and Duke Energy Indiana to transfer funds to Duke Energy through loans or advances, as well as restricted amounts available to pay dividends to Duke Energy. As a condition to the Duke Energy and Progress Energy merger approval, the NCUC and the PSCSC imposed conditions (the Progress Merger Conditions) on the ability of Duke Energy Carolinas, and Progress Energy Carolinas to transfer funds to Duke Energy through loans or advances, as well as restricted amounts available to pay dividends to Duke Energy.

Duke Energy's public utility subsidiaries may not transfer funds to the parent through intercompany loans or advances; however, certain subsidiaries may transfer funds to the parent by obtaining approval of the respective state regulatory commissions. These conditions imposed restrictions on the ability of the public utility subsidiaries to pay cash dividends as discussed below.

Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida also have restrictions imposed by their first mortgage bond indentures and Articles of Incorporation which, in certain circumstances, limited their ability to make cash dividends or distributions on common stock. Amounts restricted as a

result of these provisions were not material at December 31, 2012.

Additionally, certain other subsidiaries of Duke Energy have restrictions on their ability to dividend, loan or advance funds to Duke Energy due to specific legal or regulatory restrictions, including, but not limited to, minimum working capital and tangible net worth requirements.

Duke Energy Carolinas

Under both the Cinergy Merger Conditions and Progress Merger Conditions, Duke Energy Carolinas must limit cumulative distributions to Duke Energy subsequent to the merger to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the merger, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded by Duke Energy Carolinas subsequent to the merger.

Progress Energy Carolinas

Under the Progress Merger Conditions, Progress Energy Carolinas must limit cumulative distributions to Duke Energy subsequent to the merger to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the merger, plus (ii) any future earnings recorded by Progress Energy Carolinas subsequent to the merger.

Duke Energy Ohio

Under the Cinergy Merger Conditions, Duke Energy Ohio will not declare and pay dividends out of capital or unearned surplus without the prior authorization of the PUCO. In November 2011, the FERC approved, with conditions, Duke Energy Ohio's request to pay dividends from its equity accounts that are reflective of the amount that it would have in its retained earnings account had push-down accounting for the Cinergy merger not been applied to Duke Energy Ohio's balance sheet. The conditions include a commitment from Duke Energy Ohio that equity, adjusted to remove the impacts of push-down accounting, will not fall below 30% of total capital. In January 2012, the PUCO issued an order approving the payment of dividends in a manner consistent with the method approved in the November 2011 FERC order. Under the Merger Conditions, Duke Energy Kentucky is required to pay dividends solely out of retained earnings and to maintain a minimum of 35% equity in its capital structure.

Duke Energy Indiana

Under the Cinergy Merger Conditions, Duke Energy Indiana shall limit cumulative distributions paid subsequent to the merger to (i) the amount of retained earnings on the day prior to the closing of the merger plus (ii) any future earnings recorded by Duke Energy Indiana subsequent to the merger. In addition, Duke Energy Indiana will not declare and pay dividends out of capital or unearned surplus without prior authorization of the IURC.

The following table includes information regarding the Subsidiary Registrants and other Duke Energy subsidiaries' restricted net assets at December 31, 2012.

(in billions)	Total Duke Energy Subsidiaries	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Ohio[a]	Duke Energy Indiana
Amounts that may not be transferred to Duke Energy without appropriate approval based on above mentioned Merger Conditions	$ 10.3	$ 2.8	$ 2.0	$ 1.9	$ 3.9	$ 1.4

(a) As of December 31, 2012, the equity balance available for payment of dividends, based on the FERC and PUCO order discussed above, was $1.3 billion.

Rate Related Information

The NCUC, PSCSC, FPSC, IURC, PUCO and KPSC approve rates for retail electric and gas services within their states. Nonregulated sellers of gas and electric generation are also allowed to operate in Ohio once certified by the PUCO. The FERC approves rates for electric sales to wholesale customers served under cost-based rates, as well as sales of transmission service.

Duke Energy Carolinas

2013 North Carolina Rate Case. On February 4, 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas filed an application with the NCUC for an increase in base rates of approximately $446 million, or an average 9.7% increase in retail revenues. The request for increase is based upon an 11.25% return on equity and a capital structure of 53% equity and 47% long-term debt. The rate increase is designed primarily to recover the cost of plant modernization, environmental compliance and the capital additions.

Duke Energy Carolinas expects revised rates, if approved, to go into effect late third quarter of 2013.

2011 North Carolina Rate Case. On January 27, 2012, the NCUC approved a settlement agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas and the

North Carolina Utilities Public Staff (Public Staff). The terms of the agreement include an average 7.2% increase in retail revenues, or approximately $309 million annually beginning in February 2012. The agreement includes a 10.5% return on equity and a capital structure of 53% equity and 47% long-term debt.

On March 28, 2012, the North Carolina Attorney General filed a notice of appeal with the NCUC challenging the rate of return approved in the agreement. On April 17, 2012, the NCUC denied Duke Energy Carolinas' request to dismiss the notice of appeal. Briefs were filed on August 22, 2012 by the North Carolina Attorney General and the AARP with the North Carolina Supreme Court, which is hearing the appeal. Duke Energy Carolinas filed a motion to dismiss the appeal on August 31, 2012 and the North Carolina Attorney General filed a response to that motion on September 13, 2012. Briefs by the appellees, Duke Energy Carolinas and the Public Staff, were filed on September 21, 2012. The North Carolina Supreme Court denied Duke Energy Carolinas' motion to dismiss on procedural grounds and oral arguments were held on November 13, 2012. Duke Energy Carolinas is awaiting an order.

2011 South Carolina Rate Case. On January 25, 2012, the PSCSC approved a settlement agreement between Duke Energy Carolinas and the ORS, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc. The Commission of Public Works for the city of Spartanburg, South Carolina and the Spartanburg Sanitary Sewer District were not parties to the agreement; however, they did not object to the agreement. The terms of the agreement include an average 5.98% increase in retail and commercial revenues, or approximately $93 million annually beginning February 6, 2012. The agreement includes a 10.5% return on equity, a capital structure of 53% equity and 47% long-term debt.

Cliffside Unit 6. On March 21, 2007, the NCUC issued an order allowing Duke Energy Carolinas to build an 800 MW coal-fired unit. Following final equipment selection and the completion of detailed engineering, Cliffside Unit 6 has a net output of 825 MW. On January 31, 2008, Duke Energy Carolinas filed its updated cost estimate of $1.8 billion (excluding AFUDC of $600 million) for Cliffside Unit 6. In March 2010, Duke Energy Carolinas filed an update to the cost estimate of $1.8 billion (excluding AFUDC) with the NCUC where it reduced the estimated AFUDC financing costs to $400 million as a result of the December 2009 rate case settlement with the NCUC that allowed the inclusion of construction work in progress in rate base prospectively. Cliffside Unit 6 began commercial operation in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Dan River Combined Cycle Facility. In June 2008, the NCUC issued its order approving the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) applications to construct a 620 MW combined cycle natural gas fired generating facility at Duke Energy Carolinas' existing Dan River Steam Station. The Division of Air Quality (DAQ) issued a final air permit authorizing construction of the Dan River combined cycle natural gas-fired generating unit in August 2009. Dan River began commercial operation in the fourth quarter of 2012.

William States Lee III Nuclear Station. In December 2007, Duke Energy Carolinas filed an application with the NRC, which has been docketed for review, for a combined Construction and Operating License (COL) for two Westinghouse AP1000 (advanced passive) reactors for the proposed William States Lee III Nuclear Station (Lee Nuclear Station) at a site in Cherokee County, South Carolina. Each reactor is capable of producing 1,117 MW. Submitting the COL application does not commit Duke Energy Carolinas to build nuclear units. Through several separate orders, the NCUC and PSCSC have concurred with the prudency of Duke Energy incurring project development and pre-construction costs.

V.C. Summer Nuclear Station Letter of Intent. In July 2011, Duke Energy Carolinas signed a letter of intent with Santee Cooper related to the potential acquisition by Duke Energy Carolinas of a 5% to 10% ownership interest in the V.C. Summer Nuclear Station being developed by Santee Cooper and SCE&G near Jenkinsville, South Carolina. The letter of intent provides a path for Duke Energy Carolinas to conduct the necessary due diligence to determine if future participation in this project is beneficial for its customers. On November 7, 2012, the term of the letter of intent expired, though Duke Energy Carolinas remains engaged in discussions at this time.

Progress Energy Carolinas

2012 North Carolina Rate Case. On October 12, 2012, Progress Energy Carolinas filed an application with the NCUC for an increase in base rates of approximately $387 million, or an average 12% increase in revenues. The request for increase is based upon an 11.25% return on equity and a capital structure of 55% equity and 45% long-term debt. The rate increase is designed primarily to recover the cost of plant modernization and other capital investments in generation, transmission and distribution systems, as well as increased expenditures for nuclear plants and personnel, vegetation management and other operating costs. The rate case includes a corresponding decrease in Progress Energy Carolinas' energy efficiency and demand side management rider, resulting in a net requested increase of $359 million, or 11% increase in retail revenues.

On February 25, 2013, the North Carolina Public Staff filed with the NCUC a Notice of Settlement in Principle (Settlement Notice). Pursuant to the Settlement Notice between Progress Energy Carolinas and the Public Staff, the parties have agreed to a two year step-in to a total agreed upon net rate increase, with the first year providing for a $151 million, or 4.7% average increase in rates, and the second year providing for rates to be increased by an additional $31 million, or 1.0% average increase in rates. This second year increase is a result of Progress Energy Carolinas agreeing to delay collection of financing costs on the construction work in progress for the Sutton combined cycle natural gas plant for one year. The Settlement Notice is based upon a return on equity of 10.2% and a 53% equity component of the capital structure.

Once filed, the actual settlement agreement will be subject to approval by the NCUC. Progress Energy Carolinas expects revised rates, if approved, to go into effect June 1, 2013.

HF Lee and L.V. Sutton Combined Cycle Facilities. Progress Energy Carolinas has been constructing two new generating facilities, which consist of an approximately 920 MW combined cycle natural gas-fired generating facility at the HF Lee Energy Complex (Lee) in Wayne County, North Carolina, and an approximately 625 MW natural gas-fired generating facility at its existing L.V. Sutton Steam Station (Sutton) in New Hanover County, North Carolina. The Lee project began commercial operation in the fourth quarter of 2012. Total estimated costs at final project completion (including AFUDC) for the Sutton project, which is approximately 64% complete, are $600 million. Sutton is expected to be in service in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Shearon Harris Nuclear Station Expansion. In 2006, Progress Energy Carolinas selected a site at its existing Shearon Harris Nuclear Station (Harris) to evaluate for possible future nuclear expansion. On February 19, 2008, Progress Energy Carolinas filed its COL application with the NRC for two Westinghouse Electric AP1000 reactors at Harris, which the NRC docketed on April 17, 2008. No petitions to intervene have been admitted in the Harris COL application.

Progress Energy Florida

2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement. On February 22, 2012, the FPSC approved a comprehensive settlement agreement among Progress Energy Florida, the Florida Office of Public Counsel and other consumer advocates. The 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement will continue through the last billing cycle of December 2016. The agreement addresses three principal matters: (i) Progress Energy Florida's proposed Levy Nuclear Station cost recovery, (ii) the Crystal River Nuclear Station – Unit 3 (Crystal River Unit 3) delamination prudence review then pending before the FPSC, and (iii) certain customer rate matters. Refer to each of these respective sections for further discussion.

Crystal River Unit 3. In September 2009, Crystal River Unit 3 began an outage for normal refueling and maintenance as well as an uprate project to increase its generating capability and to replace two steam generators. During preparations to replace the steam generators, workers discovered a delamination (or separation) within the concrete at the periphery of the containment building, which resulted in an extension of the outage. After analysis, it was determined that the concrete delamination at Crystal River Unit 3 was caused by redistribution of stresses in the containment wall that occurred when an opening was created to accommodate the replacement of the unit's steam generators. In March 2011, the work to return the plant to service was suspended after monitoring equipment identified a new delamination that occurred in a different section of the outer wall after the repair work was completed and during the late stages of retensioning the containment building. Crystal River Unit 3 has remained out of service while Progress Energy Florida conducted an engineering analysis and review of the new delamination and evaluated possible repair options.

Subsequent to March 2011, monitoring equipment has detected additional changes and further damage in the partially tensioned containment building and additional cracking or delaminations could occur.

Progress Energy Florida developed a repair plan, which would entail systematically removing and replacing concrete in substantial portions of the containment structure walls, which had a preliminary cost estimate of $900 million to $1.3 billion.

In March 2012, Duke Energy commissioned an independent review team led by Zapata Incorporated (Zapata) to review and assess the Progress Energy Florida Crystal River Unit 3 repair plan, including the repair scope, risks, costs and schedule. In its final report in late September, Zapata found that the proposed repair scope appears to be technically feasible, but there were significant risks that need to be addressed regarding the approach, construction methodology, scheduling and licensing. Zapata performed four separate analyses of the estimated project cost and schedule to repair Crystal River Unit 3, including; (i) an independent review of the proposed repair scope (without existing assumptions or data), of which Zapata estimated costs of $1.49 billion with a project duration of 35 months; (ii) a review of Progress Energy Florida's previous bid information, which included cost estimate data from Progress Energy Florida, of which Zapata estimated costs of $1.55 billion with a project duration of 31 months; (iii) an expanded scope of work scenario, that included the Progress Energy Florida scope plus the replacement of the containment building dome and the removal and replacement of concrete in the lower building elevations, of which Zapata estimated costs of approximately $2.44 billion with a project duration of 60 months, and; (iv) a "worst case" scenario, assuming Progress Energy Florida performed the more limited scope of work, and at the conclusion of that work, additional damage occurred in the dome and in the lower elevations, which forced replacement of each, of which Zapata estimated costs of $3.43 billion with a project duration of 96 months. The principal difference between Zapata's estimate and Progress Energy Florida's previous estimate appears to be due to the respective levels of contingencies included by each party, including higher project risk and longer project duration. Progress Energy Florida has filed a copy of the Zapata report with the FPSC and with the NRC. The FPSC held a status conference on October 30, 2012 to discuss Duke Energy's analysis of the Zapata report.

On February 5, 2013, following the completion of a comprehensive analysis, Duke Energy announced its intention to retire Crystal River Unit 3. Duke Energy concluded that it did not have a high degree of confidence that repair could be successfully completed and licensed within estimated costs and schedule, and that it was in the best interests of Progress Energy Florida's customers and joint owners and Duke Energy's investors to retire the unit. Progress Energy Florida developed initial estimates of the cost to decommission the plant during its analysis of whether to repair or retire Crystal River Unit 3. With the final decision to retire, Progress Energy Florida is working to develop a comprehensive decommissioning plan, which will evaluate various decommissioning options and costs associated with each option. The plan will determine resource needs as well as the scope, schedule and other elements of decommissioning. Progress Energy Florida intends to use a safe storage (SAFSTOR) option for decommissioning. Generally, SAFSTOR involves placing the facility into a safe storage configuration, requiring limited staffing to monitor plant conditions, until the eventual dismantling and decontamination activities occur, usually in 40 to 60 years. This decommissioning approach is currently utilized at a number of retired domestic nuclear power plants and is one of three generally accepted approaches to decommissioning required by the NRC. Once an updated site specific decommissioning study is completed it will be filed with the FPSC. As part of the evaluation of repairing Crystal River Unit 3, initial estimates of the cost to decommission the plant under the SAFSTOR option were developed which resulted in an estimate in 2011 dollars of $989 million. See Note 9 for additional information. Additional specifics about the decommissioning plan are being developed.

Progress Energy Florida maintains insurance coverage against incremental costs of replacement power resulting from prolonged accidental outages at Crystal River Unit 3 through NEIL. NEIL provides insurance coverage for repair costs for covered events, as well as the cost of replacement power of up to $490 million per event when the unit is out of service as a result of these events. Actual replacement power costs have exceeded the insurance coverage. Progress Energy Florida also maintains insurance coverage through NEIL's accidental property damage program, which provides insurance coverage up to $2.25 billion with a $10 million deductible per claim.

Throughout the duration of the Crystal River Unit 3 outage, Progress Energy Florida worked with NEIL for recovery of applicable repair costs and associated replacement power costs. NEIL has made payments on the first delamination; however, NEIL has withheld payment of approximately $70

million of replacement power cost claims and repair cost claims related to the first delamination event. NEIL had not provided a written coverage decision for either delamination and no payments were made on the second delamination and no replacement power reimbursements were made by NEIL since May 2011. These considerations led Progress Energy Florida to conclude, in the second quarter of 2012, that it was not probable that NEIL would voluntarily pay the full coverage amounts that Progress Energy Florida believes them to owe under the applicable insurance policies. Consistent with the terms and procedures under the insurance coverage with NEIL, Progress Energy Florida agreed to non-binding mediation prior to commencing any formal dispute resolution. On February 5, 2013, Progress Energy Florida announced it and NEIL had accepted the mediator's proposal whereby NEIL will pay Progress Energy Florida an additional $530 million. Along with the $305 million which NEIL previously paid, Progress Energy Florida will receive a total of $835 million in insurance proceeds.

The following table summarizes the Crystal River Unit 3 replacement power and repair costs and recovery through December 31, 2012.

(in millions)	Replacement Power Costs	Repair Costs	Total
Spent to date	$ 614	$ 338	$ 952
NEIL proceeds received to date	(162)	(143)	(305)
Balance for recovery[(a)]	$ 452	$ 195	$ 647

(a) The portion of replacement power costs that has not been previously recovered from retail customers is classified within Regulatory assets on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets and Progress Energy Florida's Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012. Also, the $195 million of repair costs are classified within Regulatory assets on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets and Progress Energy Florida's Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012.

As a result of the 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement, Progress Energy Florida will be permitted to recover prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs through its fuel clause without regard for the absence of Crystal River Unit 3 for the period from the beginning of the Crystal River Unit 3 outage through December 31, 2016.

In accordance with the terms of the 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement, with consumer representatives and approved by the FPSC, Progress Energy Florida retained the sole discretion to retire Crystal River Unit 3. Progress Energy Florida expects that the FPSC will review the prudence of the retirement decision in Phase 2 of the Crystal River Unit 3 delamination regulatory docket. Progress Energy Florida has also asked the FPSC to review the mediated resolution of insurance claims with NEIL as part of Phase 3 of this regulatory docket. Phase 2 and Phase 3 hearings have been tentatively scheduled to begin on June 19, 2013.

Progress Energy Florida did not begin the repair of Crystal River Unit 3 prior to December 31, 2012. Consistent with the 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement regarding the timing of commencement of repairs, Progress Energy Florida recorded a Regulatory liability of $100 million in the third quarter of 2012 related to replacement power obligations. This amount is included within fuel used in electric generation and purchased power in Progress Energy Florida's and Progress Energy's Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2012. Progress Energy Florida will refund this replacement power liability on a pro rata basis based on the in-service date of up to $40 million in 2015 and $60 million in 2016. This amount is reflected as part of the purchase price allocation of the merger with Progress Energy in Duke Energy's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Progress Energy Florida also retained sole discretion to retire the unit without challenge from the parties to the agreement. As a result, Progress Energy Florida will be allowed to recover all remaining Crystal River Unit 3 investments and to earn a return on the Crystal River Unit 3 investments set at its current authorized overall cost of capital, adjusted to reflect a return on equity set at 70 percent of the current FPSC authorized return on equity, no earlier than the first billing cycle of January 2017.

In conjunction with the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3, Progress Energy Florida reclassified all Crystal River Unit 3 investments, including property, plant and equipment; nuclear fuel; inventory; and deferred assets to a regulatory asset account. At December 31, 2012, Progress Energy Florida had $1,637 million of net investment in Crystal River Unit 3 recorded in Regulatory assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheet. These amounts are reflected in the Regulatory Assets and Liabilities tables presented previously in this disclosure, of which $1,592 million is reflected as Retired generation facilities, $25 million as Nuclear deferral and $20 million as an offset to Removal costs. Progress Energy Florida recorded $192 million of impairment and other charges related to the wholesale portion of Crystal River Unit 3 investments, which are not covered by the 2012 FSPC Settlement Agreement, and other provisions. The significant majority of this amount is recorded in Impairment charges on Progress Energy Florida's and Progress Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2012. This amount is reflected as part of the purchase price allocation of the merger with Progress Energy in Duke Energy's Consolidated Financial Statements (See Note 2).

In accordance with the 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement, NEIL proceeds received allocable to retail customers will be applied first to replacement power costs incurred after December 31, 2012 through December 31, 2016, with the remainder used to write down the remaining Crystal River Unit 3 investments.

Progress Energy Florida believes the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3, the actions taken and costs incurred in response to the Crystal River Unit 3 delamination have been prudent and, accordingly, considers replacement power and capital costs not recoverable through insurance to be recoverable through its fuel cost-recovery clause or base rates. Additional replacement power costs and exit cost to wind down the operations at the plant and decommission Crystal River Unit 3 could be material. Retirement of the plant could impact funding obligations associated with Progress Energy Florida's nuclear decommissioning trust fund.

Progress Energy Florida is a party to a master participation agreement and other related agreements with the joint owners of Crystal River Unit 3 which convey certain rights and obligations on Progress Energy Florida and the joint owners. In December 2012, Progress Energy Florida reached an agreement with one group of joint owners related to all Crystal River Unit 3 matters.

Progress Energy Florida cannot predict the outcome of matters described above.

Customer Rate Matters. In conjunction with the 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement, Progress Energy Florida will maintain base rates at the current levels through the last billing cycle of December 2016, except as described as follows. The agreement provides for a $150 million increase in revenue requirements effective with the first billing cycle of January 2013, while maintaining the current return on equity range of 9.5 percent to 11.5 percent. Additionally, costs associated with Crystal River Unit 3 investments will be removed from retail rate base effective with the first billing cycle of January 2013. Progress Energy Florida will accrue, for future rate-setting purposes, a carrying charge on the Crystal River Unit 3 investment until the Crystal River Unit 3 regulatory asset is recovered in base rates beginning with the first billing cycle of January 2017. If Progress Energy Florida's retail base rate earnings fall below the return on equity range, as reported on a FPSC-adjusted or pro-forma basis on a Progress Energy Florida monthly earnings surveillance report, Progress Energy Florida may petition the FPSC to amend its base rates during the term of the agreement. Refer to the discussion above regarding recovery of Crystal River Unit 3 investments if the plant is retired.

Progress Energy Florida will refund $288 million to retail customers through its fuel clause. Progress Energy Florida will refund $129 million in each of 2013 and 2014, and an additional $10 million annually to residential and small commercial customers in 2014, 2015 and 2016. At December 31, 2011, a regulatory liability was established for the $288 million to be refunded in future periods. In 2011, the corresponding charge was recorded as a reduction of operating revenues in Progress Energy Florida's and Progress Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. As discussed above, Progress Energy Florida also recorded a Regulatory liability of $100 million in the third quarter of 2012 related to replacement power obligations.

Levy Nuclear Station. On July 30, 2008, Progress Energy Florida filed its COL application with the NRC for two Westinghouse AP1000 reactors at its proposed Levy Nuclear Station (Levy), which the NRC docketed on October 6, 2008. Various parties filed a joint petition to intervene in the Levy COL application. On October 31 and November 1, 2012, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board held an evidentiary hearing on portions of the intervention petitions. A decision is expected in March 2013. In 2008, the FPSC granted Progress Energy Florida's petition for an affirmative Determination of Need and related orders requesting cost recovery under Florida's nuclear cost-recovery rule for Levy, together with the associated facilities, including transmission lines and substation facilities.

On April 30, 2012, as part of its annual nuclear cost recovery filing, Progress Energy Florida updated the Levy project schedule and cost. Due to lower-than-projected customer demand, the lingering economic slowdown, uncertainty regarding potential carbon regulation and current low natural gas prices, Progress Energy Florida has shifted the in-service date for the first Levy unit to 2024, with the second unit following 18 months later. The revised schedule is consistent with the recovery approach included in the 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement. Although the scope and overnight cost for Levy, including land acquisition, related transmission work and other required investments, remain essentially unchanged, the shift in schedule will increase escalation and carrying costs and raise the total estimated project cost to between $19 billion and $24 billion.

Along with the FPSC's annual prudence reviews, Progress Energy Florida will continue to evaluate the project on an ongoing basis based on certain criteria, including, but not limited to, cost; potential carbon regulation; fossil fuel prices; the benefits of fuel diversification; public, regulatory and political support; adequate financial cost-recovery mechanisms; appropriate levels of joint owner participation; customer rate impacts; project feasibility; DSM and EE programs; and availability and terms of capital financing. Taking into account these criteria, Levy is considered to be Progress Energy Florida's preferred baseload generation option.

Under the terms of the 2012 FSPC Settlement Agreement, Progress Energy Florida began residential cost-recovery of its proposed Levy Nuclear Station effective in the first billing cycle of January 2013 at the fixed rates contained in the settlement and continuing for a five-year period, with true-up of any actual costs not recovered during the 5-year period occurring in the final year. Progress Energy Florida will not file for recovery of any new Levy costs that were not addressed in the 2012 FSPC Settlement Agreement before March 1, 2017 and will not begin recovering those costs from customers before the first billing cycle of January, 2018, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties to the agreement. This amount is intended to recover the estimated retail project costs to date plus costs necessary to obtain the COL and any engineering, procurement and construction cancellation costs, if Progress Energy Florida ultimately chooses to cancel that contract. In addition, the consumer parties will not oppose Progress Energy Florida continuing to pursue a COL for Levy. The 2012 FSPC Settlement Agreement also provides that Progress Energy Florida will treat the allocated wholesale cost of Levy (approximately $68 million) as a retail regulatory asset and include this asset as a component of rate base and amortization expense for regulatory reporting. Progress Energy Florida will have the discretion to accelerate and/or suspend such amortization in full or in part provided that it amortizes all of the regulatory asset by December 31, 2016.

Cost of Removal Reserve. The 2012 and 2010 FPSC Settlement Agreements (Settlement Agreements) provide Progress Energy Florida the discretion to reduce cost of removal amortization expense by up to the balance in the cost of removal reserve until the earlier of (a) its applicable cost of removal reserve reaches zero, or (b) the expiration of the 2012 FPSC Settlement Agreement. Progress Energy Florida may not reduce amortization expense if the reduction would cause it to exceed the appropriate high point of the return on equity range, as established in the Settlement Agreements. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreements, Progress Energy Florida recognized a reduction in amortization expense of $178 million and $250 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Duke Energy recognized a reduction in amortization expense of $120 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Progress Energy Florida had eligible cost of removal reserves of $110 million remaining at December 31, 2012, which is impacted by accruals in accordance with its latest depreciation study, removal costs expended and reductions in amortization expense as permitted by the Settlement Agreements.

Anclote Units 1 and 2. On March 29, 2012, Progress Energy Florida announced plans to convert the 1,010 MW Anclote Units 1 and 2 (Anclote) from oil and natural gas fired to 100 percent natural gas fired and requested that the FPSC permit recovery of the estimated $79 million conversion cost through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC). Progress Energy Florida believes this conversion is the most cost-effective alternative for Anclote to achieve and maintain compliance with applicable environmental regulations. On September 13, 2012, the FPSC approved Progress Energy Florida's request to seek cost recovery through the ECRC. Progress Energy Florida anticipates that both converted units will be placed in service by the end of 2013.

Duke Energy Ohio

Capacity Rider Filing. On August 29, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application with the PUCO for the establishment of a charge, pursuant to Ohio's state compensation mechanism, for capacity provided consistent with its obligations as a Fixed Resource Requirement (FRR) entity. The application included a request for deferral authority and for a new tariff to implement the charge. The deferral being sought is the difference between its costs and market-based prices for capacity. The requested tariff would implement a charge to be collected via a rider through which such deferred balances will subsequently be recovered. 24 parties moved to intervene. Hearings have been set for April 2, 2013. Under the current procedural schedule, Duke Energy Ohio expects an order in 2013.

2012 Electric Rate Case. On July 9, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application with the PUCO for an increase in electric distribution rates of approximately $87 million. On average, total electric rates would increase approximately 5.1% under the filing. The rate increase is designed to recover the cost of investments in projects to improve reliability for customers and upgrades to the distribution system. Pursuant to a stipulation in another case, Duke Energy Ohio will continue recovering its costs associated with grid modernization in a separate rider.

Duke Energy Ohio expects revised rates, if approved, to go into effect in the first half of 2013.

2012 Natural Gas Rate Case. On July 9, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application with the PUCO for an increase in natural gas distribution rates of approximately $45 million. On average, total natural gas rates would increase approximately 6.6% under the filing. The rate increase is designed to recover the cost of upgrades to the distribution system, as well as environmental cleanup of manufactured gas plant sites. In addition to the recovery of costs associated with MGP sites, the rate request includes a proposal for an accelerated service line replacement program and a new rider to recover the associated incremental cost. The filing also requests that the PUCO renew the rider recovery of Duke Energy Ohio's accelerated main replacement program and grid modernization program.

On January 4, 2013, the PUCO Staff filed a staff report recommending that Duke Energy Ohio only be allowed to recover costs related to MGP sites which are currently used and useful in the provision of natural gas distribution service. Duke Energy Ohio filed its objection to the staff report on February 4, 2013.

Duke Energy Ohio expects revised rates, if approved, to go into effect in the first half of 2013.

Generation Asset Transfer. On April 2, 2012 and amended on June 22, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio and various affiliated entities filed an Application for Authorization for Disposition of Jurisdictional Facilities with FERC. The application seeks to transfer, from Duke Energy Ohio's rate-regulated Ohio utility company, the legacy coal-fired and combustion gas turbine assets to a nonregulated affiliate, consistent with the ESP stipulation approved by the PUCO on November 22, 2011. The application outlines a potential additional step in the reorganization that would result in a transfer of all of Duke Energy Ohio's Commercial Power business to an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy. The process of determining the optimal corporate structure is an ongoing evaluation of factors, such as tax considerations, that may change between now and the transfer date. In conjunction with the transfer, Duke Energy Ohio's capital structure will be restructured to reflect appropriate debt and equity ratios for its regulated Franchised Electric and Gas operations. The transfer could instead be accomplished within a wholly owned nonregulated subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio depending on final tax structuring analysis. The FERC approved the application on September 5, 2012. Duke Energy Ohio has agreed to transfer the legacy coal-fired and combustion gas turbine assets on or before December 31, 2014.

Standard Service Offer (SSO). The PUCO approved Duke Energy Ohio's current Electric Security Plan (ESP) on November 22, 2011. The ESP effectively separates the generation of electricity from Duke Energy Ohio's retail load obligation and requires Duke Energy Ohio to transfer its generation assets to a nonregulated affiliate on or before December 31, 2014. The ESP includes competitive auctions for electricity supply whereby the energy price is recovered from retail customers. As a result, Duke Energy Ohio now earns retail margin on the transmission and distribution of electricity only and not on the cost of the underlying energy. New rates for Duke Energy Ohio went into effect for SSO customers on January 1, 2012. The ESP also includes a provision for a non-bypassable stability charge of $110 million per year to be collected from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014.

On January 18, 2012, the PUCO denied a request for rehearing of its decision on Duke Energy Ohio's ESP filed by Columbus Southern Power

and Ohio Power Company.

Regional Transmission Organization Realignment. Duke Energy Ohio, which includes its wholly owned subsidiary Duke Energy Kentucky, transferred control of its transmission assets to effect a Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) realignment from MISO to PJM, effective December 31, 2011.

On December 16, 2010, the FERC issued an order related to MISO's cost allocation methodology surrounding Multi-Value Projects (MVP), a type of MISO Transmission Expansion Planning (MTEP) project cost. MISO expects that MVP will fund the costs of large transmission projects designed to bring renewable generation from the upper Midwest to load centers in the eastern portion of the MISO footprint. MISO approved MVP proposals with estimated project costs of approximately $5.2 billion prior to the date of Duke Energy Ohio's exit from MISO on December 31, 2011. These projects are expected to be undertaken by the constructing transmission owners from 2012 through 2020 with costs recovered through MISO over the useful life of the projects. The FERC order did not clearly and expressly approve MISO's apparent interpretation that a withdrawing transmission owner is obligated to pay its share of costs of all MVP projects approved by MISO up to the date of the withdrawing transmission owners' exit from MISO. Duke Energy Ohio has historically represented approximately five-percent of the MISO system. Duke Energy Ohio, among other parties, sought rehearing of the FERC MVP order. On October 21, 2011, the FERC issued an order on rehearing in this matter largely affirming its original MVP order and conditionally accepting MISO's compliance filing as well as determining that the MVP allocation methodology is consistent with cost causation principles and FERC precedent. The FERC also reiterated that it would not prejudge any settlement agreement between an RTO and a withdrawing transmission owner for fees that a withdrawing transmission owner owes to the RTO. The order further states that any such fees that a withdrawing transmission owner owes to an RTO are a matter for those parties to negotiate, subject to review by the FERC. The FERC also ruled that Duke Energy Ohio's challenge of MISO's ability to allocate MVP costs to a withdrawing transmission owner is beyond the scope of the proceeding. The order further stated that MISO's tariff withdrawal language establishes that once cost responsibility for transmission upgrades is determined, withdrawing transmission owners retain any costs incurred prior to the withdrawal date. In order to preserve its rights, Duke Energy Ohio filed an appeal of the FERC order in the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals. The case was consolidated with appeals of the FERC order by other parties in the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals.

On October 14, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application with the FERC to establish new wholesale customer rates for transmission service under PJM's Open Access Transmission Tariff. In this filing, Duke Energy Ohio sought recovery of its legacy MTEP costs, including MVP costs, and submitted an analysis showing that the benefits of the RTO realignment outweigh the costs to the customers. The new rates went into effect, subject to refund, on January 1, 2012. Protests were filed by certain transmission customers. On April 24, 2012, FERC issued an order in which it, denied recovery of legacy MTEP costs without prejudice to the right of Duke Energy Ohio to make another filing including a more comprehensive cost-benefit analysis to support such recovery and set the return on equity component of the rate for hearing. Duke Energy Ohio has entered into a settlement agreement with the only remaining protester, American Municipal Power, Inc. (AMP) under which the return on equity will be set at 11.38% legacy MTEP costs will be recovered in rates, and AMP will receive a credit equal to 75% of its share of the legacy MTEP costs. The settlement agreement was filed with the FERC on February 4, 2012 and requires FERC approval.

On December 29, 2011, MISO filed with FERC a Schedule 39 to MISO's tariff. Schedule 39 provides for the allocation of MVP costs to a withdrawing owner based on the owner's actual transmission load after the owner's withdrawal from MISO, or, if the owner fails to report such load, based on the owner's historical usage in MISO assuming annual load growth. On January 19, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio filed with FERC a protest of the allocation of MVP costs to them under Schedule 39. On February 27, 2012, the FERC accepted Schedule 39 as a just and reasonable basis for MISO to charge for MVP costs, a transmission owner that withdraws from MISO after January 1, 2012. The FERC set for hearing whether MISO's proposal to use the methodology in Schedule 39 to calculate the obligation of transmission owners who withdrew from MISO prior to January 1, 2012 (such as Duke Energy Ohio) to pay for MVP costs is consistent with the MVP-related withdrawal obligations in the tariff at the time that they withdrew from MISO, and, if not, what amount of, and methodology for calculating, any MVP cost responsibility should be.

On March 28, 2012, Duke Energy Ohio filed a request for rehearing of FERC's February 27, 2012 order on MISO's Schedule 39. On December 19, 2012, the FERC Trial Staff submitted testimony in the Schedule 39 hearing proceeding in which its witness stated his opinion that Duke Energy Ohio should not be liable for any MVP costs. The role of the FERC Trial Staff is to act as an independent party in the proceeding; it has no judicial authority. The hearing has been scheduled for April 2013.

On December 31, 2011, Duke Energy Ohio recorded a liability for its MISO exit obligation and share of MTEP costs, excluding MVP, of approximately $110 million. This liability was recorded within Other in Current liabilities and Other in Deferred credits and other liabilities on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets upon exit from MISO on December 31, 2011. Approximately $74 million of this amount was recorded as a regulatory asset while $36 million was recorded to Operation, maintenance and other in Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. In addition to the above amounts, Duke Energy Ohio may also be responsible for costs associated with MISO MVP projects. Duke Energy Ohio is contesting its obligation to pay for such costs. However, depending on the final outcome of this matter, Duke Energy Ohio could incur material costs associated with MVP projects, which are not reasonably estimable at this time. Regulatory accounting treatment will be pursued for any costs incurred in connection with the resolution of this matter.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of Duke Energy Ohio's recorded obligations related to its withdrawal from MISO.

(in millions)	Balance at December 31, 2011	Provision / Adjustments	Cash Reductions	Balance at December 31, 2012
Duke Energy Ohio	$ 110	$ 5	$ (18)	$ 97

Duke Energy Indiana

Edwardsport IGCC Plant. On November 20, 2007, the IURC issued an order granting Duke Energy Indiana a CPCN for the construction of a 618 MW IGCC power plant at Duke Energy Indiana's Edwardsport Generating Station in Knox County, Indiana with a cost estimate of $1.985 billion and timely recovery of costs related to the project. On January 25, 2008, Duke Energy Indiana received the final air permit from the Indiana Department of Environmental Management. The Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana, Inc. (CAC), Sierra Club, Inc., Save the Valley, Inc., and Valley Watch, Inc., all intervenors in the CPCN proceeding, have appealed the air permit.

On May 1, 2008, Duke Energy Indiana filed its first semi-annual IGCC rider and ongoing review proceeding with the IURC as required under the CPCN order issued by the IURC. In its filing, Duke Energy Indiana requested approval of a new cost estimate for the IGCC project of $2.35 billion (including $125 million of AFUDC) and for approval of plans to study carbon capture as required by the IURC's CPCN order. On January 7, 2009, the IURC approved Duke Energy Indiana's request, including the new cost estimate of $2.35 billion, and cost recovery associated with a study on carbon capture. On November 3, 2008 and May 1, 2009, Duke Energy Indiana filed its second and third semi-annual IGCC riders, respectively, both of which were approved by the IURC in full.

On November 24, 2009, Duke Energy Indiana filed a petition for its fourth semi-annual IGCC rider and ongoing review proceeding with the IURC. As Duke Energy Indiana experienced design modifications, quantity increases and scope growth above what was anticipated from the preliminary engineering design, capital costs to the IGCC project were anticipated to increase. Duke Energy Indiana forecasted that the additional capital cost items would use the remaining contingency and escalation amounts in the current $2.35 billion cost estimate and add $150 million, excluding the impact associated with the need to add more contingency. Duke Energy Indiana did not request approval of an increased cost estimate in the fourth semi-annual update proceeding; rather, Duke Energy Indiana requested, and the IURC approved, a subdocket proceeding in which Duke Energy Indiana would present additional evidence regarding an updated estimated cost for the IGCC project and in which a more comprehensive review of the IGCC project could occur. The evidentiary hearing for the fourth semi-annual update proceeding was held April 6, 2010, and an interim order was received on July 28, 2010. The order approved the implementation of an updated IGCC rider to recover costs incurred through September 30, 2009. The approvals were on an interim basis pending the outcome of the sub-docket proceeding involving the revised cost estimate as discussed further below.

On April 16, 2010, Duke Energy Indiana filed a revised cost estimate for the IGCC project reflecting an estimated cost increase of $530 million. Duke Energy Indiana requested approval of the revised cost estimate of $2.88 billion (including $160 million of AFUDC), and for continuation of the existing cost recovery treatment. A major driver of the cost increase included quantity increases and design changes, which impacted the scope, productivity and schedule of the IGCC project. On September 17, 2010, an agreement was reached with the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (OUCC), Duke Energy Indiana Industrial Group and Nucor Steel Indiana to increase the authorized cost estimate of $2.35 billion to $2.76 billion, and to cap the project's costs that could be passed on to customers at $2.975 billion. Any construction cost amounts above $2.76 billion would be subject to a prudence review similar to most other rate base investments in Duke Energy Indiana's next general rate increase request before the IURC. Duke Energy Indiana agreed to accept a 150 basis point reduction in the equity return for any project construction costs greater than $2.35 billion. Additionally, Duke Energy Indiana agreed not to file for a general rate case increase before March 2012. Duke Energy Indiana also agreed to reduce depreciation rates earlier than would otherwise be required and to forego a deferred tax incentive related to the IGCC project. As a result of the settlement, Duke Energy Indiana recorded a pre-tax charge to earnings of approximately $44 million in the third quarter of 2010 to reflect the impact of the reduction in the return on equity. The charge is recorded in Impairment charges on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. The IURC convened a technical conference on November 3, 2010, related to the continuing need for the Edwardsport IGCC facility. On December 9, 2010, the parties to the settlement withdrew the settlement agreement to provide an opportunity to assess whether and to what extent the settlement agreement remained a reasonable allocation of risks and rewards and whether modifications to the settlement agreement were appropriate. Management determined that the approximate $44 million charge discussed above was not impacted by the withdrawal of the settlement agreement.

During 2010, Duke Energy Indiana filed petitions for its fifth and sixth semi-annual IGCC riders. Evidentiary hearings were held on April 24, 2012 and April 25, 2012.

The CAC, Sierra Club, Inc., Save the Valley, Inc., and Valley Watch, Inc. filed motions for two subdocket proceedings alleging improper communications, undue influence, fraud, concealment and gross mismanagement, and a request for field hearing in this proceeding. Duke Energy Indiana opposed the requests. On February 25, 2011, the IURC issued an order which denied the request for a subdocket to investigate the allegations of improper communications and undue influence at this time, finding there were other agencies better suited for such investigation. The IURC also found that allegations of fraud, concealment and gross mismanagement related to the IGCC project should be heard in a Phase II proceeding of the cost estimate subdocket and set evidentiary hearings on both Phase I (cost estimate increase) and Phase II beginning in August 2011. After procedural delays, hearings began on Phase I on October 26, 2011 and on Phase II on November 21, 2011.

On March 10, 2011, Duke Energy Indiana filed testimony with the IURC proposing a framework designed to mitigate customer rate impacts associated with the Edwardsport IGCC project. Duke Energy Indiana's filing proposed a cap on the project's construction costs, (excluding financing costs), which can be recovered through rates at $2.72 billion. It also proposed rate-related adjustments that would lower the overall customer rate increase related to the project from an average of 19% to approximately 16%.

On June 27, 2011, Duke Energy Indiana filed testimony with the IURC in connection with its seventh semi-annual rider request which included an update on the current cost forecast of the Edwardsport IGCC project. The updated forecast, excluding AFUDC, increased from $2.72 billion to $2.82 billion, not including any contingency for unexpected start-up events. On June 30, 2011, the OUCC and intervenors filed testimony in Phase I recommending that Duke Energy Indiana be disallowed cost recovery of any of the additional cost estimate increase above the previously approved cost estimate of $2.35 billion. Duke Energy Indiana filed rebuttal testimony on August 3, 2011.

In the subdocket proceeding, on July 14, 2011, the OUCC and certain intervenors filed testimony in Phase II alleging that Duke Energy Indiana concealed information and grossly mismanaged the project, and therefore Duke Energy Indiana should only be permitted to recover from customers $1.985 billion, the original IGCC project cost estimate approved by the IURC. Other intervenors recommended that Duke Energy Indiana not be able to rely on any cost recovery granted under the CPCN or the first cost increase order. Duke Energy Indiana believes it has diligently and prudently managed the project. On September 9, 2011, Duke Energy defended against the allegations in its responsive testimony. The OUCC and intervenors filed their final rebuttal testimony in Phase II on or before October 7, 2011, making similar claims of fraud, concealment and gross mismanagement and recommending the same outcome of limiting Duke Energy Indiana's recovery to the $1.985 billion initial cost estimate. Additionally, the CAC recommended that recovery be limited to the costs incurred on the IGCC project as of November 30, 2009, with further IURC proceedings to be held to determine the financial consequences of this recommendation. As of November 30, 2009, Duke Energy Indiana estimated it had committed costs of $1.6 billion.

On October 19, 2011, Duke Energy Indiana revised its project cost estimate from approximately $2.82 billion, excluding financing costs, to approximately $2.98 billion, excluding financing costs. The revised estimate reflects additional cost pressures resulting from quantity increases and the resulting impact on the scope, productivity and schedule of the IGCC project. Duke Energy Indiana previously proposed to the IURC a cost cap of approximately $2.72 billion, plus the actual AFUDC that accrues on that amount. As a result, Duke Energy Indiana recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of approximately $222 million in the third quarter of 2011 related to costs expected to be incurred above the cost cap. This charge is in addition to the previous pre-tax impairment charge related to the Edwardsport project discussed above and is recorded in Impairment charges on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

On November 30, 2011, Duke Energy Indiana filed a petition with the IURC in connection with its eighth semi-annual rider request for the Edwardsport IGCC project. Evidentiary hearings for the seventh and eighth semi-annual rider requests were held on August 6, 2012 and August 7, 2012.

Phase I and Phase II hearings concluded on January 24, 2012. The CAC has filed repeated requests for the IURC to consider issues of ethics, undue influence, due process violations and appearance of impropriety. The IURC denied the most recent motion in March 2012. In April 2012, the CAC filed a motion requesting the IURC to certify questions of law for appeal regarding allegations of fraud on the commission and due process violations. This motion was denied.

On April 30, 2012, Duke Energy Indiana entered into a settlement agreement with the OUCC, the Duke Energy Indiana Industrial Group and Nucor Steel-Indiana on the cost increase for construction of the Edwardsport IGCC plant, including both Phase I and Phase II of the subdocket. Pursuant to the agreement, there would be a cap on costs to be reflected in customer rates of $2.595 billion, including estimated financing costs through June 30, 2012. Pursuant to the agreement, Duke Energy Indiana would be able to recover additional financing costs until November 30, 2012, and 85% of financing costs that accrue thereafter. Duke Energy Indiana also agreed not to request a retail electric base rate increase prior to March 2013, with rates in effect no earlier than April 1, 2014. As a result of the agreement, Duke Energy Indiana recorded pre-tax impairment and other charges of approximately $420 million in the first quarter of 2012. Approximately $400 million is recorded in Impairment charges and the remaining approximately $20 million is recorded in Operation, maintenance and other on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statement of Operations and in Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. The $20 million recorded in Operation, maintenance and other, is attributed to legal fees Duke Energy Indiana will be responsible for on behalf of certain intervenors, as well as funding for low income energy assistance, as required by the settlement agreement. These charges are in addition to previous pre-tax impairment charges related to the Edwardsport IGCC project as discussed above.

The CAC, Sierra Club Indiana chapter, Save the Valley and Valley Watch, filed testimony in opposition to the April 30, 2012 settlement agreement contending the agreement should not be approved, and that the amount of costs recovered from customers should be less than what the settlement agreement provides, potentially even zero. In addition to reiterating their prior concerns with the Edwardsport IGCC project, the intervenors noted above also contend new settlement terms should be added to mitigate carbon emissions, conditions should be added prior to the plant being declared in-service and the IURC should consider their allegations of undue influence. Duke Energy Indiana, the Industrial Group and the OUCC, filed rebuttal testimony supporting the settlement as reasonable and in the public interest. An evidentiary hearing on the settlement agreement concluded on July 19, 2012. Post-hearing briefing has been completed.

On June 8, 2012, Duke Energy Indiana filed a petition with the IURC in connection with its ninth semi-annual rider request for the Edwardsport IGCC project. An evidentiary hearing for the ninth semi-annual rider request was January 15, 2013.

On October 30, 2012, Duke Energy Indiana revised its project cost estimate from approximately $2.98 billion, excluding financing costs, to approximately $3.154 billion, excluding financing costs, and revised the projected in-service date from the first quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2013. The revised estimate is due primarily to lower than projected revenues from test output and delays due to more extensive testing conditions. As a result, Duke Energy Indiana recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of approximately $180 million in the third quarter of 2012 related to costs expected to be incurred above the cost cap proposed in the settlement agreement filed in April 2012, as discussed above. This amount is in addition to previous pre-tax impairment charges related to the Edwardsport IGCC project and is recorded in Impairment charges on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

On December 27, 2012, the IURC approved the settlement agreement finalized in April 2012, as discussed above, between Duke Energy Indiana, the OUCC, the Duke Energy Indiana Industrial Group and Nucor Steel Indiana, on the cost increase for the construction of the project. This order resolves all subdocket issues in Phase I and Phase II of the proceeding. The settlement agreement, as approved, caps costs to be reflected in customer rates at $2.595 billion, including estimated AFUDC through June 30, 2012. Duke Energy Indiana was allowed to recover AFUDC after June 30, 2012 until customer rates are revised, with such recovery decreasing to 85% on AFUDC accrued after November 30, 2012.

The IURC modified the settlement agreement as previously agreed to by the parties to (i) require the Duke Energy Indiana to credit customers $31 million for cost control incentive payments which the IURC found to be unwarranted as a result of delays that arose from project cost overruns and (ii) provide that if the Duke Energy Indiana should recover more than the project costs absorbed by Duke Energy's shareholders through litigation, any surplus must be returned to the Duke Energy Indiana's ratepayers. On December 11, 2012, Duke Energy Indiana filed an arbitration action against General Electric Company (General Electric) and Bechtel Corporation (Bechtel) in connection with their work at the Edwardsport IGCC facility. Duke Energy Indiana is seeking damages of not less than $560 million. Duke Energy cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

The CAC, Sierra Club Indiana chapter, Save the Valley and Valley Watch have appealed the IURC order approving the Settlement Agreement to the Indiana Court of Appeals. No briefing schedule has been set.

Also on December 27, 2012, the IURC issued orders on the fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth IGCC riders, concluding those proceedings. In the eighth IGCC rider order, the IURC approved construction work in process recovery on the settlement agreement's hard cost cap amount of $2.595 billion.

The project is scheduled to be in commercial operation in mid-2013. Additional updates to the cost estimate could occur through the completion of the plant.

Duke Energy Indiana Storm Cost Deferrals. On July 14, 2010, the IURC approved Duke Energy Indiana's deferral of $12 million of retail jurisdictional storm expense until the next retail rate proceeding. This amount represents a portion of costs associated with a January 27, 2009 ice storm, which damaged Duke Energy Indiana's distribution system. On August 12, 2010, the OUCC filed a notice of appeal with the IURC. On December 7, 2010, the IURC issued an order reopening this proceeding for review in consideration of the evidence presented as a result of an internal audit performed as part of an IURC investigation of Duke Energy Indiana's hiring of an attorney from the IURC staff which resulted in the IURC's termination of the employment of the Chairman of the IURC. The audit did not find that the order conflicted with the staff report; however, it did note that the staff report offered no specific recommendation to either approve or deny the requested relief, and that the original order was appealed. On October 19, 2011, the IURC issued an order denying Duke Energy Indiana the right to defer the storm expense discussed above. On December 29, 2012, the Indiana Court of Appeals upheld the IURC's decision to deny recovery of the storm costs.

Phase 2 Environmental Compliance Proceeding. On June 28, 2012, Duke Energy Indiana filed with the IURC a plan for the addition of certain environmental pollution control projects on several of its coal-fired generating units in order to comply with existing and proposed environmental rules and regulations. The plan calls for a combination of selective catalytic reduction systems, dry sorbent injection systems for SO_3 mitigation, activated carbon injection systems and/or mercury re-emission chemical injection systems. The capital costs are estimated at $395 million (excluding AFUDC). Duke Energy Indiana also indicated that it preliminarily anticipates the retirement of Wabash River Units 2 through 5 in 2015 and is still evaluating future equipment additions or retirement of Wabash River Unit 6. An evidentiary hearing was held January 7, 2013 through January 9, 2013, with an order expected in the second quarter of 2013.

Other Regulatory Matters

Progress Energy Merger NCUC Investigation. On July 6, 2012, the NCUC issued an order initiating investigation and scheduling hearings addressing the timing of the Duke Energy board of directors' decision on July 2, 2012, to replace William D. Johnson with James E. Rogers as President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Duke Energy, as well as other related matters.

Pursuant to the merger agreement, William D. Johnson, Chairman, President and CEO of Progress Energy became President and CEO of Duke Energy and James E. Rogers, Chairman, President and CEO of Duke Energy became Executive Chairman of Duke Energy upon close of the merger. Mr. Johnson subsequently resigned as the President and CEO of Duke Energy, effective July 3, 2012 and Mr. Rogers was appointed to be CEO.

On November 29, 2012, Duke Energy reached a settlement agreement with the NCUC and the North Carolina Public Staff regarding the investigations discussed above. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, Duke Energy agreed to a number of terms, the most notable of which are (i) Duke Energy will maintain at least 1,000 employees in Raleigh, North Carolina for at least five years from date of the settlement agreement; (ii) Duke Energy will guarantee an additional $25 million in fuel and fuel-related cost savings for Duke Energy's North Carolina retail customers; (iii) Duke Energy will contribute an additional $5 million to workforce development and low-income assistance in North Carolina; (iv) Duke Energy Carolinas will defer filing a general rate case in North Carolina until February 2013; and (v) Duke Energy will make various changes in management and Board members, which includes CEO James E. Rogers retirement no later than December 31, 2013. On December 3, 2012, the NCUC approved the settlement agreement between Duke Energy, the NCUC and the North Carolina Public Staff. The settlement agreement resolves all matters related to the NCUC investigation.

Duke Energy has also been contacted by the SEC to explain the circumstances surrounding the NCUC Investigation and shareholder lawsuits in connection with the closing of the merger with Progress Energy. See Note 5 for a discussion of shareholder litigation. A meeting was held with the SEC staff in late October. Duke Energy intends to continue to assist the SEC staff, as they request.

Progress Energy Merger North Carolina Department of Justice (NCDOJ) Investigations. Duke Energy also received an Investigative Demand issued by the NCDOJ on July 6, 2012, requesting the production of certain documents related to the issues which were also the subject of the NCUC Investigation discussed above. Duke Energy's responses to these requests were submitted on August 7, 2012. On August 1, 2012, the NCUC engaged the law firm of Jenner & Block to conduct an investigation of these matters. On December 3, 2012, Duke Energy reached a settlement agreement with the NCDOJ.

Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA). On June 29, 2012, and July 2, 2012, the NCUC and the PSCSC, respectively, approved the JDA between Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas. The JDA provides for joint dispatch of the generating facilities of both Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas for the purpose of reducing the cost of serving the native loads of both companies. As set forth in the JDA, Duke Energy Carolinas will act as the joint dispatcher, on behalf of both Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas. As joint dispatcher, Duke Energy Carolinas will direct the dispatch of both Duke Energy Carolinas' and Progress Energy Carolinas' power supply resources, determine payments between the parties for the purchase and sale of energy between Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas, and calculate and allocate the fuel cost savings to the parties. The JDA is subject to review by the PSCSC after one year. Refer to Note 14 for further discussion.

Planned and Potential Coal Plant Retirements. The Subsidiary Registrants periodically file Integrated Resource Plans (IRP) with their state regulatory commissions. The IRPs provide a view of forecasted energy needs over a long term (15-20 years), and options being considered to meet those needs. The IRP's filed by the Subsidiary Registrants in 2012 and 2011 included planning assumptions to potentially retire by 2015, certain coal-fired generating facilities in North Carolina, South Carolina, Indiana and Ohio that do not have the requisite emission control equipment, primarily to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations that are not yet effective. Additionally, management is considering the impact pending environmental regulations might have on certain coal-fired generating facilities in Florida.

The table below contains the net carrying value of generating facilities planned for early retirement or being evaluated for potential retirement included in Property, plant and equipment, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In addition to the amounts presented below, Progress Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Indiana have $128 million and $61 million, respectively, of net carrying value related to previously retired generation facilities included in Regulatory assets on their Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31, 2012					
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas[b][e]	Progress Energy Carolinas[c][e]	Progress Energy Florida[d]	Duke Energy Ohio[f]	Duke Energy Indiana[g]
Capacity (in MW)	3,954	910	575	873	928	668
Remaining net book value (in millions)[a]	$ 428	$ 106	$ 63	$ 115	$ 12	$ 132

(a) Included in Property, plant and equipment, net as of December 31, 2012, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, unless otherwise noted.

(b) Includes Riverbend Units 4 through 7, Lee Units 1 and 2 and Buck Units 5 and 6. Duke Energy Carolinas has committed to retire 1,667 MW in conjunction with a Cliffside air permit settlement, of which 587 MW have already been retired as of December 31, 2012. Duke Energy Carolinas plans to retire 710 MW for the Riverbend Units 4 though 7 and Buck Units 5 and 6 effective April 1, 2013. Excludes 170 MW Lee Unit 3 that is expected to be converted to gas in 2014. The Lee Unit 3 conversion will be considered a retirement toward meeting the 1,667 MW retirement commitment.

(c) Includes Sutton Station, which is expected to be retired by the end of 2013.

(d) Includes Crystal River Units 1 and 2.

(e) Net book value of Duke Energy Carolinas' Buck Units 5 and 6 of $73 million, and Progress Energy Carolinas' Sutton Station of $63 million is included in Generation facilities to be retired, net, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012.

(f) Includes Beckjord Station Units 2 through 6 and Miami Fort Unit 6. Beckjord has no remaining book value. Beckjord Unit 1 was retired May 1, 2012.

(g) Includes Wabash River Units 2 through 6.

Duke Energy continues to evaluate the potential need to retire these coal-fired generating facilities earlier than the current estimated useful lives, and plans to seek regulatory recovery for amounts that would not be otherwise recovered when any of these assets are retired. However, such recovery, including recovery of carrying costs on remaining book values, could be subject to future regulatory approvals and therefore cannot be assured.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

General Insurance

The Duke Energy Registrants have insurance and reinsurance coverage either directly or through indemnification from Duke Energy's captive insurance company, Bison, and its affiliates, consistent with companies engaged in similar commercial operations with similar type properties. The Duke Energy Registrants' coverage includes (i) commercial general liability coverage for liabilities arising to third parties for bodily injury and property damage resulting from the Duke Energy Registrants' operations; (ii) workers' compensation liability coverage to statutory limits; (iii) automobile liability coverage for all owned, non-owned and hired vehicles covering liabilities to third parties for bodily injury and property damage; (iv) insurance policies in support of the indemnification provisions of the Duke Energy Registrants' by-laws and (v) property coverage for all real and personal property damage, excluding electric transmission and distribution lines, including damages arising from boiler and machinery breakdowns, earthquake, flood damage and extra expense, but not outage or replacement power coverage. All coverage is subject to certain deductibles or retentions, sublimits, terms and conditions common for companies with similar types of operations.

The Duke Energy Registrants self-insure their transmission and distribution lines against loss due to storm damage and other natural disasters. As discussed further in Note 4, Progress Energy Florida maintains a storm damage reserve and has a regulatory mechanism to recover the cost of named storms on an expedited basis.

The cost of the Duke Energy Registrants' coverage can fluctuate year to year reflecting any changing claims history and conditions of the insurance and reinsurance markets.

In the event of a loss, the terms and amount of insurance and reinsurance available might not be adequate to cover claims and other expenses incurred. Uninsured losses and other expenses, to the extent not recovered by other sources, could have a material effect on the Duke Energy Registrants' results of operations, cash flows or financial position. Each company is responsible to the extent losses may exceed limits of the coverage available.

Nuclear Insurance

Nuclear insurance includes nuclear liability coverage; property, decontamination and premature decommissioning coverage; and replacement power expense coverage.

Duke Energy Carolinas owns and operates the McGuire Nuclear Station (McGuire) and the Oconee Nuclear Station (Oconee) and operates and has a partial ownership interest in the Catawba Nuclear Station (Catawba). McGuire and Catawba each have two nuclear reactors and Oconee has three. The other joint owners of Catawba reimburse Duke Energy Carolinas for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance per the Catawba joint owner agreements.

Progress Energy Carolinas owns and operates the Robinson Nuclear Station (Robinson) and operates and has a partial ownership interest in the Brunswick Nuclear Station (Brunswick) and Harris. Robinson and Harris each have one nuclear reactor and Brunswick has two. The other joint owners of Brunswick and Harris reimburse Progress Energy Carolinas for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance per the Brunswick and Harris joint owner agreements.

Progress Energy Florida has a partial ownership interest in Crystal River Unit 3. The other joint owners of Crystal River Unit 3 reimburse Progress Energy Florida for certain expenses associated with nuclear insurance per the Crystal River Unit 3 joint owner participation agreement. Due to the planned retirement of Crystal River Unit 3, Progress Energy Florida and the other joint owners will evaluate appropriate nuclear insurance adjustments.

Nuclear Liability Coverage

The Price-Anderson Act requires owners of nuclear reactors to provide for public nuclear liability protection per nuclear incident up to a maximum total financial protection liability. The maximum total financial protection liability, which is currently $12.6 billion, is subject to an inflationary provision adjustment every five years. Total nuclear liability coverage consists of a combination of private primary nuclear liability insurance coverage and a mandatory industry risk-sharing program to provide for excess nuclear liability coverage above the maximum reasonably available private primary coverage. There is a possibility that Congress could impose revenue-raising measures on the nuclear industry to pay claims.

Primary Nuclear Liability Insurance. Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida have purchased the maximum reasonably available private primary nuclear liability insurance as required by law, which currently is $375 million per station.

Excess Nuclear Liability Program. This program provides $12.2 billion of coverage per incident through the Price-Anderson Act's mandatory industry-wide excess secondary financial protection program of risk pooling. The $12.2 billion is the sum of the current potential cumulative retrospective premium assessments of $117.5 million per licensed commercial nuclear reactor. There are currently 104 licensed commercial nuclear reactors in the industry. This would be increased by $117.5 million for each additional commercial nuclear reactor licensed, or reduced by $117.5 million for nuclear reactors no longer operational and which may be exempted from the risk pooling program. Under this program, licensees could be assessed retrospective premiums to compensate for public nuclear liability damages in the event of a nuclear incident at any licensed facility in the U.S. If such an incident should occur and public nuclear liability damages exceed primary nuclear liability insurance, licensees may be assessed up to $117.5 million for each of their licensed reactors, payable at a rate not to exceed $17.5 million a year per licensed reactor for each incident. The assessment and rate are subject to indexing for inflation and may be subject to state premium taxes. The Price-Anderson Act provides for an inflation adjustment at least every five years with the last adjustment effective October 2008.

Nuclear Property Coverage

Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida are members of NEIL, which provides property and accidental outage insurance coverage for nuclear facilities under three policy programs: the primary property insurance program, the excess property insurance program and the accidental outage insurance program.

Pursuant to regulations of the NRC, each company's property damage insurance policies provide that all proceeds from such insurance be applied, first, to place the plant in a safe and stable condition after a qualifying accident, and second, to decontaminate the plant before any proceeds can be used for decommissioning, plant repair or restoration.

Losses resulting from non-certified acts of terrorism are covered as common occurrences, such that if non-certified terrorist acts occur against one or more commercial nuclear power plants insured by NEIL within a 12 month period, they would be treated as one event and the owners of the plants where the act occurred would share one full limit of liability. The full limit of liability is currently $3.2 billion. Effective April 1, 2013, NEIL will sublimit the total aggregate for all of their policies for non-nuclear terrorist events to approximately $1.83 billion.

In the event of a loss, the terms and amount of insurance available might not be adequate to cover property damage and other expenses incurred. Uninsured losses and other expenses, to the extent not recovered by other sources, could have a material effect on Duke Energy Carolinas', Progress Energy Carolinas' and Progress Energy Florida's results of operations, cash flows or financial position. Each company is responsible to the extent losses may exceed limits of the coverage available.

Primary Property Insurance. This policy provides $500 million of primary property damage coverage, with a $2.5 million deductible per occurrence obligation, for Duke Energy Carolinas' nuclear facilities and with a $10 million deductible per occurrence obligation for each Progress Energy Carolinas' and Progress Energy Florida's nuclear facilities.

Excess Property Insurance. For Duke Energy Carolinas, this policy provides excess property, decontamination and decommissioning liability insurance of $2.25 billion for Catawba and $1 billion each for Oconee and McGuire. Oconee and McGuire also share an additional $1 billion insurance limit above their dedicated $1 billion underlying excess. This shared additional excess $1 billion limit is not subject to reinstatement in the event of a loss.

For Progress Energy Carolinas, this policy provides excess property, decontamination and decommissioning liability insurance with limits of $750 million on Brunswick, Harris and Robinson. For Progress Energy Florida, this policy provides excess property, decontamination and decommissioning liability insurance with limits of $750 million on Crystal River Unit 3. Progress Energy Carolinas' nuclear stations and Progress Energy Florida's nuclear station also share an additional $1 billion insurance limit above their dedicated $750 million underlying excess. This shared additional excess $1 billion limit is not subject to reinstatement in the event of a loss.

Effective April 1, 2013, NEIL will sublimit property damage losses to $1.5 billion for non-nuclear accidental property damage.

Accidental Outage Insurance. This policy provides replacement power expense coverage resulting from an accidental property damage outage of a nuclear unit.

Duke Energy Carolinas' McGuire and Catawba units are each insured for up to $3.5 million per week, and the Oconee units are insured for up to $2.8 million per week. Coverage amounts decrease in the event more than one unit at a station is out of service due to a common accident. Initial coverage begins after a 12-week deductible period for Catawba and a 26-week deductible period for McGuire and Oconee and continues at 100 percent of the weekly limits for 52 weeks and 80 percent of the weekly limits for the next 110 weeks. The per accidental outage McGuire and Catawba policy limit is $490 million and the Oconee policy limit is $392 million.

Progress Energy Carolinas' Brunswick, Harris and Robinson units are each insured for up to $3.5 million per week. Initial coverage begins after a 12-week deductible period and continues at 100 percent of the weekly limits for 52 weeks and at 80 percent of the weekly limits for the next 110 weeks. The per accidental outage policy limit is $490 million. Coverage amounts decrease in the event more than one unit at a station is out of service due to a common accident.

Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Unit 3 is insured for up to $4.5 million per week. Initial coverage begins after a 12-week deductible period and continues at 100 percent of the weekly limits for 52 weeks and at 80 percent of the weekly limits for the next 71 weeks. The per accidental outage policy limit is $490 million.

Effective April 1, 2013, NEIL will sublimit the accidental outage recovery to approximately $328 million for non-nuclear accidental property damage.

Potential Retroactive Premium Assessments. In the event of NEIL losses, NEIL's board of directors may assess member companies retroactive premiums of amounts up to 10 times their annual premiums. The current potential maximum assessments for Duke Energy Carolinas are primary property insurance for $45 million, excess property insurance for $42 million and accidental outage insurance for $22 million. The current potential maximum assessments for Progress Energy Carolinas are primary property insurance for $27 million, excess property insurance for $32 million and accidental outage insurance for $19 million. The current potential maximum assessments for Progress Energy Florida are primary property insurance for $11 million, excess property insurance for $10 million and accidental outage insurance for $6 million.

The maximum assessment amounts include 100 percent of Duke Energy Carolinas', Progress Energy Carolinas', and Progress Energy Florida's potential obligations to NEIL for their share of jointly owned reactors. However, the other joint owners of the jointly owned reactors are obligated to assume their pro rata share of liability for retrospective premiums and other premium assessments resulting from the Price-Anderson Act's excess secondary financial protection program of risk pooling, or from the NEIL policies.

Environmental

Duke Energy is subject to international, federal, state and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. The Subsidiary Registrants are subject to federal, state and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. These regulations can be changed from time to time, imposing new obligations on the Duke Energy Registrants.

The following environmental matters impact all of the Duke Energy Registrants.

Remediation Activities. The Duke Energy Registrants are responsible for environmental remediation at various contaminated sites. These include some properties that are part of ongoing operations and sites formerly owned or used by Duke Energy entities. In some cases, the Duke Energy Registrants no longer own the property. These sites are in various stages of investigation, remediation and monitoring. Managed in conjunction with relevant federal, state and local agencies, activities vary with site conditions and locations, remediation requirements, complexity and sharing of responsibility. If remediation activities involve joint and several liability provisions, strict liability, or cost recovery or contribution actions, the Duke Energy Registrants could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other parties. In some instances, the Duke Energy Registrants may share liability associated with contamination with other potentially responsible parties, and may also benefit from insurance policies or contractual indemnities that cover some or all cleanup costs. All of these sites generally are managed as part of business or affiliate operations. The Duke Energy Registrants continually assess the nature and extent of known or potential environmentally related contingencies and record liabilities when losses become probable and are reasonably estimable. The Duke Energy Registrants have accrued costs associated with remediation activities at some of their current and former sites for the stages of investigation, remediation and monitoring that can be reasonably estimated, as well as other relevant environmental contingent liabilities. At this time, the Duke Energy Registrants cannot estimate the total costs that may be incurred in connection with the remediation of all stages of all sites because the extent of environmental impact, allocation among potentially responsible parties, remediation alternatives, and/or regulatory decisions have not yet been determined. It is anticipated that additional costs, which could be material, associated with remediation activities at certain sites will be incurred in the future. Costs associated with remediation activities within the Duke Energy Registrants' operations are typically expensed as Operation, maintenance and other unless regulatory recovery of the costs is deemed probable.

The following table contains information regarding reserves for probable and estimable costs related to the Duke Energy Registrants' various environmental sites. These amounts are recorded in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Duke Energy Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ 65	$ 13	$ 42	$ 13	$ 29	$ 20	$ 15
Provisions / adjustments	37	-	21	3	18	39	(2)
Cash reductions	(14)	-	(28)	(4)	(24)	(9)	(2)
Balance at December 31, 2010	88	13	35	12	23	50	11
Provisions / adjustments	6	-	10	1	9	5	1
Cash reductions	(33)	(1)	(22)	(2)	(20)	(27)	(3)
Balance at December 31, 2011	61	12	23	11	12	28	9
Provisions / adjustments	39	1	19	5	14	5	3
Cash reductions	(25)	(1)	(9)	(2)	(7)	(18)	(4)
Balance at December 31, 2012	**$ 75**	**$ 12**	**$ 33**	**$ 14**	**$ 19**	**$ 15**	**$ 8**

The Duke Energy Registrants' accruals relate to certain former manufactured gas plants (MGP) and other sites that have required, or are anticipated to require, investigation and/or remediation. The Duke Energy Registrants could incur additional losses in excess of their recorded reserves for the stages of investigation, remediation and monitoring for their environmental sites that can be reasonably estimated at this time. The maximum amount of the range for all stages of the Duke Energy Registrants' environmental sites cannot be determined at this time. Actual experience may differ from current estimates, and it is probable that estimates will continue to change in the future.

In 2012, Progress Energy Carolinas received approval from the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources of the remedial action plan for its remaining MGP site. Progress Energy Carolinas has accrued the estimated cost for this remedial action plan.

At December 31, 2012, Progress Energy Florida's accrual primarily relates to an MGP site located in Orlando, Florida. In 2012, the potentially responsible parties received estimates for a range of viable remedial approaches for the first phase of the Orlando MGP site. Progress Energy Florida has accrued its best estimate of its obligation for the first phase of the Orlando MGP site based on current estimates for the remedial approach considered to have more merit and its current allocation share. The viable remedial approaches and related costs for the second phase at the Orlando MGP site have not been determined.

Duke Energy Ohio has received an order from the PUCO to defer the costs incurred for probable and estimable costs related to environmental sites. Recovery of those costs is being sought in Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas distribution rate case as discussed in Note 4.

The additional losses in excess of their recorded reserves that the Duke Energy Registrants' could incur for the stages of investigation, remediation and monitoring for their environmental sites that can be reasonably estimated at this time are presented in the table below.

(in millions)	
Duke Energy	$ 92
Duke Energy Carolinas	28
Progress Energy	7
Progress Energy Carolinas	3
Progress Energy Florida	4
Duke Energy Ohio	51
Duke Energy Indiana	5

Clean Water Act 316(b). The EPA published its proposed cooling water intake structures rule on April 20, 2011. The proposed rule advances one main approach and three alternatives. The main approach establishes aquatic protection requirements for existing facilities that withdraw 2 million gallons or more of water per day from rivers, streams, lakes, reservoirs, estuaries, oceans, or other U.S. waters for cooling purposes. Based on the main approach proposed, most, if not all of the coal, natural gas and nuclear-fueled steam electric generating facilities in which the Duke Energy Registrants are either a whole or partial owner are likely affected sources unless retired prior to implementation of the 316(b) requirements.

The EPA plans to finalize the 316(b) rule by June 2013. Compliance with portions of the rule could begin as early as 2016. Because of the wide range of potential outcomes, including the other three alternative proposals, the Duke Energy Registrants are unable to predict the outcome of the rulemaking or estimate their costs to comply at this time.

Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR). On August 8, 2011, the final Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) was published in the Federal Register. The CSAPR established state-level annual SO_2 budgets and annual seasonal NO_X budgets that were to take effect on January 1, 2012.

Numerous parties challenged the rule. On August 21, 2012, by a 2-1 decision, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia vacated the CSAPR. The court also directed the EPA to continue administering the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) that the Duke Energy Registrants have been complying with since 2009, pending completion of a remand rulemaking to replace CSAPR with a valid rule. The CAIR requires additional reductions in SO_2 and NO_X emissions beginning in 2015. The EPA petitioned for rehearing by the Court of Appeals, which was denied. The EPA might seek review by the U.S. Supreme Court. The CAIR will remain in force for an unknown period of time until the EPA develops a replacement rule.

The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of any further appeal or how a potential CSAPR replacement rule could affect future emission reduction requirements. The continued implementation of the CAIR pending the outcome of the rehearing process and a potential CSAPR replacement rulemaking will not result in the Duke Energy Registrants adding new emission controls.

Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR). On June 21, 2010, the EPA issued a proposal to regulate, under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, coal combustion residuals (CCR), a term the EPA uses to describe the coal combustion byproducts associated with the generation of electricity. The EPA proposal contains two regulatory options whereby CCRs not employed in approved beneficial use applications either would be regulated as hazardous waste or would continue to be regulated as non-hazardous waste. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of this rulemaking. The EPA has stated that it may be 2014 before it finalizes the regulation.

Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS). The final Mercury and Air Toxics Standards rule, previously referred to as the Utility MACT Rule, was published in the Federal Register on February 16, 2012. The final rule establishes emission limits for hazardous air pollutants from new and existing coal-fired and oil-fired steam electric generating units. The rule requires sources to comply with the emission limits by April 16, 2015. Under the CAA, permitting authorities have the discretion to grant up to a 1-year compliance extension, on a case-by-case basis, to sources that are unable to complete the installation of emission controls before the compliance deadline. The Duke Energy Registrants continue to develop and implement strategies for complying with the rule's requirements. Strategies to achieve compliance with the final MATS rules could include installing new or upgrading existing air emission control equipment, developing monitoring processes, fuel switching and accelerating retirement of some coal-fired electric-generating units. For additional information, refer to Note 4 regarding potential plant retirements.

Numerous petitions for review of the final MATS rule have been filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. The court established a schedule for the litigation that has final briefs being filed on April 8, 2013. Oral arguments have not been scheduled. The Duke Energy Registrants cannot predict the outcome of the litigation or how it might affect the MATS requirements as they apply to the Duke Energy Registrants. As disclosed in the following table, the cost to the Duke Energy Registrants to comply with the proposed MATS regulations will be material.

EPA Greenhouse Gas New Source Performance Standards (NSPS). On April 13, 2012, the EPA published in the Federal Register its proposed rule to establish carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions standards for pulverized coal, IGCC, and natural gas combined cycle electric generating units that are permitted and constructed in the future. The proposal would not apply to any of the Duke Energy Registrants' coal, including IGCC, and natural gas electric generation plants that are currently under construction or in operation. Any future pulverized coal and IGCC units will have to employ carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology to meet the CO_2 emission standard the EPA has proposed. The proposed standard will not require new natural gas combined cycle facilities to install CCS technology.

Management does not expect any material impact on the Duke Energy Registrants' future results of operations or cash flows based on the EPA's proposal. The final rule, however, could be significantly different from the proposal. It is not known when the EPA might finalize the rule.

Estimated Cost and Impacts of EPA Rulemakings. While the ultimate compliance requirements for the Duke Energy Registrants for MATS, Clean Water Act 316(b) and CCRs will not be known until all the rules have been finalized, for planning purposes, the Duke Energy Registrants currently estimate that the cost of new control equipment that may need to be installed on existing power plants to comply with EPA regulations could total $5 billion to $6 billion, excluding AFUDC, over the next 10 years. This range includes estimated costs for new control equipment necessary to comply with the MATS, which is the only rule that has been finalized, as shown in the table below:

(in millions)

Duke Energy	$ 650	to	$ 800
Duke Energy Carolinas	65	to	85
Progress Energy	7	to	30
Progress Energy Carolinas	5	to	10
Progress Energy Florida	2	to	20
Duke Energy Ohio	40	to	85
Duke Energy Indiana	540	to	600

The Duke Energy Registrants also expect to incur increased fuel, purchased power, operation and maintenance, and other expenses in conjunction with these EPA regulations, and also expect to incur costs for replacement generation for potential coal-fired power plant retirements. Until the final regulatory requirements of the group of EPA regulations are known and can be fully evaluated, the potential compliance costs associated with these EPA regulatory actions are subject to considerable uncertainty. Therefore, the actual compliance costs incurred may be materially different from these estimates based on the timing and requirements of the final EPA regulations. The Duke Energy Registrants intend to seek regulatory recovery of amounts incurred associated with regulated operations in complying with these regulations. Refer to Note 4 for further information regarding potential plant retirements and regulatory filings related to the Duke Energy Registrants.

Litigation

Duke Energy

Progress Energy Merger Shareholder Litigation. On July 20, 2012, Duke Energy was served with a shareholder Derivative Complaint filed in the Delaware Chancery Court (*Rupp v. Rogers, et al.).* The lawsuit names as defendants James E. Rogers and the ten other members of the Duke Energy board of directors who were also members of the pre-merger Duke Energy board of directors (Legacy Duke Directors). Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. *Raul v. Rogers,* also filed in Delaware Chancery Court was consolidated with the Rupp case on September 24, 2012. Two shareholders, each of whom previously made separate Section 220 demands to inspect various Duke Energy books and records, filed derivative cases against James E. Rogers and the Legacy Duke Directors. The *Gerber v Rogers, et al.* lawsuit was filed on December 5, 2012, and the *Reilly v. Rogers, et al.* lawsuit was filed on January 8, 2013. Each of the lawsuits alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duties of loyalty and care by the defendants in connection with the post-merger change in CEO, as discussed in Note 4.

On August 3, 2012, Duke Energy was served with a shareholder Derivative Complaint, which has been transferred to the North Carolina Business Court (*Krieger v. Johnson, et al.).* The lawsuit names as defendants, William D. Johnson, James E. Rogers and the Legacy Duke Directors. Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. The lawsuit alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duty in granting excessive compensation to Mr. Johnson. A hearing on the defendants' motion to dismiss was held on January 22, 2013. A decision on the motion made by the defendants remains pending.

Duke Energy has been served with two shareholder Derivative Complaints, filed in federal district court in Delaware. The plaintiffs in *Tansey v. Rogers, et al.,* served on August 17, 2012, and *Pinchuck v. Rogers, et al.*, served on October 31, 2012, allege claims for breach of fiduciary duty and waste of corporate assets, as well as claims under Section 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act against the Legacy Duke Directors. Duke Energy is named as a nominal defendant. On December 18, 2012, the defendants filed a motion to stay the case.

Duke Energy was also served in July 2012 with three purported securities class action lawsuits. These three cases (Craig v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al.; Nieman v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al.; and Sunner v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al.), have been consolidated in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. The plaintiff filed a Corrected Consolidated Complaint on January 28, 2013, alleging federal Securities Act and Exchange Act claims based on allegedly materially false and misleading representations and omissions made in the Registration Statement filed on July 7, 2011, and subsequently incorporated into other documents, all in connection with the post merger change in CEO. The Corrected Consolidated Complaint names as defendants the Legacy Duke Directors and certain officers of the company. The claims are purportedly brought on behalf of a class of all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Duke Energy securities between June 11, 2012 and July 9, 2012.

It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that Duke Energy might incur in connection with these lawsuits. Additional lawsuits may be filed.

Alaskan Global Warming Lawsuit. On February 26, 2008, plaintiffs, the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska, filed suit in the U.S. Federal Court for the Northern District of California against Peabody Coal and various oil and power company defendants, including Duke Energy and certain of its subsidiaries. Plaintiffs brought the action on their own behalf and on behalf of the village's 400 residents. The lawsuit alleges that defendants' emissions of CO_2 contributed to global warming and constitute a private and public nuisance. Plaintiffs also allege that certain defendants, including Duke Energy, conspired to mislead the public with respect to global warming. The plaintiffs in the case have requested damages in the range of $95 million to $400 million related to the cost of relocating the Village of Kivalina. On June 30, 2008, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss on jurisdictional grounds, together with a motion to dismiss the conspiracy claims. On October 15, 2009, the District Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss. The plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit held argument in the case on November 28, 2011. On September 21, 2012, the Court of Appeals ruled that the case could not proceed, affirming the District Court's motion to dismiss. The Plaintiffs have filed a motion for rehearing *en banc* by the Court of Appeals, which was denied on November 27, 2012. A Petition for Certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court, if filed, was due on February 25, 2013. Although Duke Energy believes the likelihood of loss is remote based on current case law, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter.

Price Reporting Cases. A total of five lawsuits were filed against Duke Energy affiliates and other energy companies and remain pending in a consolidated, single federal court proceeding in Nevada.

In November 2009, the judge granted defendants' motion for reconsideration of the denial of defendants' summary judgment motion in two of the remaining five cases to which Duke Energy affiliates are a party. A hearing on that motion occurred on July 15, 2011, and on July 19, 2011, the judge granted the motion for summary judgment. Plaintiffs have filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which held argument on October 19, 2012.

Each of these cases contains similar claims, that the respective plaintiffs, and the classes they claim to represent, were harmed by the defendants' alleged manipulation of the natural gas markets by various means, including providing false information to natural gas trade publications and entering into unlawful arrangements and agreements in violation of the antitrust laws of the respective states. Plaintiffs seek damages in unspecified amounts. It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that Duke Energy might incur in connection with the remaining matters. However, based on Duke Energy's past experiences with similar cases of this nature, it does not believe its exposure under these remaining matters is material.

Duke Energy International Paranapanema Lawsuit. On July 16, 2008, Duke Energy International Geracao Paranapanema S.A. (DEIGP) filed a lawsuit in the Brazilian federal court challenging transmission fee assessments imposed under two new resolutions promulgated by the Brazilian Electricity Regulatory Agency (ANEEL) (collectively, the Resolutions). The Resolutions purport to impose additional transmission fees (retroactive to July 1, 2004 and effective through June 30, 2009) on generation companies located in the State of São Paulo for utilization of the electric transmission system. The new charges are based upon a flat-fee that fails to take into account the locational usage by each generator. DEIGP's additional assessment under these Resolutions amounts to approximately $61 million, inclusive of interest, through December 2012. Based on DEIGP's continuing refusal to tender payment of the disputed sums, on April 1, 2009, ANEEL imposed an additional fine against DEIGP in the current amount of $9 million. DEIGP filed a request to enjoin payment of the fine and for an expedited decision on the merits or, alternatively, an order requiring that all disputed sums be deposited in the court's registry in lieu of direct payment to the distribution companies.

On June 30, 2009, the court issued a ruling in which it granted DEIGP's request for injunction regarding the additional fine, but denied DEIGP's request for an expedited decision on the original assessment or payment into the court registry. Under the court's order, DEIGP was required to make installment payments on the original assessment directly to the distribution companies pending resolution on the merits. DEIGP filed an appeal and on August 28, 2009, the order was modified to allow DEIGP to deposit the disputed portion of each installment, which was most of the assessed amount, into an escrow account pending resolution on the merits. Duke Energy has made deposits to escrow of $33 million associated with this matter.

Brazil Expansion Lawsuit. On August 9, 2011, the State of São Paulo filed a lawsuit in Brazilian state court against DEIGP based upon a claim that DEIGP is under a continuing obligation to expand installed generation capacity by 15 percent pursuant to a stock purchase agreement under which DEIGP purchased generation assets from the state. On August 10, 2011, a judge granted an ex parte injunction ordering DEIGP to present a detailed expansion plan in satisfaction of the 15 percent obligation. DEIGP has previously taken a position that the 15 percent expansion obligation is no longer viable given the changes that have occurred in the electric energy sector since privatization of that sector. After filing various objections, defenses and appeals regarding the referenced order, DEIGP submitted its proposed expansion plan on November 11, 2011, but reserved its objections regarding enforceability. The parties will in due course present evidence to the court regarding their respective positions. No trial date has been set.

Crescent Litigation. On September 3, 2010, the Crescent Resources Litigation Trust filed suit against Duke Energy along with various affiliates and several individuals, including current and former employees of Duke Energy, in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Texas. The Crescent Resources Litigation Trust was established in May 2010 pursuant to the plan of reorganization approved in the Crescent bankruptcy proceedings in the same court. The complaint alleges that in 2006 the defendants caused Crescent to borrow approximately $1.2 billion from a consortium of banks and immediately thereafter distribute most of the loan proceeds to Crescent's parent company without benefit to Crescent. The complaint further alleges that Crescent was rendered insolvent by the transactions, and that the distribution is subject to recovery by the Crescent bankruptcy estate as an alleged fraudulent transfer. The plaintiff requests return of the funds as well as other statutory and equitable relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees. Duke Energy and its affiliated defendants believe that the referenced 2006 transactions were legitimate and did not violate any state or federal law. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss in December 2010. On March 21, 2011, the plaintiff filed a response to the defendant's motion to dismiss and a motion for leave to file an amended complaint, which was granted. The Defendants filed a second motion to dismiss in response to plaintiffs' amended complaint.

The plaintiffs filed a demand for a jury trial, a motion to transfer the case to the federal district court, and a motion to consolidate the case with a separate action filed by the plaintiffs against Duke Energy's legal counsel. On March 22, 2012, the federal District Court issued an order denying the defendant's motion to dismiss and granting the plaintiffs' motions for transfer and consolidation. The court has not yet made a final ruling on whether the plaintiffs are entitled to a jury trial. Trial on this matter has been set to commence in January 2014. Mediation, held on August 21 and 22, 2012, was unsuccessful. It is not possible to predict whether Duke Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that Duke Energy might incur in connection with this lawsuit. The ultimate resolution of this matter could have a material effect on the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Duke Energy.

Federal Advanced Clean Coal Tax Credits. Duke Energy Carolinas has been awarded $125 million of federal advanced clean coal tax credits associated with its construction of Cliffside Unit 6 and Duke Energy Indiana has been awarded $134 million of federal advanced clean coal tax credits associated with its construction of the Edwardsport IGCC plant. In March 2008, two environmental groups, Appalachian Voices and the Canary Coalition, filed suit against the Federal government in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the tax credits awarded to incentivize certain clean coal projects. Although Duke Energy was not a party to the case, the allegations center on the tax incentives provided for the Cliffside and Edwardsport projects. The initial complaint alleged a failure to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act. The first amended complaint, filed in August 2008, added an Endangered Species Act claim and also sought declaratory and injunctive relief against the DOE and the U.S. Department of the Treasury. In 2008, the District Court dismissed the case. On September 23, 2009, the District Court issued an order granting plaintiffs' motion to amend their complaint and denying, as moot, the motion for reconsideration. Plaintiffs have filed their second amended complaint. The Federal government has moved to dismiss the second amended complaint; the motion is pending. On July 26, 2010, the District Court denied plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction seeking to halt the issuance of the tax credits.

Duke Energy Carolinas

New Source Review (NSR). In 1999-2000, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), acting on behalf of the EPA and joined by various citizen groups and states, filed a number of complaints and notices of violation against multiple utilities across the country for alleged violations of the NSR provisions of the CAA. Generally, the government alleges that projects performed at various coal-fired units were major modifications, as defined in the CAA, and that the utilities violated the CAA when they undertook those projects without obtaining permits and installing the best available emission controls for SO_2, NO_x and particulate matter. The complaints seek injunctive relief to require installation of pollution control technology on various generating units that allegedly violated the CAA, and unspecified civil penalties in amounts of up to $32,500 per day for each violation. A number of Duke Energy Carolinas' plants have been subject to these allegations. Duke Energy Carolinas asserts that there were no CAA violations because the applicable regulations do not require permitting in cases where the projects undertaken are "routine" or otherwise do not result in a net increase in emissions.

In 2000, the government brought a lawsuit against Duke Energy Carolinas in the U.S. District Court in Greensboro, North Carolina. The EPA claims that 29 projects performed at 25 of Duke Energy Carolinas' coal-fired units violate these NSR provisions. Three environmental groups have intervened in the case. In August 2003, the trial court issued a summary judgment opinion adopting Duke Energy Carolinas' legal positions on the standard to be used for measuring an increase in emissions, and granted judgment in favor of Duke Energy Carolinas. The trial court's decision was appealed and ultimately reversed and remanded for trial by the U.S. Supreme Court. At trial, Duke Energy Carolinas will continue to assert that the projects were routine or not projected to increase emissions. On February 11, 2011, the trial judge held an initial status conference and on March 22, 2011, the judge entered an interim scheduling order. The parties have filed a stipulation in which the United States and Plaintiff-Intervenors have dismissed with prejudice 16 claims. In exchange, Duke Energy Carolinas dismissed certain affirmative defenses. The parties have filed motions for summary judgment on the remaining claims. No trial date has been set, but a trial is not expected until the second half of 2013, at the earliest.

It is not possible to estimate the damages, if any, that might be incurred in connection with the unresolved matters related to Duke Energy Carolinas discussed above. Ultimate resolution of these matters could have a material effect on the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Duke Energy Carolinas. However, the appropriate regulatory treatment will be pursued for any costs incurred in connection with such resolution.

Asbestos-related Injuries and Damages Claims. Duke Energy Carolinas has experienced numerous claims for indemnification and medical cost reimbursement relating to damages for bodily injuries alleged to have arisen from the exposure to or use of asbestos in connection with construction and maintenance activities conducted on its electric generation plants prior to 1985. As of December 31, 2012, there were 111 asserted claims for non-malignant cases with the cumulative relief sought of up to $27 million, and 49 asserted claims for malignant cases with the cumulative relief sought of up to $17 million. Based on Duke Energy Carolinas' experience, it is expected that the ultimate resolution of most of these claims likely will be less than the amount claimed.

Amounts recognized as asbestos-related reserves related to Duke Energy Carolinas in the Consolidated Balance Sheets totaled $751 million and $801 million as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and are classified in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities and Other within Current Liabilities. These reserves are based upon the minimum amount in Duke Energy Carolinas' best estimate of the range of loss for current and future asbestos claims through 2030. Management believes that it is possible there will be additional claims filed against Duke Energy Carolinas after 2030. In light of the uncertainties inherent in a longer-term forecast, management does not believe that they can reasonably estimate the indemnity and medical costs that might be incurred after 2030 related to such potential claims. Asbestos-related loss estimates incorporate anticipated inflation, if applicable, and are recorded on an undiscounted basis. These reserves are based upon current estimates and are subject to greater uncertainty as the projection period lengthens. A significant upward or downward trend in the number of claims filed, the nature of the alleged injury, and the average cost of resolving each such claim could change our estimated liability, as could any substantial or favorable verdict at trial. A federal legislative solution, further state tort reform or structured settlement transactions could also change the estimated liability. Given the uncertainties associated with projecting matters into the future and numerous other factors outside our control, management believes that it is possible Duke Energy Carolinas may incur asbestos liabilities in excess of the recorded reserves.

Duke Energy Carolinas has a third-party insurance policy to cover certain losses related to asbestos-related injuries and damages above an aggregate self insured retention of $476 million. Duke Energy Carolinas' cumulative payments began to exceed the self insurance retention on its insurance policy in 2008. Future payments up to the policy limit will be reimbursed by Duke Energy Carolinas' third party insurance carrier. The insurance policy limit for potential future insurance recoveries for indemnification and medical cost claim payments is $935 million in excess of the self insured retention. Insurance recoveries of $781 million and $813 million related to this policy are classified in the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other within Investments and Other Assets and Receivables as of both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Duke Energy Carolinas is not aware of any uncertainties regarding the legal sufficiency of insurance claims. Management believes the insurance recovery asset is probable of recovery as the insurance carrier continues to have a strong financial strength rating.

Progress Energy

Synthetic Fuels Matters. In October 2009, a jury delivered a verdict in a lawsuit against Progress Energy and a number of its subsidiaries and affiliates arising out of an Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of October 19, 1999, and amended as of August 23, 2000 (the Asset Purchase Agreement) by and among U.S. Global, LLC (Global); Earthco synthetic fuels facilities (Earthco); certain affiliates of Earthco; EFC Synfuel LLC (which was owned indirectly by Progress Energy) and certain of its affiliates, including Solid Energy LLC; Solid Fuel LLC; Ceredo Synfuel LLC; Gulf Coast Synfuel LLC (renamed Sandy River Synfuel LLC) (collectively, the Progress Affiliates), as amended by an amendment to the Asset Purchase Agreement. In a case filed in the Circuit Court for Broward County, Florida. in March 2003 (the Florida Global Case), Global requested an unspecified amount of compensatory damages, as well as declaratory relief. Global asserted (i) that pursuant to the Asset Purchase Agreement, it was entitled to an interest in two synthetic fuels facilities previously owned by the Progress Affiliates and an option to purchase additional interests in the two synthetic fuels facilities and (ii) that it was entitled to damages because the Progress Affiliates prohibited it from procuring purchasers for the synthetic fuels facilities. As a result of the 2007 expiration of the Internal Revenue Code Section 29 tax credit program, all of Progress Energy's synthetic fuels businesses were abandoned and the synthetic fuels businesses were reclassified as discontinued operations.

The jury awarded Global $78 million. In November 2009, the court assessed $55 million in prejudgment interest and entered judgment in favor of Global in a total amount of $133 million. In December 2009, Progress Energy appealed the Broward County judgment to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeals. Also, in December 2009, Progress Energy made a $154 million payment, which represented payment of the total judgment and a required premium equivalent to two years of interest, to the Broward County Clerk of Court bond account. Progress Energy continued to accrue interest related to this judgment.

On October 3, 2012, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeals reversed the lower court ruling and directed a verdict on damages under the Commission and Services Agreement, which was modified by the court's December 12, 2012 ruling on Global's motion for reconsideration. The court held that Global was entitled to 59 percent of its claim, or approximately $90 million of the $154 million paid into the registry of the court. Progress Energy was entitled to a refund of the remainder of the funds. Progress Energy received and recorded a $63 million pretax gain for the refund in December 2012. The gain was recorded in Income from discontinued operations, net of tax in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The case was remanded to the trial court to determine whether specific performance is an appropriate remedy for the claims under the Asset Purchase Agreement. The plaintiff seeks specific performance of an award of the corporate interests in the Progress Affiliates it claims it was entitled to receive under the Asset Purchase Agreement as of the date the jury determined the breach of contract occurred (March 19, 2002). The Progress Affiliates contend that specific performance is an inapplicable remedy.

In a second suit filed in the Superior Court for Wake County, N.C., *Progress Synfuel Holdings, Inc. et al. v. U.S. Global, LLC* (the North Carolina Global Case), the Progress Affiliates seek declaratory relief consistent with our interpretation of the Asset Purchase Agreement. Global was served with the North Carolina Global Case on April 17, 2003. In May 2003, Global moved to dismiss the North Carolina Global Case for lack of personal jurisdiction over Global. In the alternative, Global requested that the court decline to exercise its discretion to hear the Progress Affiliates' declaratory judgment action. In August 2003, the Wake County Superior Court denied Global's motion to dismiss, but stayed the North Carolina Global Case, pending the outcome of the Florida Global Case. The Progress Affiliates appealed the superior court's order staying the case. By order dated September 7, 2004, the North Carolina Court of Appeals dismissed the Progress Affiliates' appeal. Based upon the verdict in the Florida Global Case, Progress Energy anticipates dismissal of the North Carolina Global Case.

Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida

Spent Nuclear Fuel Matters. Pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida entered into contracts with the DOE under which the DOE agreed to begin taking spent nuclear fuel by no later than January 31, 1998. All similarly situated utilities were required to sign the same Standard Contract for Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel.

The DOE failed to begin taking spent nuclear fuel by January 31, 1998. In January 2004, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida filed a complaint in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims against the United States, claiming that the DOE breached the standard contract and asserting damages incurred through 2005. In 2011, the U.S. Court of Federal Claims issued a ruling to award Progress Energy Carolinas substantially all their asserted damages. As a result, Progress Energy Carolinas recorded the award as an offset for past spent fuel storage costs incurred.

On December 12, 2011, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida filed another complaint in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims against the United States, claiming damages incurred from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010. The damages stem from the same breach of contract asserted in the previous litigation. On March 23, 2012, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida filed their initial disclosure of $113 million of damages with the U.S. Court of Federal Claims and the DOE. The total amount of damages could change during discovery, which is set to end on May 15, 2013. Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida may file subsequent damage claims as they incur additional costs. A status conference to discuss trial dates is scheduled for May 10, 2013. Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Duke Energy Ohio

Antitrust Lawsuit. In January 2008, four plaintiffs, including individual, industrial and nonprofit customers, filed a lawsuit against Duke Energy Ohio in federal court in the Southern District of Ohio. Plaintiffs alleged that Duke Energy Ohio (then The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company), conspired to provide inequitable and unfair price advantages for certain large business consumers by entering into non-public option agreements with such consumers in exchange for their withdrawal of challenges to Duke Energy Ohio's pending Rate Stabilization Plan (RSP), which was implemented in early 2005. On March 31, 2009, the District Court granted Duke Energy Ohio's motion to dismiss. Plaintiffs filed a motion to alter or set aside the judgment, which was denied by an order dated March 31, 2010. In April 2010, the plaintiffs filed their appeal of that order with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, which heard argument on that appeal on January 11, 2012. On June 4, 2012, the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the district court's decision and remanded the matter on all claims for trial on the merits and on July 25, 2012, the Court denied Duke Energy Ohio's petition for an *en banc* review of the case. On October 15, 2012, Duke Energy filed a petition for certiorari to the United States Supreme Court, which was denied on January 14, 2013. The plaintiffs' January 2013 mediation demand was for $160 million. It is not possible to predict at this time whether Duke Energy Ohio will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that may be incurred in connection with this lawsuit.

Asbestos-related Injuries and Damages Claims. Duke Energy Ohio has been named as a defendant or co-defendant in lawsuits related to asbestos at its electric generating stations. The impact on Duke Energy Ohio's consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of these cases to date has not been material. Based on estimates under varying assumptions concerning uncertainties, such as, among others: (i) the number of contractors potentially exposed to asbestos during construction or maintenance of Duke Energy Ohio generating plants; (ii) the possible incidence of various illnesses among exposed workers, and (iii) the potential settlement costs without federal or other legislation that addresses asbestos tort actions, Duke Energy Ohio estimates that the range of reasonably possible exposure in existing and future suits over the foreseeable future is not material. This estimated range of exposure may change as additional settlements occur and claims are made and more case law is established.

Other Litigation and Legal Proceedings

The Duke Energy Registrants are involved in other legal, tax and regulatory proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which involve substantial amounts. Management believes that the final disposition of these proceedings will not have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

The Duke Energy Registrants expense legal costs related to the defense of loss contingencies as incurred.

The Duke Energy Registrants have exposure to certain legal matters that are described herein. The Duke Energy Registrants have recorded reserves for these proceedings and exposures as presented in the table below. These reserves represent management's best estimate of probable loss as defined in the accounting guidance for contingencies. The estimated reasonably possible range of loss for non-asbestos related matters in excess of the recorded reserves is not material. Duke Energy Carolinas has insurance coverage for certain of these losses incurred as presented in the table below.

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31, 2012	2011
Reserves for Legal and Other Matters[a]		
Duke Energy[b]	$ 846	$ 810
Duke Energy Carolinas[b]	751	801
Progress Energy	79	83
Progress Energy Carolinas	12	11
Progress Energy Florida[c]	47	51
Duke Energy Indiana	8	4
Probable Insurance Recoveries[d]		
Duke Energy[e]	$ 781	$ 813
Duke Energy Carolinas[e]	781	813

(a) Reserves are classified in the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities and Other within Current Liabilities.
(b) Includes reserves for aforementioned asbestos-related injuries and damages claims.
(c) Includes workers' compensation claims.
(d) Insurance recoveries are classified in the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other within Investments and Other Assets and Receivables.
(e) Relates to recoveries associated with aforementioned asbestos-related injuries and damages claims.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

General

As part of its normal business, the Duke Energy Registrants are a party to various financial guarantees, performance guarantees and other contractual commitments to extend guarantees of credit and other assistance to various subsidiaries, investees and other third parties. To varying degrees, these guarantees involve elements of performance and credit risk, which are not included on the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets. The possibility of any of the Duke Energy Registrants having to honor their contingencies is largely dependent upon future operations of various subsidiaries, investees and other third parties, or the occurrence of certain future events.

In addition, the Duke Energy Registrants enter into various fixed-price, non-cancelable commitments to purchase or sell power (tolling arrangements or power purchase contracts), take-or-pay arrangements, transportation or throughput agreements and other contracts that may or may not be recognized on their respective Consolidated Balance Sheets. Some of these arrangements may be recognized at fair value on the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets if such contracts meet the definition of a derivative and the NPNS exception does not apply. In most cases, the Duke Energy Registrants purchase obligation contracts contain provisions for price adjustments, minimum purchase levels and other financial commitments. The commitment amounts presented below are estimates and therefore will likely differ from actual purchase amounts.

Purchase Obligations

The following table presents long-term commitments that are noncancelable or are cancelable only under certain conditions, have a term of more than one year, and that third parties have used to secure financing for the facilities that will provide the contracted goods or services as of December 31, 2012.

(in millions)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
Duke Energy[a]	$ 68	$ 19	$ 5	$ 3	$ 2	$ 18	$ 115
Progress Energy[a]	68	19	5	3	2	18	115
Progress Energy Florida[a]	68	19	5	3	2	18	115

(a) Represents estimated amounts for Progress Energy Florida's obligations primarily related to selected components of long lead time equipment at Levy as discussed under "Other Purchase Obligations."

Purchases under the above long-term purchase agreements were $29 million, $6 million and $23 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Purchased Power

The Duke Energy Registrants have ongoing purchased power contracts, including renewable energy contracts, with other utilities, certain co-generators and qualified facilities (QFs), with expiration dates ranging from 2013 to 2032. These purchased power contracts generally provide for capacity and energy payments or bundled capacity and energy payments. In addition, the Duke Energy Registrants have various contracts to secure transmission rights. Certain purchased power agreements are classified as leases.

Progress Energy Carolinas has executed certain firm contracts for purchased power with other utilities, including tolling contracts, with expiration dates ranging from 2017 to 2032 and representing 100 percent of plant net output. Minimum purchases under these contracts, including those classified as leases, are approximately $88 million, $90 million, $91 million, $92 million and $80 million for 2013 through 2017, respectively, and $578 million payable thereafter.

Progress Energy Florida has executed certain firm contracts for purchased power with other utilities, including tolling contracts, with expiration dates ranging from 2017 to 2027 and representing between 2 percent and 100 percent of plant net output. Minimum purchases under these contracts, including those classified as leases, are approximately $102 million, $102 million, $102 million, $71 million and $49 million for 2013 through 2017, respectively, and $381 million payable thereafter.

Progress Energy Florida has ongoing purchased power contracts with certain QFs for firm capacity with expiration dates ranging from 2013 to 2025. Energy payments are based on the actual power taken under these contracts. Capacity payments are subject to the QFs meeting certain contract performance obligations. These contracts account for 100 percent of the net generating capacity of each of the facilities. All ongoing commitments have been approved by the FPSC. Minimum expected future capacity payments under these contracts are $309 million, $237 million, $244 million, $273 million and $288 million for 2013 through 2017, respectively, and $2,440 million payable thereafter. The FPSC allows the capacity payments to be recovered through a capacity cost-recovery clause, which is similar to, and works in conjunction with, energy payments recovered through the fuel cost-recovery clause.

Duke Energy Ohio has executed certain firm contracts for purchased power with other utilities with expiration dates ranging from 2013 to 2015 and representing between 1 percent and 24 percent of plant net output. Minimum purchases under these contracts are approximately $316 million, $252 million and $80 million for 2013 through 2015, respectively.

Other Purchase Obligations

The long-term commitments related to Levy presented in the previous table for Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Progress Energy Florida include only selected components of long lead time equipment. As discussed in Note 4, Progress Energy Florida identified a schedule shift in the Levy project, and major construction activities on Levy have been postponed until after the NRC issues the COL for the plants. Due to the schedule shifts, Progress Energy Florida has executed amendments to the Levy engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) agreement. The EPC agreement includes provisions for termination. For termination without cause, the EPC agreement contains exit provisions with termination fees, which may be significant, that vary based on the termination circumstances. Because Progress Energy Florida has executed amendments to the EPC agreement and anticipates negotiating additional amendments upon receipt of the COL, Progress Energy Florida cannot currently predict when those obligations will be satisfied or the magnitude of any change. Progress Energy Florida cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Operating and Capital Lease Commitments

The Duke Energy Registrants lease assets in several areas of their operations. The Duke Energy Registrants lease office buildings, railcars, vehicles, computer equipment and other property and equipment with various terms and expiration dates. Additionally, Progress Energy Carolinas has a capital lease related to firm gas pipeline transportation capacity and as discussed under "Purchased Power," Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida have entered into certain purchased power agreements, which are classified as leases. Consolidated capitalized lease obligations are classified as Long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amortization of assets recorded under capital leases is included in Depreciation and amortization on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table presents rental expense for operating leases. These amounts are included in Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Duke Energy	**$ 232**	$ 104	$ 122
Duke Energy Carolinas	**38**	43	60
Progress Energy	**232**	104	100
Progress Energy Carolinas	**164**	88	63
Progress Energy Florida	**68**	15	37
Duke Energy Ohio	**14**	19	19
Duke Energy Indiana	**20**	24	24

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under operating leases, which at inception had a non-cancelable term of more than one year, as of December 31, 2012.

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
2013	$ 171	$ 35	$ 91	$ 47	$ 38	$ 11	$ 19
2014	156	28	88	46	37	10	15
2015	139	21	86	46	37	8	12
2016	127	16	85	46	36	7	9
2017	108	14	71	35	36	6	6
Thereafter	981	77	721	431	290	24	7
Total	$ 1,682	$ 191	$ 1,142	$ 651	$ 474	$ 66	$ 68

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under capital leases as of December 31, 2012.

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
2013	$ 210	$ 7	$ 47	$ 21	$ 26	$ 10	$ 5
2014	180	7	46	20	26	9	5
2015	181	7	46	20	26	7	4
2016	183	8	45	19	26	6	4
2017	180	8	45	20	25	3	1
Thereafter	1,779	65	579	325	254	5	35
Minimum annual payments	2,713	102	808	425	383	40	54
Less amount representing interest	(1,024)	(70)	(469)	(275)	(194)	(5)	(31)
Total	$ 1,689	$ 32	$ 339	$ 150	$ 189	$ 35	$ 23

6. DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES

Summary of Debt and Related Terms

The following tables summarize the Duke Energy Registrants' outstanding debt.

Summary of Debt and Related Terms

		December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Unsecured debt, maturing 2013 - 2039	5.44 %	$ 12,722	$ 1,159	$ 4,150	$ -	$ 150	$ 805	$ 1,146
Secured debt, maturing 2013 - 2037	3.08 %	1,873	300	5	5	-	-	-
First mortgage bonds, maturing 2013 - 2042[a]	5.00 %	17,856	6,562	8,775	4,025	4,750	700	1,819
Capital leases, maturing 2013 - 2051[b]	5.19 %	1,689	32	339	150	189	35	23
Junior subordinated debt, maturing 2039	7.10 %	309	-	309	-	-	-	-
Other debt, maturing 2027	4.77 %	8	-	-	-	-	8	-
Tax-exempt bonds, maturing 2014 - 2041[c]	1.39 %	2,357	395	910	669	241	479	573
Non-recourse notes payable of VIEs		312	-	-	-	-	-	-
Notes payable and commercial paper[d]	0.83 %	1,195	-	-	-	-	-	-
Money pool borrowings		-	300	455	364	-	245	231
Fair value hedge carrying value adjustment		12	10	-	-	-	2	-
Unamortized debt discount and premium, net[e]		2,185	(17)	(60)	(9)	(10)	(32)	(9)
Total debt[f]		40,518	8,741	14,883	5,204	5,320	2,242	3,783
Short-term notes payable and commercial paper		(745)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Short-term money pool borrowings		-	-	(455)	(364)	-	(245)	(81)
Current maturities of long-term debt		(3,110)	(406)	(843)	(407)	(435)	(261)	(405)
Short-term non-recourse notes payable of VIEs		(312)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total long-term debt, including long-term debt of VIEs		$ 36,351	$ 8,335	$ 13,585	$ 4,433	$ 4,885	$ 1,736	$ 3,297

(a) Substantially all of the Duke Energy Registrants' electric and gas plant in service is mortgaged under mortgage bond indentures.

(b) At December 31, 2012, capital leases of Duke Energy included $158 million and $907 million of capital lease purchase accounting adjustments for Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida, respectively, related to power purchase agreements that are not accounted for as leases on their financial statements because of grandfathering provisions in GAAP.

(c) $1.558 billion, $360 million, $910 million, $669 million, $241 million and $288 million were secured by first mortgage bonds at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, and $231 million, $27 million and $204 million were secured by a letter of credit at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively.

(d) Includes $450 million that was classified as Long-term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets due to the existence of long-term credit facilities that back-stop these commercial paper balances, along with Duke Energy's ability and intent to refinance these balances on a long-term basis. The weighted-average days to maturity was 18 days.

(e) At December 31, 2012, $2.311 billion in purchase accounting adjustments related to the merger with Progress Energy were reflected in the balance for Duke Energy. See Note 2 for additional information.

(f) Includes $451 million of debt for Duke Energy that was denominated in Brazilian Reals and $61 million denominated in Chilean Pesos.

	December 31, 2011							
(in millions)	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Unsecured debt, maturing 2012 - 2039	5.93 %	$ 8,961	$ 2,313	$ 4,650	$ 500	$ 150	$ 1,305	$ 1,148
Secured debt, maturing 2012 - 2035	3.70 %	1,118	300	-	-	-	-	-
First mortgage bonds, maturing 2013 - 2041[a]	5.24 %	8,182	5,913	7,125	3,025	4,100	700	1,569
Capital leases, maturing 2012 - 2047	8.10 %	306	34	211	12	199	44	27
Junior subordinated debt		-	-	309	-	-	-	-
Other debt, maturing 2014 - 2027	5.25 %	82	-	5	5	-	8	-
Tax exempt bonds, maturing 2012 - 2041[b]	1.40 %	1,515	415	910	669	241	525	574
Non-recourse notes payable of VIEs		273	-	-	-	-	-	-
Notes payable and commercial paper[c]	0.61 %	604	-	671	188	233	-	-
Money pool borrowings		-	300	-	31	8	-	450
Fair value hedge carrying value adjustment		19	13	-	-	-	7	-
Unamortized debt discount and premium, net		(60)	(14)	(58)	(5)	(9)	(34)	(9)
Total debt[d]		21,000	9,274	13,823	4,425	4,922	2,555	3,759
Short-term notes payable and commercial paper		(154)	-	(671)	(188)	(233)	-	-
Short-term money pool borrowings		-	-	-	(31)	(8)	-	(300)
Current maturities of long-term debt		(1,894)	(1,178)	(961)	(502)	(10)	(507)	(6)
Short-term non-recourse notes payable of VIEs		(273)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total long-term debt, including long-term debt of VIEs		$ 18,679	$ 8,096	$ 12,191	$ 3,704	$ 4,671	$ 2,048	$ 3,453

(a) Substantially all of the Duke Energy Registrants' electric and gas plant in service is mortgaged under the mortgage bond indentures.

(b) $650 million, $360 million, $910 million, $669 million, $241 million and $289 million were secured by first mortgage bonds at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, and $231 million, $27 million and $204 million were secured by a letter of credit at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively.

(c) Includes $450 million that was classified as Long-term Debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets due to the existence of long-term credit facilities that back-stop these commercial paper balances, along with Duke Energy's ability and intent to refinance these balances on a long-term basis. The weighted-average days to maturity was 17 days.

(d) Includes $420 million of debt for Duke Energy that was denominated in Brazilian Reals.

Summary of Significant Debt Issuances

The following tables summarize the Duke Energy Registrants' significant debt issuances (in millions).

			For the year ended December 31, 2012					
Issuance Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Duke Energy (Parent)	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy (Parent)	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Indiana
Unsecured Debt:								
March 2012	April 2022	3.15 %	$ -	$ -	450 (a)	$ -	$ -	$ -
August 2012	August 2017	1.63 %	700 (b)	-	-	-	-	-
August 2012	August 2022	3.05 %	500 (b)	-	-	-	-	-
Secured Debt:								
April 2012	September 2024	2.64 %	330 (c)	-	-	-	-	-
December 2012	March 2013	2.77 %	203 (d)	-	-	-	-	-
December 2012	March 2013	4.74 %	220 (d)	-	-	-	-	-
December 2012	June 2013	1.01 %	190 (e)	-	-	-	-	-
December 2012	December 2025	1.56 %	200 (e)	-	-	-	-	-
First Mortgage Bonds:								-
March 2012	March 2042	4.20 %	-	-	-	-	-	250 (f)
May 2012	May 2022	2.80 %	-	-	-	500 (g)	-	-
May 2012	May 2042	4.10 %	-	-	-	500 (g)	-	-
September 2012	September 2042	4.00 %	-	650 (h)	-	-	-	-
November 2012	November 2015	0.65 %	-	-	-	-	250 (i)	-
November 2012	November 2042	3.85 %	-	-	-	-	400 (i)	-
Total Issuances			$ 2,343	$ 650	450	$ 1,000	$ 650	$ 250

(a) The net proceeds, along with available cash on hand, were used to repay $450 million 6.85% senior unsecured notes due April 15, 2012.

(b) Proceeds from the issuances were used to repay at maturity $500 million of debentures due September 15, 2012, as well as for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of commercial paper.

(c) Proceeds from the issuance were used to reimburse construction costs for DS Cornerstone, LLC joint venture wind projects. Note was subsequently deconsolidated upon execution of joint venture. See Note 18 for further details.

(d) Proceeds from the issuances were used to fund the existing Los Vientos wind power portfolio.

(e) Debt issuances were executed in connection with the acquisition of Ibener. Both loans are collateralized with cash deposits equal to 101% of the loan amounts. See Note 2 for further details.

(f) Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay a portion of outstanding short-term debt.

(g) Proceeds from the issuances were used to repay at maturity $500 million of 6.50% senior unsecured notes due July 15, 2012 and a portion of Progress Energy Carolinas outstanding commercial paper and notes payable to affiliated companies.

(h) Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay at maturity the $420 million debentures due through November 2012, as well as for general corporate purposes, including the funding of capital expenditures.

(i) Proceeds from the issuances will be used to repay $425 million 4.80% first mortgage bonds due March 1, 2013, as well as for general corporate purposes.

			For the year ended December 31, 2011				
Issuance Date	**Maturity Date**	**Interest Rate**	**Duke Energy (Parent)**	**Duke Energy Carolinas**	**Progress Energy (Parent)**	**Progress Energy Carolinas**	**Progress Energy Florida**
Unsecured Debt:							
January 2011	January 2021	4.40 %	$ -	$ -	$ 500 (a)	$ -	$ -
August 2011	September 2021	3.55 %	500 (b)	-	-	-	-
November 2011	November 2016	2.15 %	500 (c)	-	-	-	-
First Mortgage Bonds:							
May 2011	June 2021	3.90 %	-	500 (d)	-	-	-
August 2011	September 2021	3.10 %	-	-	-	-	300 (e)
September 2011	August 2021	3.00 %	-	-	-	500 (f)	-
December 2011	December 2016	1.75 %	-	350 (g)	-	-	-
December 2011	December 2041	4.25 %	-	650 (g)	-	-	-
Total Issuances			$ 1,000	$ 1,500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 300

(a) Proceeds from the issuance, along with available cash on hand, were used to repay $700 million 7.10% senior unsecured notes due March 1, 2011.

(b) Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay a portion of commercial paper as it matured, to fund capital expenditures in Duke Energy's unregulated businesses in the U.S. and for general corporate purposes.

(c) Proceeds from the issuance were used to fund capital expenditures in unregulated businesses in the U.S. and for general corporate purposes.

(d) Proceeds from the issuance were used to fund capital expenditures and for general corporate purposes.

(e) Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay a portion of outstanding short-term debt, of which $300 million was used to repay the July 15, 2011 maturity of 6.65% first mortgage bonds.

(f) Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay outstanding short-term debt and the remainder was used for general corporate purposes, including construction expenditures.

(g) Proceeds from the issuances were used to repay $750 million 6.25% senior unsecured notes which matured January 15, 2012, with the remainder to fund capital expenditures and for general corporate purposes.

Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt

The following table shows the significant components of Current maturities of long-term debt on the Duke Energy Registrants' respective Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012. The amounts were presented as Long-term Debt as of December 31, 2011, except for the secured debt. The Duke Energy Registrants currently anticipate satisfying these obligations with proceeds from additional borrowings, unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	**Maturity Date**	**Interest Rate**	**December 31, 2012**
Unsecured Debt:			
Duke Energy (Parent)	June 2013	5.650 %	**$ 250**
Duke Energy Indiana	September 2013	5.000 %	**400**
Secured Debt:			
Duke Energy(a)	March 2013	3.796 %	**423**
Duke Energy(b)	June 2013	1.009 %	**190**
First Mortgage Bonds:			
Duke Energy Carolinas	November 2013	5.750 %	**400**
Progress Energy Carolinas	September 2013	5.125 %	**400**
Progress Energy Florida	March 2013	4.800 %	**425**
Duke Energy Ohio	June 2013	2.100 %	**250**
Other			**372**
Current maturities of long-term debt			**$ 3,110**

(a) Represents a construction loan related to a renewable project that will be converted to a term loan once construction in complete and requirements to convert are fulfilled.
(b) Notes are fully offset with cash collateral, which is recorded in Other current assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012.

Other Debt Matters

In the first quarter of 2012, Duke Energy completed the previously announced sale of International Energy's indirect 25% ownership interest in Attiki Gas Supply, S.A (Attiki), a Greek corporation, to an existing equity owner in a series of transactions that resulted in the full discharge of the related debt obligation. No gain or loss was recognized on these transactions. As of December 31, 2011, Duke Energy's investment balance was $64 million and the related debt obligation of $64 million was reflected in Current maturities of long-term debt on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In September 2010, Duke Energy filed a registration statement (Form S-3) with the SEC. Under this Form S-3, which is uncapped, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana may issue debt and other securities in the future at amounts, prices and with terms to be determined at the time of future offerings. The registration statement also allows for the issuance of common stock by Duke Energy.

On March 1, 2012, the Progress Energy, Inc., as a well-known seasoned issuer, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida filed a combined shelf registration statement with the SEC, which became effective upon filing with the SEC. The registration statement is effective for three years and does not limit the amount or number of various securities that can be issued. On July 3, 2012, the Progress Energy, Inc. deregistered its equity securities from the registration statement in connection with the merger, but retained its ability to issue senior debt securities and junior subordinated debentures under the registration statement. However, we do not expect the Progress Energy, Inc. to issue any new securities of these types in the future. Under Progress Energy Carolinas' and Progress Energy Florida's registration statements, they may issue various long-term debt securities and preferred stock.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, $734 million and $2.0 billion, respectively, of debt issued by Duke Energy Carolinas was guaranteed by Duke Energy.

On November 13, 2012, Duke Energy filed a prospectus supplement to the September 2010 Form S-3 with the SEC, to sell up to $1 billion of fixed or variable rate unsecured senior notes, called InterNotes, due one year to 30 years from the date of issuance. The InterNotes will be issued as direct, unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of Duke Energy Corporation. The net proceeds from the sale of InterNotes will be used to fund capital expenditures in our unregulated businesses and for general corporate purposes. The balance as of December 31, 2012 is $36 million, with maturities ranging from 10 to 14 years. The notes are long-term debt obligations of Duke Energy and are reflected as Long-term debt on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On April 4, 2011, Duke Energy filed a Form S-3 with the SEC to sell up to $1 billion of variable denomination floating rate demand notes, called PremierNotes. The Form S-3 states that no more than $500 million of the notes will be outstanding at any particular time. The notes are offered on a continuous basis and bear interest at a floating rate per annum determined by the Duke Energy PremierNotes Committee, or its designee, on a weekly basis. The interest rate payable on notes held by an investor may vary based on the principal amount of the investment. The notes have no stated maturity date, but may be redeemed in whole or in part by Duke Energy at any time. The notes are non-transferable and may be redeemed in whole or in part at the investor's option. Proceeds from the sale of the notes will be used for general corporate purposes. The balance as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, was $395 million and $79 million, respectively. The notes are a short-term debt obligation of Duke Energy and are reflected as Notes payable and commercial paper on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In January 2013, Duke Energy issued $500 million of unsecured junior subordinated debentures, which carry a fixed interest rate of 5.125%, are callable at par after five years and mature January 15, 2073. Proceeds from the issuance were used to redeem at par $300 million of 7.10% junior subordinated debt in February 2013, with the remainder to repay a portion of commercial paper at it matures, to fund capital expenditures of our unregulated businesses and for general corporate purposes.

Money Pool

The Subsidiary Registrants receive support for their short-term borrowing needs through participation with Duke Energy and certain of its subsidiaries in a money pool arrangement. Under this arrangement, those companies with short-term funds may provide short-term loans to affiliates participating under this arrangement. The money pool is structured such that the Subsidiary Registrants separately manage their cash needs and working capital requirements. Accordingly, there is no net settlement of receivables and payables between the money pool participants. Per the terms of the money pool arrangement the parent company, Duke Energy, may loan funds to its participating subsidiaries, but may not borrow funds through the money pool. Accordingly, as the money pool activity is between Duke Energy and its wholly owned subsidiaries, all money pool balances are eliminated within Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Prior to the merger with Duke Energy, Progress Energy's subsidiaries participated in internal money pools, administered by Progress Energy Service Company, LLC, to more effectively utilize cash resources and reduce external short-term borrowings. The utility money pool allowed Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida to lend to and borrow from each other. The non-utility money pool allowed unregulated operations to lend to and borrow from each other. The Progress Energy parent could lend money to the utility and non-utility money pools but could not borrow funds.

Money pool receivable balances are reflected within Notes receivable from affiliated companies on the respective Subsidiary Registrants' Consolidated Balance Sheets and money pool payable balances are reflected within either Notes payable to affiliated companies or Long-term debt

payable to affiliated companies on the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Increases or decreases in money pool receivables are reflected within investing activities on the respective Subsidiary Registrants' Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, while increases or decreases in money pool borrowings are reflected within financing activities on the respective Subsidiary Registrants Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Maturities and Call Options

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy[a]	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
2013	$ 3,098	$ 406	$ 843	$ 407	$ 435	$ 261	$ 405
2014	2,196	346	312	2	11	47	5
2015	2,478	506	1,262	701	561	7	5
2016	2,184	655	313	2	11	56	480
2017	1,321	116	311	51	261	2	3
Thereafter	25,873	6,712	11,387	3,677	4,041	1,624	2,804
Total long-term debt, including current maturities	$ 37,150	$ 8,741	$ 14,428	$ 4,840	$ 5,320	$ 1,997	$ 3,702

(a) At December 31, 2012, capital leases of Duke Energy included $158 million and $907 million of capital lease purchase accounting adjustments for Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida, respectively, related to power purchase agreements that are not accounted for as leases on their financial statements because of grandfathering provisions in GAAP.

The Duke Energy Registrants have the ability under certain debt facilities to call and repay the obligation prior to its scheduled maturity. Therefore, the actual timing of future cash repayments could be materially different than as presented above.

Available Credit Facilities

In November 2011, Duke Energy entered into a $6 billion, 5-year master credit facility, expiring in November 2016, with $4 billion available at closing and the remaining $2 billion became available July 2, 2012, following the closing of the merger with Progress Energy. In October 2012, the Duke Energy Registrants reached an agreement with banks representing $5.63 billion of commitments under the master credit facility to extend the expiration date by one year to November 2017. Through November 2016, the available credit under this facility remains at $6 billion. The Duke Energy Registrants each have borrowing capacity under the master credit facility up to specified sub limits for each borrower. However, Duke Energy has the unilateral ability at any time to increase or decrease the borrowing sub limits of each borrower, subject to a maximum sublimit for each borrower. See the table below for the borrowing sub limits for each of the borrowers as of December 31, 2012. The amount available under the master credit facility has been reduced, as indicated in the table below, by the use of the master credit facility to backstop the issuances of commercial paper, certain letters of credit and variable rate demand tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Company at the option of the holder. As indicated, borrowing sub limits for the Subsidiary Registrants are also reduced for certain amounts outstanding under the money pool arrangement.

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy (Parent)	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana	Total Duke Energy
Facility size	$ 1,750	$ 1,250	$ 750	$ 750	$ 750	$ 750	$ 6,000
Reduction to backstop issuances							
Notes payable and commercial paper	(195)	(300)	-	-	(104)	(201)	(800)
Outstanding letters of credit	(50)	(7)	(2)	(1)	-	-	(60)
Tax-exempt bonds	-	(75)	-	-	(84)	(81)	(240)
Available capacity	$ 1,505	$ 868	$ 748	$ 749	$ 562	$ 468	$ 4,900

Short-term Obligations Classified as Long-term Debt

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, variable rate demand tax-exempt bonds that may be put to the Company at the option of the holder, commercial paper issuances and money pool borrowings were classified as Long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These variable rate tax-exempt bonds, commercial paper issuances and money pool borrowings, which are short-term obligations by nature, are classified as long term due to Duke Energy's intent and ability to utilize such borrowings as long-term financing. As Duke Energy's master credit facility has non-cancelable terms in excess of one year as of the balance sheet date, Duke Energy has the ability to refinance these short-term obligations on a long-term basis.

The following tables show short-term obligations classified as long-term debt.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Tax-exempt bonds[a][b][c][d]	$ 471	$ 75	$ 111	$ 285
Notes payable and commercial paper[e]	450	300	-	150
Revolving loan[f]	200	-	-	-
DERF[g]	300	300	-	-
Total	$ 1,421	$ 675	$ 111	$ 435

(a) Of the $471 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2012 at Duke Energy, the master credit facility served as a backstop for $240 million of these tax-exempt bonds, with the remaining balance backstopped by other specific long-term credit facilities separate from the master credit facility.

(b) For Duke Energy Carolinas, the master credit facility served as a backstop for the $75 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2012.

(c) Of the $111 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2012 at Duke Energy Ohio, the master credit facility served as a backstop for $84 million of these tax-exempt bonds, with the remaining balance backstopped by other specific long-term credit facilities separate from the master credit facility.

(d) Of the $285 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2012 at Duke Energy Indiana, $81 million were backstopped by Duke Energy's master credit facility, with the remaining balance backstopped by other specific long-term credit facilities separate from the master credit facility.

(e) Duke Energy has issued $450 million in Commercial Paper, which is backstopped by the master credit facility, and the proceeds are in the form of loans through the money pool to Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Indiana as of December 31, 2012.

(f) Duke Energy International Energy's revolving loan is due in December 2013 with the right to extend the maturity date for additional one year periods with a final maturity date no later than December 2026.

(g) Duke Energy Receivables Finance Company, LLC (DERF) is a wholly owned limited liability company of Duke Energy Carolinas. See Note 18 for further information.

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Tax exempt bonds[a][b][c][d]	$ 491	$ 95	$ 111	$ 285
Notes payable and commercial paper[e]	450	300	-	150
DERF	300	300	-	-
Total	$ 1,241	$ 695	$ 111	$ 435

(a) Of the $491 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2011 at Duke Energy, the master credit facility served as a backstop for $287 million of these tax-exempt bonds (of which $27 million is in the form of letters of credit), with the remaining balance backstopped by other specific long-term credit facilities separate from the master credit facility.

(b) For Duke Energy Carolinas, the master credit facility served as a backstop for the $95 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2011.

(c) For Duke Energy Ohio, this master credit facility (of which $27 million is in the form of letters of credit) served as a backstop for the $111 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2011.

(d) Of the $285 million of tax-exempt bonds outstanding at December 31, 2011 at Duke Energy Indiana, $81 million were backstopped by Duke Energy's master credit facility, with the remaining balance backstopped by other specific long-term credit facilities separate from the master credit facility.

(e) Duke Energy has issued $450 million in Commercial Paper, which is backstopped by the master credit facility, and the proceeds are in the form of loans through the money pool to Duke Energy Carolinas of $300 million and Duke Energy Indiana of $150 million as of December 31, 2011.

In January 2012, Duke Energy Indiana and Duke Energy Kentucky collectively entered into a $156 million 2-year bilateral letter of credit agreement, under which Duke Energy Indiana and Duke Energy Kentucky may request the issuance of letters of credit up to $129 million and $27 million, respectively, on their behalf to support various series of variable rate demand bonds. In addition, Duke Energy Indiana entered into a $78 million 2-year bilateral letter of credit facility. These credit facilities may not be used for any purpose other than to support the variable rate demand bonds issued by Duke Energy Indiana and Duke Energy Kentucky. In February 2012, letters of credit were issued corresponding to the amount of the facilities to support various series of tax-exempt bonds at Duke Energy Indiana and Duke Energy Kentucky. In February 2013, the letters of credit were amended to extend the expiration date to January 2015.

Restrictive Debt Covenants

The Duke Energy Registrants' debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. The master credit facility contains a covenant requiring the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 65% for each borrower. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements. As of December 31, 2012, each of the Duke Energy Registrants were in compliance with all covenants related to its significant debt agreements. In addition, some credit agreements may allow for acceleration of payments or termination of the agreements due to nonpayment, or the acceleration of other significant indebtedness of the borrower or some of its subsidiaries. None of the significant debt or credit agreements contain material adverse change clauses.

Other Loans

During 2012 and 2011, Duke Energy had loans outstanding against the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies that it owns on the lives of its executives. The amounts outstanding were $496 million and $457 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amounts outstanding were carried as a reduction of the related cash surrender value that is included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

7. GUARANTEES AND INDEMNIFICATIONS

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries have various financial and performance guarantees and indemnifications, which are issued in the normal course of business. As discussed below, these contracts include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. Duke Energy and its subsidiaries enter into these arrangements to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party. At December 31, 2012, Duke Energy and its subsidiaries do not believe conditions are likely for significant performance under these guarantees. To the extent liabilities are incurred as a result of the activities covered by the guarantees, such liabilities are included on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On January 2, 2007, Duke Energy completed the spin-off of its natural gas businesses to shareholders. Guarantees that were issued by Duke Energy or its affiliates, or were assigned to Duke Energy prior to the spin-off, remained with Duke Energy subsequent to the spin-off. Guarantees issued by Spectra Energy Capital, LLC, formerly known as Duke Capital LLC, (Spectra Capital) or its affiliates prior to the spin-off remained with Spectra Capital subsequent to the spin-off, except for guarantees that were later assigned to Duke Energy. Duke Energy has indemnified Spectra Capital against any losses incurred under certain of the guarantee obligations that remain with Spectra Capital. At December 31, 2012, the maximum potential amount of future payments associated with these guarantees was $141 million, the majority of which expires by 2028.

Duke Energy has issued performance guarantees to customers and other third parties that guarantee the payment and performance of other parties, including certain non-wholly owned entities, as well as guarantees of debt of certain non-consolidated entities and less than wholly owned consolidated entities. If such entities were to default on payments or performance, Duke Energy would be required under the guarantees to make payments on the obligations of the less than wholly owned entity. The maximum potential amount of future payments Duke Energy could have been required to make under these guarantees as of December 31, 2012, was $243 million. Of this amount, $44 million relates to guarantees issued on behalf of less than wholly owned consolidated entities, with the remainder related to guarantees issued on behalf of third parties and unconsolidated affiliates of Duke Energy.

Of the guarantees noted above, $93 million of the guarantees expire between 2013 and 2028, with the remaining performance guarantees having no contractual expiration.

Included in the maximum potential amount of future payments discussed above is $26 million of maximum potential amounts of future payments associated with guarantees issued to customers or other third parties related to the payment or performance obligations of certain entities that were previously wholly owned by Duke Energy but which have been sold to third parties, such as DukeSolutions, Inc. (DukeSolutions). These guarantees are primarily related to payment of lease obligations, debt obligations, and performance guarantees related to provision of goods and services. Duke Energy received indemnification from the buyer of DukeSolutions for the first $2.5 million paid by Duke Energy related to the DukeSolutions guarantees. Further, Duke Energy granted indemnification to the buyer of DukeSolutions with respect to losses arising under some energy services agreements retained by DukeSolutions after the sale, provided that the buyer agreed to bear 100% of the performance risk and 50% of any other risk up to an aggregate maximum of $2.5 million (less any amounts paid by the buyer under the indemnity discussed above). Additionally, for certain performance guarantees, Duke Energy has recourse to subcontractors involved in providing services to a customer. These guarantees have various terms ranging from 2013 to 2021, with others having no specific term.

Duke Energy has guaranteed certain issuers of surety bonds, obligating itself to make payment upon the failure of a former non-wholly owned entity to honor its obligations to a third party, as well as used bank-issued stand-by letters of credit to secure the performance of non-wholly owned entities to a third party or customer. Under these arrangements, Duke Energy has payment obligations that are triggered by a draw by the third party or customer due to the failure of the non-wholly owned entity to perform according to the terms of its underlying contract. Substantially

all of these guarantees issued by Duke Energy relate to projects at Crescent that were under development at the time of the joint venture creation in 2006. Crescent filed Chapter 11 petitions in a U.S. Bankruptcy Court in June 2009. During 2009, Duke Energy determined that it was probable that it will be required to perform under certain of these guarantee obligations and recorded a charge of $26 million associated with these obligations, which represented Duke Energy's best estimate of its exposure under these guarantee obligations. At the time the charge was recorded, the face value of the guarantees was $70 million, which has since been reduced to $18 million as of December 31, 2012, as Crescent continues to complete some of its obligations under these guarantees.

Duke Energy has entered into various indemnification agreements related to purchase and sale agreements and other types of contractual agreements with vendors and other third parties. These agreements typically cover environmental, tax, litigation and other matters, as well as breaches of representations, warranties and covenants. Typically, claims may be made by third parties for various periods of time, depending on the nature of the claim. Duke Energy's potential exposure under these indemnification agreements can range from a specified amount, such as the purchase price, to an unlimited dollar amount, depending on the nature of the claim and the particular transaction. With the exception of the $217 million at Progress Energy discussed as follows, Duke Energy is unable to estimate the total potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to several factors, such as the unlimited exposure under certain guarantees.

Progress Energy has issued indemnifications for certain asset performance, legal, tax and environmental matters to third parties, including indemnifications made in connection with sales of businesses. At December 31, 2012, the estimated maximum exposure for these indemnifications for which a maximum exposure is determinable was $217 million, including $42 million at Progress Energy Florida. Related to the sales of businesses, the latest specified notice period extends until 2013 for the majority of legal, tax and environmental matters provided for in the indemnification provisions. Indemnifications for the performance of assets extend to 2016. For certain matters for which Progress Energy receives timely notice, indemnity obligations may extend beyond the notice period. Certain indemnifications related to discontinued operations have no limitations as to time or maximum potential future payments. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, Progress Energy had recorded liabilities related to indemnifications to third parties of $25 million and $63 million, respectively. These amounts included $17 million and $37 million for Progress Energy Florida at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These liabilities decreased primarily due to the reversal of certain environmental indemnification liabilities for which the indemnification period has expired and the adjustment to the indemnification for the estimated future years' joint owner replacement power costs through the end of the Crystal River Unit 3 joint owner contract. Progress Energy Florida's liabilities decreased primarily due to the previously mentioned indemnification adjustment related to Crystal River Unit 3. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, accruals and expenditures related to indemnifications were not material.

In addition, Progress Energy has issued $300 million in guarantees for certain payments of two wholly owned indirect subsidiaries, FPC Capital I Trust and Florida Progress Funding Corporation (Funding Corp.). The guarantees expired February 1, 2013, with the redemption of the associated notes and securities. See Note 18 for additional information.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the amounts recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for the guarantees and indemnifications mentioned above was $41 million and $19 million, respectively. This amount is primarily recorded in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The liability for 2011 excludes Progress Energy as Progress Energy was acquired July 2, 2012. As current estimates change, additional losses related to guarantees and indemnifications to third parties, which could be material, may be recorded by the Duke Energy Registrants in the future.

8. JOINT OWNERSHIP OF GENERATING AND TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

The Duke Energy Registrants hold ownership interests in certain jointly owned generating facilities. The Duke Energy Registrants are entitled to shares of the generating capability and output of each unit equal to their respective ownership interests. The Duke Energy Registrants also pays their ownership share of additional construction costs, fuel inventory purchases and operating expenses, except in certain instances where agreements have been executed to limit certain joint owners' maximum exposure to the additional costs. The Duke Energy Registrants share of revenues and operating costs of the jointly owned generating facilities is included within the corresponding line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Each participant in the jointly owned facilities must provide its own financing, except in certain instances where agreements have been executed to limit certain joint owners' maximum exposure to the additional costs.

Duke Energy Carolinas, along with North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, have joint ownership of Catawba, which is a facility operated by Duke Energy Carolinas.

Progress Energy Carolinas, along with North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, have joint ownership of Mayo Station, Harris, Brunswick and Roxboro Station Unit No. 4, which are facilities operated by Progress Energy Carolinas.

Progress Energy Florida, along with Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc., City of Ocala, Orlando Utilities Commission, City of Gainesville, City of

Leesburg, Kissimmee Utility Authority, Utilities Commission of the City of New Smyrna Beach, City of Alachua and City of Bushnell, have joint ownership of Crystal River Unit 3. Additionally, Progress Energy Florida is a joint owner of Intercession City Station Unit No. P11 with Georgia Power Company. These facilities are operated by Progress Energy Florida.

Duke Energy Ohio and subsidiaries of American Electric Power Company. Inc. and/or The AES Corporation jointly own electric generating units and related transmission facilities in Ohio and Kentucky.

Duke Energy Indiana and WVPA jointly own Vermillion Station. Additionally, Duke Energy Indiana is a joint-owner of Gibson Station Unit No. 5 with WVPA and Indiana Municipal Power Agency (IMPA), as well as a joint-owner with WVPA and IMPA of certain Indiana transmission property and local facilities. These facilities constitute part of the integrated transmission and distribution systems, which are operated and maintained by Duke Energy Indiana.

The following table presents the Duke Energy Registrants' share of jointly owned plant or facilities included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Ownership Share	Property, Plant, and Equipment	Accumulated Depreciation	Construction Work in Progress
Duke Energy				
Duke Energy Carolinas				
Production:				
Catawba Nuclear Station (Units 1 and 2)[a]	19.25 %	$ 900	$ 467	$ 6
Progress Energy				
Progress Energy Carolinas				
Production:				
Mayo Station[a]	83.83	807	292	65
Shearon Harris Nuclear Station[a]	83.83	3,571	1,985	104
Brunswick Nuclear Station[a]	81.67	1,842	985	98
Roxboro Station (Unit 4)[a]	87.06	741	474	15
Progress Energy Florida				
Production:				
Crystal River Nuclear Station (Unit 3)[a][b]	91.78	-	-	-
Intercession City Station (Unit P11)[a][c]	66.67	24	13	1
Duke Energy Ohio				
Production:				
Miami Fort Station (Units 7 and 8)[d]	64.0	617	212	4
W.C. Beckjord Station (Unit 6)[d][e]	37.5	-	-	-
J.M. Stuart Station[d][f]	39.0	820	265	13
Conesville Station (Unit 4)[d][f]	40.0	296	54	27
W.M. Zimmer Station[d]	46.5	1,354	552	3
Killen Station[d][f]	33.0	310	142	2
East Bend Station[a]	69.0	445	231	9
Transmission[a]	Various	96	48	-
Duke Energy Indiana				
Production:				
Gibson Station (Unit 5)[a]	50.05	305	149	6
Vermillion[a]	62.5	153	56	-
Transmission and local facilities[a]	Various	3,517	1,521	-
International and local facilities				
Production:				
Brazil - Canoas I and II[g]	47.2	305	89	-

(a) Included in USFE&G segment.
(b) In February 2013, Duke Energy made the decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3. As of December 31, 2012, all costs associated with Crystal River Unit 3 are included within Regulatory assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Progress Energy Florida. See Note 4 for additional information.
(c) The co-owner of Intercession City Unit P11 has exclusive rights to the output of the unit during the months of June through September. Progress Energy Florida has the rights for the remainder of the year.

(d) Included in Commercial Power segment.
(e) In 2010, Duke Energy Ohio recorded impairment charges to write-down its share of W.C. Beckjord Station to fair value. See Note 12 for additional information.
(f) Station is not operated by Duke Energy Ohio.
(g) Included in International Energy segment.

9. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

Asset retirement obligations, which represent legal obligations associated with the retirement of certain tangible long-lived assets, are computed as the present value of the projected costs for the future retirement of specific assets and are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The present value of the liability is added to the carrying amount of the associated asset in the period the liability is incurred and this additional carrying amount is depreciated over the remaining life of the asset. Subsequent to the initial recognition, the liability is adjusted for any revisions to the estimated future cash flows associated with the asset retirement obligation (with corresponding adjustments to property, plant, and equipment), which can occur due to a number of factors including, but not limited to, cost escalation, changes in technology applicable to the assets to be retired and changes in federal, state or local regulations, as well as for accretion of the liability due to the passage of time until the obligation is settled. Depreciation expense is adjusted prospectively for any increases or decreases to the carrying amount of the associated asset. The recognition of asset retirement obligations has no impact on the earnings of the Duke Energy Registrants' regulated operations as the effects of the recognition and subsequent accounting for an asset retirement obligation are offset by the establishment of regulatory assets and liabilities pursuant to regulatory accounting.

Asset retirement obligations recognized by Duke Energy relate primarily to the decommissioning of nuclear power facilities, asbestos removal, closure of landfills and removal of wind generation assets. Asset retirement obligations recognized by Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida relate primarily to the decommissioning of nuclear power facilities, asbestos removal and closure of landfills at fossil generation facilities. Asset retirement obligations at Duke Energy Ohio relate primarily to the retirement of gas mains, asbestos abatement at certain generating stations and closure and post-closure activities of landfills. Asset retirement obligations at Duke Energy Indiana relate primarily to obligations associated with future asbestos abatement at certain generating stations and closure and post-closure activities of landfills. Certain of the Duke Energy Registrants' assets have an indeterminate life, such as transmission and distribution facilities and thus the fair value of the retirement obligation is not reasonably estimable. A liability for these asset retirement obligations will be recorded when a fair value is determinable.

The following tables present the changes to the liability associated with asset retirement obligations for the Duke Energy Registrants.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Balance as of January 1,	$ 1,936	$ 1,846	$ 1,265	$ 896	$ 369	$ 27	$ 43
Acquisitions[a]	3,062	-	-	-	-	-	-
Accretion expense[b]	173	118	86	64	22	1	1
Liabilities settled	(15)	(3)	(2)	(2)	-	-	(10)
Revisions in estimates of cash flows[c]	(4)	(2)	234	-	234	-	(1)
Liabilities incurred in the current year[d]	24	-	837	698	139	-	4
Balance as of December 31[e]	$ 5,176	$ 1,959	$ 2,420	$ 1,656	$ 764	$ 28	$ 37

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Balance as of January 1,	$ 1,816	$ 1,728	$ 1,200	$ 849	$ 351	$ 27	$ 46
Accretion expense[b]	111	105	67	49	18	2	2
Liabilities settled	(3)	(1)	-	-	-	(2)	-
Revisions in estimates of cash flows	1	9	(2)	(2)	-	-	(9)
Liabilities incurred in the current year	11	5	-	-	-	-	4
Balance as of December 31	$ 1,936	$ 1,846	$ 1,265	$ 896	$ 369	$ 27	$ 43

(a) Represents asset retirement obligations resulting from the merger with Progress Energy. See Note 2 for additional information.
(b) Substantially all of the accretion expense for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 relates to Duke Energy's regulated electric operations and has been deferred in accordance with regulatory accounting treatment, as discussed above.
(c) For Progress Energy and Progress Energy Florida, the amounts relate to the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3.
(d) For Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida, the amounts primarily relate to spent nuclear fuel disposal recorded in the third quarter of 2012 to conform to Duke Energy's assumptions for the types of estimated costs in the asset retirement obligations.
(e) Includes $7 million reported in Other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at Duke Energy, Progress Energy and Progress Energy Carolinas.

The Duke Energy Registrants' regulated operations accrue costs of removal for property that does not have an associated legal retirement obligation based on regulatory orders from the various state commissions. These costs of removal are recorded as a regulatory liability in accordance with regulatory treatment. The Duke Energy Registrants do not accrue the estimated cost of removal for any non regulated assets. See Note 4 for the estimated cost of removal for assets without an associated legal retirement obligation, which are included in Regulatory Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Nuclear Decommissioning Costs.

In 2010, the NCUC and PSCSC approved the retail portion of a total $48 million annual amount for contributions and expense levels for decommissioning for Duke Energy Carolinas. In each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, Duke Energy Carolinas expensed $48 million and contributed cash of $48 million to the NDTF for decommissioning costs. In 2010, the NCUC and the PSCSC approved the retail portion of a total $31 million annual amount for contributions and expense levels for decommissioning for Progress Energy Carolinas. In each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, Progress Energy Carolinas expensed $31 million and contributed cash of $31 million to the NDTF for decommissioning costs. These amounts are presented in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in Purchases of available-for-sale securities within Net Cash Used in Investing Activities. The contributions for Duke Energy Carolinas were to the funds reserved for contaminated costs as contributions to the funds reserved for non-contaminated costs have been discontinued since the current estimates indicate existing funds to be sufficient to cover projected future costs. The contributions for Progress Energy Carolinas were to funds reserved for contaminated and non-contaminated costs. Both the NCUC and the PSCSC have allowed Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas to recover estimated decommissioning costs through retail rates over the expected remaining service periods of their respective nuclear stations. Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas believe that the decommissioning costs being recovered through rates, when coupled with expected fund earnings, will be sufficient to provide for the cost of future decommissioning. As discussed below, Progress Energy Florida has suspended its accrual for nuclear decommissioning.

Use of the NDTF investments are restricted to nuclear decommissioning activities and the NDTF investments are managed and invested in accordance with applicable requirements of various regulatory bodies, including the NRC, the FERC, the NCUC, the PSCSC and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The fair value of assets that are legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations associated with nuclear decommissioning are $3,941 million and $2,053 million for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas for the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively, and $1,797 million for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas for the year ended December 31, 2011. The NDTF balances presented on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida represent the fair value of assets legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations associated with nuclear decommissioning.

The NCUC, PSCSC and the FPSC require updated cost estimates for decommissioning nuclear plants every five years.

Duke Energy Carolinas completed site-specific nuclear decommissioning cost studies in January 2009 that showed total estimated nuclear decommissioning costs, including the cost to decommission plant components not subject to radioactive contamination, of $3 billion in 2008 dollars. This estimate includes Duke Energy Carolinas' ownership interest in its jointly owned unit. Duke Energy Carolinas filed these site-specific nuclear decommissioning cost studies with the NCUC and the PSCSC in conjunction with various rate case filings. In addition to the decommissioning cost studies, a new funding study was completed and indicates the current annual funding requirement of $48 million is sufficient to cover the estimated decommissioning costs.

Progress Energy Carolinas completed site-specific nuclear decommissioning cost studies in December 2009, which were filed with the NCUC on March 16, 2010. Progress Energy Carolinas estimate is based on prompt dismantlement decommissioning, which reflects the cost of removal of all radioactive and other structures currently at the site, with such removal occurring after operating license expiration. These decommissioning cost estimates also include interim spent fuel storage costs associated with maintaining spent nuclear fuel on site until such time that it can be transferred to a DOE facility. See Note 5 for information related to spent nuclear fuel litigation. These estimates, in 2009 dollars, were $3.0 billion. The estimates are subject to change based on a variety of factors including, but not limited to, cost escalation, changes in technology applicable to nuclear decommissioning and changes in federal, state or local regulations. This estimate includes Progress Energy Carolinas ownership interest in jointly owned units. In addition to the decommissioning cost studies, a new funding study was completed and indicates the current annual funding requirement of $31 million is sufficient to cover the estimated decommissioning costs.

Progress Energy Florida completed a site-specific nuclear decommissioning cost study in October 2008, which was filed with the FPSC in 2009 as part of Progress Energy Florida's base rate filing. However, the FPSC deferred review of Progress Energy Florida's nuclear decommissioning study from the rate case to be addressed in 2010 in order for FPSC staff to assess Progress Energy Florida's study in combination with other utilities anticipated to submit nuclear decommissioning studies in 2010. Progress Energy Florida was not required to prepare a new site-specific nuclear decommissioning study in 2010; however, Progress Energy Florida was required to update the 2008 study with the most currently available escalation rates in 2010, which was filed with the FPSC in December 2010. The FPSC approved Progress Energy Florida's nuclear decommissioning cost study on April 30, 2012. Progress Energy Florida's estimate is based on prompt dismantlement decommissioning and includes interim spent fuel storage costs associated with maintaining spent nuclear fuel on site until such time that it can be transferred to a DOE facility. See Note 5 for information related to spent nuclear fuel litigation. The estimate, in 2008 dollars, is $751 million and is subject to change based on a variety of factors including, but not limited to, cost escalation, changes in technology applicable to nuclear decommissioning and changes in federal, state or local regulations. This estimate includes Progress Energy Florida's ownership interest in jointly owned stations. Based on the 2008 estimate, assumed operating license renewal and updated escalation factors in 2010, Progress Energy Florida decreased its asset retirement cost and its asset retirement obligation by approximately $37 million in 2010. With the retirement of Crystal River Unit 3 it is anticipated that a delayed dismantlement approach to decommissioning referred to as SAFSTOR, will be submitted to the NRC for approval. This decommissioning approach is currently utilized at a number of retired domestic nuclear power plants and is one of three generally accepted approaches to decommissioning required by the NRC. Once an updated site specific decommissioning study is completed it will be filed with the FPSC. As part of the evaluation of repairing Crystal River Unit 3, initial estimates of the cost to decommission the plant under the SAFSTOR option were developed. The estimate in 2011 dollars is $989 million. Based on the 2011 SAFSTOR estimate, Progress Energy Florida increased its asset retirement regulatory asset and its ARO liability by approximately $234 million in 2012. Retail accruals on Progress Energy Florida's reserves for nuclear decommissioning were previously suspended under the terms of previous base rate settlement agreements. Progress Energy Florida will continue this suspension based on the FPSC's approval on April 30, 2012 of its 2010 nuclear decommissioning filing. No nuclear decommissioning reserve accrual is recorded at Progress Energy Florida following a FERC accounting order issued in November 2006.

The operating licenses for the Duke Energy Registrants' nuclear units are subject to extension. The following table includes the current expiration of nuclear operating licenses.

Unit	Year of Expiration
Duke Energy Carolinas	
Catawba Unit 1	2043
Catawba Unit 2	2043
McGuire Unit 1	2041
McGuire Unit 2	2043
Oconee Unit 1	2033
Oconee Unit 2	2033
Oconee Unit 3	2034
Progress Energy Carolinas	
Brunswick Unit 1	2036
Brunswick Unit 2	2034
Harris	2046
Robinson	2030
Progress Energy Florida	
Crystal River Unit 3	2016

10. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

		December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Estimated Useful Life (Years)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Land		$ 1,368	$ 378	$ 618	$ 380	$ 239	$ 136	$ 90
Plant - Regulated								
Electric generation, distribution and transmission	2 - 138	73,181	29,269	30,250	18,009	12,041	3,774	8,622
Natural gas transmission and distribution	12 - 60	2,026	-	-	-	-	2,026	-
Other buildings and improvements	2 - 100	1,319	444	609	283	318	125	149
Plant - Unregulated								
Electric generation, distribution and transmission	2 - 100	6,055	-	-	-	-	3,870	-
Other buildings and improvements	9 - 90	2,940	-	-	-	-	191	-
Nuclear fuel	-	2,127	1,277	850	850	-	-	-
Equipment	1 - 34	1,448	279	604	336	90	255	141
Construction in process	-	6,655	1,996	1,424	946	474	204	2,836
Other	5 - 60	3,272	547	791	380	270	243	174
Total property, plant and equipment[a]		100,391	34,190	35,146	21,184	13,432	10,824	12,012
Total accumulated depreciation - regulated[b][c][d]		(29,471)	(11,437)	(12,512)	(8,185)	(4,072)	(1,995)	(3,692)
Total accumulated depreciation - unregulated[c][d]		(2,498)	-	-	-	-	(703)	-
Generation facilities to be retired, net		136	73	63	63	-	-	-
Total net property, plant and equipment		$ 68,558	$ 22,826	$ 22,697	$ 13,062	$ 9,360	$ 8,126	$ 8,320

(a) Includes capitalized leases of $1,844 million, $53 million, $339 million, $150 million, $189 million, $86 million, and $28 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively, primarily in regulated plant. The Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida amounts are net of $49 million, an insignificant amount and $48 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases.

(b) Includes $857 million, $557 million, $300 million and $300 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy and Progress Energy Carolinas, respectively.

(c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of $34 million, $3 million, $12 million and $5 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively.

(d) Includes accumulated depreciation of VIEs of $103 million and an insignificant amount at December 31, 2012 at Duke Energy and Progress Energy, respectively.

		December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Estimated Useful Life (Years)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Land		$ 745	$ 372	$ 595	$ 367	$ 228	$ 135	$ 88
Plant - Regulated								
Electric generation, distribution and transmission	2 - 138	38,171	26,307	28,824	16,078	12,546	3,595	8,269
Natural gas transmission and distribution	12 - 60	1,927	-	-	-	-	1,927	-
Other buildings and improvements	9 - 100	672	428	473	138	327	106	138
Plant - Unregulated								
Electric generation, distribution and transmission	2 - 100	5,464	-	-	-	-	3,997	-
Other buildings and improvements	9 - 44	2,095	-	-	-	-	192	-
Nuclear fuel	-	1,213	1,213	1,161	862	299	-	-
Equipment	3 - 33	863	248	553	318	82	168	134
Construction in process	-	7,664	3,774	2,454	1,294	1,155	255	2,992
Other	5 - 60	2,476	498	753	326	289	257	170
Total property, plant and equipment[a]		61,290	32,840	34,813	19,383	14,926	10,632	11,791
Total accumulated depreciation - regulated[b][c][d]		(16,550)	(11,269)	(12,684)	(7,991)	(4,474)	(1,916)	(3,393)
Total accumulated depreciation - unregulated[c][d]		(2,159)	-	-	-	-	(678)	-
Generation facilities to be retired, net		80	80	163	163	-	-	-
Total net property, plant and equipment		$ 42,661	$ 21,651	$ 22,292	$ 11,555	$ 10,452	$ 8,038	$ 8,398

(a) Includes capitalized leases of $444 million, $53 million, $211 million, $12 million, $199 million, $82 million, and $33 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively. The Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida amounts are net of $56 million, $18 million and $38 million, respectively, of accumulated amortization of capitalized leases.

(b) Includes $578 million, $578 million, $394 million, $322 million and $72 million of accumulated amortization of nuclear fuel at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida, respectively.

(c) Includes accumulated amortization of capitalized leases of $28 million, an insignificant amount, $11 million and $6 million at Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio, and Duke Energy Indiana, respectively.

(d) Includes accumulated depreciation of VIEs of $62 million and an insignificant amount at December 31, 2011 at Duke Energy and Progress Energy, respectively.

The following table presents capitalized interest, which includes the debt component of AFUDC.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Duke Energy	$ 177	$ 166	$ 167
Duke Energy Carolinas	72	78	83
Progress Energy	41	35	32
Progress Energy Carolinas	23	20	19
Progress Energy Florida	18	15	13
Duke Energy Ohio	15	9	8
Duke Energy Indiana	39	33	19

11. OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES, NET

The components of Other Income and Expenses, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Interest income	$ 50	$ 11	$ 2	$ 1	$ 1	$ 10	$ 7
Foreign exchange losses[a]	(5)	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFUDC equity	300	154	106	69	37	6	84
Deferred returns	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
Other income (expense)	28	(4)	22	9	1	(3)	(1)
Other income and expense, net	$ 397	$ 185	$ 130	$ 79	$ 39	$ 13	$ 90

(a) Primarily relates to International Energy's remeasurement of certain cash and debt balances into the functional currency.

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Interest income	$ 53	$ 10	$ 2	$ 1	$ 1	$ 14	$ 14
Foreign exchange gains [a]	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFUDC equity	260	168	103	71	32	5	88
CVO mark-to-market loss	-	-	(59)	-	-	-	-
Deferred returns	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
Other income (expense)	51	(2)	6	8	(3)	-	(5)
Other income and expense, net	$ 376	$ 186	$ 52	$ 80	$ 30	$ 19	$ 97

(a) Primarily relates to International Energy's remeasurement of certain cash and debt balances into the functional currency.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Interest income	$ 67	$ 23	$ 7	$ 3	$ 1	$ 18	$ 14
Foreign exchange gains [a]	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFUDC equity	234	174	92	64	28	4	56
Deferred returns	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
Other income	53	-	10	4	3	3	-
Other income and expense, net	$ 370	$ 212	$ 109	$ 71	$ 32	$ 25	$ 70

(a) Primarily relates to International Energy's remeasurement of certain cash and debt balances into the functional currency.

12. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill

The following tables present goodwill by reportable operating segment for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio.

Duke Energy

(in millions)	USFE&G	Commercial Power	International Energy	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011:				
Goodwill	$ 3,483	$ 940	$ 297	$ 4,720
Accumulated impairment charges	-	(871)	-	(871)
Balance at December 31, 2011, as adjusted for accumulated impairment charges	3,483	69	297	3,849
Acquisitions (a)	**12,467**	-	**59**	**12,526**
Foreign exchange and other changes	**-**	**(7)**	**(3)**	**(10)**
Balance at December 31, 2012:				
Goodwill	**15,950**	**933**	**353**	**17,236**
Accumulated impairment charges	**-**	**(871)**	**-**	**(871)**
Balance at December 31, 2012, as adjusted for accumulated impairment charges	**$ 15,950**	**$ 62**	**$ 353**	**$ 16,365**

(a) USFE&G amount relates to the merger with Progress Energy. International Energy amount relates to the Ibener acquisition. See Note 2 for further information.

Duke Energy Ohio

(in millions)	Franchised Electric & Gas	Commercial Power	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011:			
Goodwill	$ 1,137	$ 1,188	$ 2,325
Accumulated impairment charges	(216)	(1,188)	(1,404)
Balance at December 31, 2011, as adjusted for accumulated impairment charges	921	-	921
Balance at December 31, 2012:			
Goodwill	**1,137**	**1,188**	**2,325**
Accumulated impairment charges	**(216)**	**(1,188)**	**(1,404)**
Balance at December 31, 2012, as adjusted for accumulated impairment charges	**$ 921**	**$ -**	**$ 921**

Progress Energy had Goodwill of $3,655 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, for which there are no accumulated impairment charges.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, goodwill for the Renewables reporting unit within Commercial Power was analyzed for impairment primarily as a result of changes in the tax benefits for renewable projects. Based on results of the fourth quarter 2012 impairment analysis, the fair value of the Renewables reporting unit exceeded its carrying value thus no impairment was recorded. The fair value of the Renewables reporting unit is impacted by a multitude of factors, including legislative actions related to tax credit extensions, long-term growth rate assumptions, the market price of power and discount rates. Management continues to monitor these assumptions for any indicators that the fair value of the reporting unit could be below the carrying value, and will assess goodwill for impairment as appropriate.

Midwest Generation Asset Impairment. In the second quarter of 2010, based on circumstances discussed below, management determined that it was more likely than not that the fair value of Commercial Power's nonregulated Midwest generation reporting unit was below its respective carrying value. Accordingly, an interim impairment test was performed for this reporting unit. Determination of reporting unit fair value was based on a combination of the income approach, which estimates the fair value of Duke Energy's reporting units based on discounted future cash flows, and the market approach, which estimates the fair value of Duke Energy's reporting units based on market comparables within the utility and energy industries. Based on completion of step one of the second quarter 2010 impairment analysis, management determined that the fair value of Commercial Power's non-regulated Midwest generation reporting unit was less than its carrying value, which included goodwill of $500 million.

Commercial Power's nonregulated Midwest generation reporting unit includes nearly 4,000 MW of primarily coal-fired generation capacity in Ohio which was dedicated under the ESP through December 31, 2011. Additionally, this reporting unit has approximately 3,600 MW of gas-fired generation capacity in Ohio, Pennsylvania, Illinois and Indiana which provides generation to unregulated energy markets in the Midwest. The businesses within Commercial Power's nonregulated Midwest generation reporting unit operate in unregulated markets which allow for customer choice among suppliers. As a result, the operations within this reporting unit are subjected to competitive pressures that do not exist in any of Duke Energy's regulated jurisdictions.

Commercial Power's other businesses, including the renewable generation assets, are in a separate reporting unit for goodwill impairment testing purposes. No impairment existed with respect to Commercial Power's renewable generation assets.

The fair value of Commercial Power's nonregulated Midwest generation reporting unit is impacted by a multitude of factors, including current and forecasted customer demand, forecasted power and commodity prices, uncertainty of environmental costs, competition, the cost of capital, valuation of peer companies and regulatory and legislative developments. Management's assumptions and views of these factors continually evolve, and certain views and assumptions used in determining the fair value of the reporting unit in the 2010 interim impairment test changed significantly from those used in the 2009 annual impairment test. These factors had a significant impact on the valuation of Commercial Power's nonregulated Midwest generation reporting unit. More specifically, the following factors significantly impacted management's valuation of the reporting unit:

- Sustained lower forward power prices — In Ohio, Duke Energy's Commercial Power segment provided power to retail customers under the ESP, which utilizes rates approved by the PUCO through 2011. These rates in 2010 were above market prices for generation services, resulting in customers switching to other generation providers. As discussed in Note 4, Duke Energy Ohio will establish a new SSO for retail load customers for generation after the current ESP expires on December 31, 2011. Given forward power prices, which declined from the time of the 2009 impairment, significant uncertainty existed with respect to the generation margin that would be earned under the new SSO.

- Potentially more stringent environmental regulations from the U.S. EPA—In May and July of 2010, the EPA issued proposed rules associated with the regulation of CCRs to address risks from the disposal of CCRs (e.g., ash ponds) and to limit the interstate transport of emissions of NO^X and SO^2. These proposed regulations, along with other pending EPA regulations, could result in significant expenditures for coal fired generation plants, and could result in the early retirement of certain generation assets, which do not currently have control equipment for NO^X and SO2, as soon as 2014.

- Customer switching — ESP customers have increasingly selected alternative generation service providers, as allowed by Ohio legislation, which further erodes margins on sales. In the second quarter of 2010, Duke Energy Ohio's residential class became the target of an intense marketing campaign offering significant discounts to residential customers that switch to alternate power suppliers. Customer switching levels were at approximately 55% at June 30, 2010 compared to approximately 29% in the third quarter of 2009.

As a result of the factors above, a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of $500 million was recorded during the second quarter of 2010. This impairment charge represented the entire remaining goodwill balance for Commercial Power's non-regulated Midwest generation reporting unit. In addition to the goodwill impairment charge, and as a result of factors similar to those described above, Commercial Power recorded $160 million of pre-tax impairment charges related to certain generating assets and emission allowances primarily associated with these generation assets in the Midwest to write-down the value of these assets to their estimated fair value. The generation assets that were subject to this impairment charge were those coal-fired generating assets that do not have certain environmental emissions control equipment, causing these generation assets to be heavily impacted by the EPA's proposed rules on emissions of NOx and SO2. These impairment charges are recorded in Goodwill and Other Impairment Charges on Duke Energy's Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Intangible Assets

The following tables show the carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets.

	December 31, 2012		
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Emission allowances	$ 80	$ 24	$ 29
Gas, coal and power contracts	295	272	24
Wind development rights	111	-	-
Other	109	10	-
Total gross carrying amounts	595	306	53
Accumulated amortization - gas, coal and power contracts	(180)	(168)	(12)
Accumulated amortization - wind development rights	(9)	-	-
Accumulated amortization - other	(34)	(9)	-
Total accumulated amortization	(223)	(177)	(12)
Total intangible assets, net	$ 372	$ 129	$ 41

	December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Emission allowances	$ 66	$ 29	$ 37
Gas, coal and power contracts	295	271	24
Wind development rights	137	-	-
Other	72	10	-
Total gross carrying amounts	570	310	61
Accumulated amortization - gas, coal and power contracts	(169)	(158)	(11)
Accumulated amortization - wind development rights	(7)	-	-
Accumulated amortization - other	(31)	(9)	-
Total accumulated amortization	(207)	(167)	(11)
Total intangible assets, net	$ 363	$ 143	$ 50

Emission allowances in the tables above for Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio include emission allowances acquired by Duke Energy as part of its merger with Cinergy, which were recorded at the then fair value on the date of the merger in April 2006, and emission allowances purchased by Duke Energy Ohio. Additionally, the Duke Energy Registrants are allocated certain zero cost emission allowances on an annual basis.

The following tables show the change in the gross carrying value of emission allowances.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012		
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Gross carrying value at beginning of period	$ 66	$ 29	$ 37
Amounts acquired in Progress Energy merger	29	-	
Purchases of emission allowances	-	-	-
Sales and consumption of emission allowances[a][b]	(15)	(5)	(8)
Gross carrying value at end of period	$ 80	$ 24	$ 29

	December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Gross carrying value at beginning of period	$ 175	$ 125	$ 49
Purchases of emission allowances	4	1	2
Sales and consumption of emission allowances[a][b]	(39)	(18)	(21)
Impairment of emission allowances	(79)	(79)	-
Other changes	5	-	7
Gross carrying value at end of period	$ 66	$ 29	$ 37

(a) Carrying value of emission allowances are recognized via a charge to expense when consumed.
(b) See Note 2 for additional information regarding gains and losses on sales of emission allowances by USFE&G and Commercial Power.

The following table presents amortization expense for gas, coal and power contracts, wind development rights and other intangible assets.

	December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Duke Energy	$ 14	$ 10	$ 24
Duke Energy Ohio	12	8	20
Duke Energy Indiana	1	1	1

The table below shows the expected amortization expense for the next five years for intangible assets as of December 31, 2012. The expected amortization expense includes estimates of emission allowances consumption and estimates of consumption of commodities such as gas and coal under existing contracts, as well as estimated amortization related to the wind development projects. The amortization amounts discussed below are estimates and actual amounts may differ from these estimates due to such factors as changes in consumption patterns, sales or impairments of emission allowances or other intangible assets, delays in the in-service dates of wind assets, additional intangible acquisitions and other events.

(in millions)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Duke Energy	$ 45	$ 19	$ 17	$ 16	$ 15
Duke Energy Ohio	8	13	10	10	9
Duke Energy Indiana	30	1	1	1	1

Emission Allowance Impairment. On August 8, 2011, the EPA's final rule to replace CAIR was published in the Federal Register. As further discussed in Note 5, the CSAPR established state-level annual SO_2 and NO_X caps that were required to take effect on January 1, 2012, and state-level ozone-season NO_X caps that were to take effect on May 1, 2012. The CSAPR did not utilize CAA emission allowances as the original CAIR provided. Under the CSAPR, the EPA was expected to issue new emission allowances to be used exclusively for purposes of complying with the CSAPR cap-and-trade program. After this ruling was published in 2011, Duke Energy evaluated the effect of the CSAPR on the carrying value of emission allowances recorded at its USFE&G and Commercial Power segments. Based on the provisions of the CSAPR, Duke Energy Ohio had more SO_2 allowances than were needed to comply with the continuing CAA acid rain cap-and-trade program (excess emission allowances). Duke Energy Ohio incurred a pre-tax impairment of $79 million in 2011 to write down the carrying value of excess emission allowances held by Commercial Power to fair value. The charge is recorded in Impairment charges on Duke Energy and Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statement of Operations. This amount was based on the fair value of excess allowances held by Commercial Power for compliance under the continuing CAA acid rain cap-and-trade program as of September 30, 2011.

13. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

Investments in domestic and international affiliates that are not controlled by Duke Energy, but over which it has significant influence, are accounted for using the equity method. Significant investments in affiliates accounted for under the equity method are discussed below.

Commercial Power

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 investments accounted for under the equity method primarily consisted of Duke Energy's approximate 50% ownership interest in the five Sweetwater projects (Phase I-V), which own wind power assets located in Texas. As of December 31, 2012 Duke Energy held a 50% ownership interest in both INDU Solar Holdings, LLC and DS Cornerstone, LLC, which own solar and wind power projects, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 Duke Energy held a 49% ownership interest in Suez-DEGS Solutions of Ashtabula LLC, and a 50% ownership interest in INDU Solar Holdings, LLC. Duke Energy sold its interest in Ashtabula during 2012. The sale did not result in a significant gain or loss.

International Energy

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, Duke Energy held a 25% indirect interest in NMC, which owns and operates a methanol and MTBE business in Jubail, Saudi Arabia. As of December 31, 2011, Duke Energy held a 25% ownership interest in Attiki Gas Supply, S.A (Attiki). In the first quarter of 2012, Duke Energy completed the sale of this interest to an existing equity owner. No gain or loss was recognized on the sale.

Other

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, investments accounted for under the equity method primarily include a 50% ownership interest in DukeNet, which owns and operates telecommunications businesses.

On December 21, 2010, as discussed in Note 3, Duke Energy completed an agreement with Alinda to sell a 50% ownership interest in DukeNet. As a result of the disposition transaction, DukeNet and Alinda are equal 50% owners in the new joint venture. The sale resulted in a $139 million pre-tax gain recorded in Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Prior to the closing of the transaction, DukeNet was a consolidated wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy.

On December 2, 2010, Duke Energy completed the sale of its 30% equity investment in Q-Comm to Windstream Corp. (Windstream). The sale resulted in $165 million in net proceeds, including $87 million of Windstream common shares and a $109 million pre-tax gain recorded in Gains on sales of unconsolidated affiliates on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the carrying amount of investments in affiliates with carrying amounts greater than zero approximated the amount of underlying equity in net assets.

Impairments

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010, Duke Energy recorded pre-tax impairment charges to the carrying value of investments in unconsolidated affiliates of $6 million and $11 million, respectively. There were no significant pre-tax impairment charges to the carrying value of investments in unconsolidated affiliates during the year ended December 31, 2011. These impairment charges, which were recorded in Gains (losses) on sales of unconsolidated affiliates on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, were recorded as a result of Duke Energy concluding that it would not be able to recover its carrying value in the related investments, thus the carrying value of these investments were written down to their estimated fair value.

The following table presents Duke Energy's investment in equity method unconsolidated affiliates by segment and geographic area.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	**U.S.**	**Foreign**	**Total**	**U.S.**	**Foreign**	**Total**
U.S. Franchised Electric and Gas	**$ 5**	**$ -**	**$ 5**	$ 5	$ -	$ 5
Commercial Power	**219**	**-**	**219**	188	-	188
International Energy	**-**	**81**	**81**	-	91	91
Other	**168**	**10**	**178**	167	9	176
Investments in Equity Method Unconsolidated Affiliates	**$ 392**	**$ 91**	**$ 483**	$ 360	$ 100	$ 460

The following table presents Duke Energy's equity in earnings of equity method unconsolidated affiliates by segment.

	Years Ended December 31,								
	2012			2011			2010		
(in millions)	**U.S**	**Foreign**	**Total**	**U.S**	**Foreign**	**Total**	**U.S**	**Foreign**	**Total**
U.S. Franchised Electric and Gas	**$ (5)**	**$ -**	**$ (5)**	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Commercial Power	**14**	**-**	**14**	6	-	6	7	-	7
International Energy	**-**	**134**	**134**	-	145	145	-	102	102
Other	**3**	**2**	**5**	7	2	9	5	2	7
Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates	**$ 12**	**$ 136**	**$ 148**	$ 13	$ 147	$ 160	$ 12	$ 104	$ 116

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, Duke Energy received distributions from equity investments of $183 million, $149 million and $111 million, respectively, which are included in Other assets within Cash Flows from Operating Activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The following table presents Duke Energy's summarized combined financial information of equity method unconsolidated affiliates.

(in millions)	**December 31, 2012**	**December 31, 2011**
Balance Sheet		
Current assets	**$ 577**	$ 492
Non-current assets	**2,252**	1,599
Current liabilities	**(601)**	(267)
Non-current liabilities	**(579)**	(225)
Net assets	**$ 1,649**	$ 1,599

	Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Income Statement			
Operating revenues	**$ 1,624**	$ 1,615	$ 1,385
Operating expenses	**$ 727**	$ 865	$ 924
Net income	**$ 665**	$ 607	$ 430

Other Investments

Commercial Power had an interest in South Houston Green Power, L.P. (SHGP), which is a cogeneration facility containing three combustion turbines in Texas City, Texas. Although Duke Energy owned a significant portion of SHGP, it was not consolidated as Duke Energy did not hold a majority voting control or have the ability to exercise control over SHGP, nor was Duke Energy the primary beneficiary. Duke Energy exercised the cash settlement option of an asset swap agreement for SHGP and received total cash proceeds of $184 million in December 2010. This transaction did not result in a significant gain.

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Subsidiary Registrants engage in related party transactions, which are generally performed at cost and in accordance with the applicable state and federal commission regulations. Refer to the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana for balances due to or due from related parties. Amounts related to transactions with related parties included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income are presented in the following table.

	Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Duke Energy Carolinas			
Corporate governance and shared service expenses[a]	$ 1,112	$ 1,009	$ 1,016
Indemnification coverages[b]	$ 21	$ 21	$ 25
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) revenue[c]	$ 18	$ -	$ -
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) expense[c]	$ 91	$ -	$ -
Progress Energy			
Corporate governance and shared services provided by Duke Energy[a]	$ 63	$ -	$ -
Corporate governance and shared services provided to Duke Energy[d]	47	-	-
Indemnification coverages[b]	$ 17	$ -	$ -
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) revenue[c]	$ 91	$ -	$ -
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) expense[c]	$ 18	$ -	$ -
Progress Energy Carolinas			
Corporate governance and shared service expenses[a]	$ 254	$ 203	$ 176
Indemnification coverages[b]	$ 8	$ -	$ -
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) revenue[c]	$ 91	$ -	$ -
Joint Dispatch Agreement (JDA) expense[c]	$ 18	$ -	$ -
Progress Energy Florida			
Corporate governance and shared service expenses[a]	$ 186	$ 160	$ 156
Indemnification coverages[b]	$ 8	$ -	$ -
Duke Energy Ohio			
Corporate governance and shared service expenses[a]	$ 358	$ 401	$ 369
Indemnification coverages[b]	$ 16	$ 17	$ 19
Duke Energy Indiana			
Corporate governance and shared service expenses[a]	$ 419	$ 415	$ 364
Indemnification coverages[b]	$ 8	$ 7	$ 8

(a) The Subsidiary Registrants are charged their proportionate share of corporate governance and other costs by unconsolidated affiliates that are consolidated affiliates of Duke Energy and Progress Energy. Corporate governance and other shared services costs are primarily related to human resources, employee benefits, legal and accounting fees, as well as other third party costs. These amounts are recorded in Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

(b) The Subsidiary Registrants incur expenses related to certain indemnification coverages through Bison, Duke Energy's wholly owned captive insurance subsidiary. These expenses are recorded in Operation, maintenance and other on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

(c) Effective with the consummation of the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas began to participate in a JDA which allowed the collective dispatch of power plants between the service territories to reduce customer rates. Revenues from the sale of power under the JDA are recorded in Regulated electric within revenue on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. Expenses from the purchase of power under the JDA are recorded in Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power - regulated on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

(d) Progress Energy charges a proportionate share of corporate governance and other costs to unconsolidated affiliates that are consolidated affiliates of Duke Energy. Corporate governance and other shared costs are primarily related to human resources, employee benefits, legal and accounting fees, as well as other third-party costs. These charges are recorded as an offset to Operation, maintenance and other in the Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

In addition to the amounts presented above, the Subsidiary Registrants record income associated with the rental of office space to consolidated affiliates of Duke Energy, as well as their proportionate share of certain charged expenses from affiliates of Duke Energy. The Duke Energy registrants participate in a money pool arrangement with Duke Energy and certain of its subsidiaries. See Note 6 for more information regarding money pool. As discussed in Note 18, certain trade receivables have been sold by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana to CRC, an unconsolidated entity formed by a subsidiary of Duke Energy. The proceeds obtained from the sales of receivables are largely cash but do include a subordinated note from CRC for a portion of the purchase price. Rental income, interest income and interest expense on these transactions were not material for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

In January 2012, Duke Energy Ohio recorded a non-cash equity transfer of $28 million related to the sale of Vermilion to Duke Energy Indiana. Duke Energy Indiana recorded a non-cash after tax equity transfer of $26 million for the purchase of Vermillion from Duke Energy Ohio. See note 2 for further discussion.

DECAM is a non-regulated, direct subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio. DECAM conducts business activities including the execution of commodity transactions, third party vendor and supply contracts and service contracts for certain of Duke Energy's non-regulated entities. The commodity contracts that DECAM enters either do not qualify as hedges or are accounted for as undesignated contracts, thus the mark-to-market impacts of these contracts are reflected in Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. In addition, equal and offsetting mark-to-market impacts of intercompany contracts with non-regulated entities are reflected in Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income representing the pass through of the economics of the original contracts to non-regulated entities in accordance with contractual arrangements between Duke Energy Ohio and non-regulated entities. Because it is not a rated entity, DECAM receives its credit support from Duke Energy or its non-regulated subsidiaries and not the regulated utility operations of Duke Energy Ohio. DECAM meets its funding needs through an intercompany loan agreement from a subsidiary of Duke Energy. DECAM also has the ability to loan money to the subsidiary of Duke Energy. DECAM had an outstanding intercompany loan payable with the subsidiary of Duke Energy of $79 million as of December 31, 2012. This amount is recorded in Notes payable to affiliated companies on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets. DECAM had a $90 million intercompany loan receivable with the subsidiary of Duke Energy as of December 31, 2011. This amount is recorded in Notes receivable from affiliated companies on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets. As discussed in Note 6, in August 2012, Duke Energy issued $1.2 billion of senior unsecured notes. Proceeds from the issuances were used in part to repay outstanding notes of $500 million to DECAM, and such funds were ultimately used to repay at maturity Duke Energy Ohio's $500 million debentures due September 15, 2012. In conjunction with the proposed generation asset transfer discussed in Note 4, Duke Energy Ohio's capital structure is being restructured to reflect appropriate debt and equity ratios for its regulated Franchised Electric and Gas operations.

15. RISK MANAGEMENT, DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Duke Energy Registrants closely monitor the risks associated with commodity price changes and changes in interest rates on their operations and, where appropriate, use various commodity and interest rate instruments to manage these risks. Certain of these derivative instruments qualify for hedge accounting and are designated as hedging instruments, while others either do not qualify as hedges or have not been designated as hedges (hereinafter referred to as undesignated contracts). The Duke Energy Registrants' primary use of energy commodity derivatives is to hedge the generation portfolio against exposure to changes in the prices of power and fuel. Interest rate swaps are entered into to manage interest rate risk primarily associated with the Duke Energy Registrants' variable-rate and fixed-rate borrowings.

The accounting guidance for derivatives requires the recognition of all derivative instruments not identified as NPNS as either assets or liabilities at

fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. For derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting, the Duke Energy Registrants may elect to designate such derivatives as either cash flow hedges or fair value hedges. The Duke Energy Registrants offset fair value amounts recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets related to derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting agreement.

The operations of the USFE&G business segment meet the criteria for regulatory accounting treatment. Accordingly, for derivatives designated as cash flow hedges within USFE&G, gains and losses are reflected as a regulatory liability or asset instead of as a component of AOCI. For derivatives designated as fair value hedges or left undesignated within USFE&G, gains and losses associated with the change in fair value of these derivative contracts would be deferred as a regulatory liability or asset, thus having no immediate earnings impact.

Within the Duke Energy Registrants' unregulated businesses, for derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting and are designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss is reported as a component of AOCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Any gains or losses on the derivative that represent either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings. For derivative instruments that qualify and are designated as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item are recognized in earnings in the current period. The Duke Energy Registrants include the gain or loss on the derivative in the same line item as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Additionally, the Duke Energy Registrants enter into derivative agreements that are economic hedges that either do not qualify for hedge accounting or have not been designated as a hedge. The changes in fair value of these undesignated derivative instruments are reflected in current earnings.

Commodity Price Risk

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to the impact of market changes in the future prices of electricity (energy, capacity and financial transmission rights), coal, natural gas and emission allowances (SO_2, seasonal NO_X and annual NO_X) as a result of their energy operations such as electricity generation and the transportation and sale of natural gas. With respect to commodity price risks associated with electricity generation, the Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to changes including, but not limited to, the cost of the coal and natural gas used to generate electricity, the prices of electricity in wholesale markets, the cost of capacity and electricity purchased for resale in wholesale markets and the cost of emission allowances primarily at the Duke Energy Registrants' coal fired power plants. Risks associated with commodity price changes on future operations are closely monitored and, where appropriate, various commodity contracts are used to mitigate the effect of such fluctuations on operations. Exposure to commodity price risk is influenced by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the term of the contract, the liquidity of the market and delivery location.

Commodity Fair Value Hedges. At December 31, 2012, there were no open commodity derivative instruments that were designated as fair value hedges.

Commodity Cash Flow Hedges. At December 31, 2012, there were immaterial open commodity derivative instruments that were designated as cash flow hedges.

Undesignated Contracts. The Duke Energy Registrants use derivative contracts as economic hedges to manage the market risk exposures that arise from providing electricity generation and capacity to large energy customers, energy aggregators, retail customers and other wholesale companies. Undesignated contracts may include contracts not designated as a hedge, contracts that do not qualify for hedge accounting, derivatives that do not or no longer qualify for the NPNS scope exception, and de-designated hedge contracts. These contracts expire as late as 2016.

Undesignated contracts also include contracts associated with operations that Duke Energy continues to wind down or has included as discontinued operations. As these undesignated contracts expire as late as 2021, Duke Energy has entered into economic hedges that leave it minimally exposed to changes in prices over the duration of these contracts.

Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas use derivative contracts as economic hedges to manage the market risk exposures that arise from electricity generation. Duke Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Carolinas have also entered into firm power sale agreements, which are accounted for as derivative instruments, as part of the Interim FERC Mitigation in connection with Duke Energy's merger with Progress Energy. See Note 2 for further information. Duke Energy Carolinas' undesignated contracts as of December 31, 2012, are primarily associated with forward sales and purchases of power. Progress Energy Carolinas' undesignated contracts as of December 31, 2012, are primarily associated with forward purchases of fuel used in electricity generation.

Progress Energy Florida uses derivative contracts as economic hedges to manage the market risk exposures that arise from electricity generation. Undesignated contracts at December 31, 2012, are primarily associated with forward purchases of fuel used in electricity generation.

Duke Energy Ohio uses derivative contracts as economic hedges to manage the market risk exposures that arise from providing electricity generation and capacity to large energy customers, energy aggregators, retail customers and other wholesale companies. Undesignated contracts at December 31, 2012 are primarily associated with forward sales and purchases of power, coal and gas for the Commercial Power segment.

Duke Energy Indiana uses derivative contracts as economic hedges to manage the market risk exposures that arise from electricity generation. Undesignated contracts at December 31, 2012, are primarily associated with forward purchases and sales of power, financial transmission rights and emission allowances.

Interest Rate Risk

The Duke Energy Registrants are exposed to risk resulting from changes in interest rates as a result of their issuance or anticipated issuance of variable and fixed-rate debt and commercial paper. Interest rate exposure is managed by limiting variable-rate exposures to a percentage of total debt and by monitoring the effects of market changes in interest rates. To manage risk associated with changes in interest rates, the Duke Energy Registrants may enter into financial contracts; primarily interest rate swaps and U.S. Treasury lock agreements. Additionally, in anticipation of certain fixed-rate debt issuances, a series of forward starting interest rate swaps may be executed to lock in components of the market interest rates at the time and terminated prior to or upon the issuance of the corresponding debt. When these transactions occur within a business that meets the criteria for regulatory accounting treatment, these contracts may be treated as undesignated and any pre-tax gain or loss recognized from inception to termination of the hedges would be recorded as a regulatory liability or asset and amortized as a component of interest expense over the life of the debt. Alternatively, these derivatives may be designated as hedges whereby, any pre-tax gain or loss recognized from inception to termination of the hedges would be recorded in AOCI and amortized as a component of interest expense over the life of the debt.

The following table shows the notional amounts for derivatives related to interest rate risk.

Notional Amounts of Derivative Instruments Related to Interest Rate

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Cash flow hedges[a]	$ 1,047	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Undesignated contracts	290	-	50	50	-	27	200
Fair value hedges	250	-	-	-	-	250	-
Total notional amount	$ 1,587	$ -	$ 50	$ 50	$ -	$ 277	$ 200

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Cash flow hedges[a]	$ 841	$ -	$ 500	$ 250	$ 50	$ -	$ -
Undesignated contracts	247	-	-	-	-	27	200
Fair value hedges	275	25	-	-	-	250	-
Total notional amount	$ 1,363	$ 25	$ 500	$ 250	$ 50	$ 277	$ 200

(a) Duke Energy includes amounts related to non-recourse variable rate long-term debt of VIEs of $620 million at December 31, 2012 and $466 million at December 31, 2011.

Volumes

The following table shows information relating to the volume of the Duke Energy registrants outstanding commodity derivative activity. Amounts disclosed represent the notional volumes of commodities contracts accounted for at fair value. For option contracts, notional amounts include only the delta-equivalent volumes which represent the notional volumes times the probability of exercising the option based on current price volatility. Volumes associated with contracts qualifying for the NPNS exception have been excluded from the table below. Amounts disclosed represent the absolute value of notional amounts. The Duke Energy Registrants have netted contractual amounts where offsetting purchase and sale contracts exist with identical delivery locations and times of delivery. Where all commodity positions are perfectly offset, no quantities are shown below. For additional information on notional dollar amounts of debt subject to derivative contracts accounted for at fair value, see "Interest Rate Risk" section above.

	December 31, 2012						
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Commodity contracts							
Electricity-energy (Gigawatt-hours)(a)	52,104	2,028	1,850	1,850	-	51,215	97
Electricity-capacity (Gigawatt-months)	5	-	5	5	-	-	-
Oil (millions of gallons)	5	-	5	-	5	-	-
Natural gas (millions of decatherms)	528	-	348	118	230	180	-

	December 31, 2011						
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Commodity contracts							
Electricity-energy (Gigawatt-hours)(a)	14,118	-	-	-	-	14,655	682
Emission allowances NO_x (thousands of tons)	9	-	-	-	-	9	-
Oil (millions of gallons)	-	-	10	-	10	-	-
Natural gas (millions of decatherms)	40	-	347	103	244	2	1

(a) Amounts at Duke Energy Ohio include intercompany positions that are eliminated at Duke Energy.

Duke Energy

The following tables show fair value amounts of derivative contracts, and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in which such amounts are included. The fair values of derivative contracts are presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements where Duke Energy nets the fair value of derivative contracts subject to master netting arrangements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative contracts have not been netted against the fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current liabilities: other	$ -	$ 2	$ -	$ -
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other		1	-	-
Interest rate contracts				
Current assets: other	2	-	4	-
Investments and other assets: other	7	-	2	-
Current Liabilities: Other	-	81	-	11
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	35	-	76
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 9	$ 119	$ 6	$ 87
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current assets: other	$ 41	$ 2	$ 81	$ 31
Investments and other assets: other	106	50	35	17
Current liabilities: other	106	407	136	168
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	2	255	25	93
Interest rate contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	76	-	2
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	8	-	75
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 255	$ 798	$ 277	$ 386
Total Derivatives	$ 264	$ 917	$ 283	$ 473

The following table shows the amount of gains and losses recognized on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges by type of derivative contract, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations line items in which such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOCI.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI			
Interest rate contracts	**$ (23)**	$ (88)	$ 2
Commodity contracts	**1**	-	-
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI	**$ (22)**	$ (88)	$ 2
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings[a]			
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power	$ -	$ -	$ 2
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	**2**	(5)	(5)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings	**$ 2**	$ (5)	$ (3)

(a) Represents the gains and losses on cash flow hedges previously recorded in AOCI during the term of the hedging relationship and reclassified into earnings during the current period.

There was no hedge ineffectiveness during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, and no gains or losses have been excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness during the same periods.

At December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, $151 million and $115 million, respectively of pre-tax deferred net losses on derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges were included as a component of AOCI and a $5 million pre-tax gain is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months as the hedged transactions occur.

The following tables show the amount of pre-tax gains and losses recognized on undesignated contracts by type of derivative instrument, and the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income in which such gains and losses are included or deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as regulatory assets or liabilities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings			
Commodity contracts			
Revenue, regulated electric	**$ (23)**	$ -	$ 1
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas and other	**38**	(59)	(38)
Other income and expenses	**(2)**	-	-
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power regulated	**(194)**	-	-
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power - nonregulated	**2**	(1)	9
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	**(8)**	-	-
Total Pre-tax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	**$ (187)**	$ (60)	$ (28)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities			
Commodity contracts			
Regulatory asset	**$ (2)**	$ (1)	$ 5
Regulatory liability	**36**	17	14
Interest rate contracts			
Regulatory asset	**10**	(165)	(1)
Regulatory liability	**-**	(60)	60
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets of Liabilities	**$ 44**	$ (209)	$ 78

Duke Energy Carolinas

The following tables show fair value amounts of derivative contracts, and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in which such amounts are included. The fair values of derivative contracts are presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements where Duke Energy Carolinas nets the fair value of derivative contracts subject to master netting arrangements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative contracts have not been netted against the fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Interest rate contracts				
Current assets: other	$ -	$ -	$ 1	$ -
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ -	$ -	$ 1	$ -
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	6	-	-
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	6	-	-
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ -	$ 12	$ -	$ -
Total Derivatives	$ -	$ 12	$ 1	$ -

The following table shows the amount of gains and losses recognized on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges by type of derivative contract, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations line items in which such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOCI.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings[a]			
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	(3)	(5)	$ (6)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings	$ (3)	$ (5)	$ (6)

(a) Represents the gains and losses on cash flow hedges previously recorded in AOCI during the term of the hedging relationship and reclassified into earnings during the current period.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no pre-tax deferred net gains or losses on derivative instruments related to cash flow hedges remaining in AOCI for Duke Energy Carolinas.

The following tables show the amount of the pre-tax gains and losses recognized on undesignated contracts by type of derivative instrument and the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income in which such gains and losses are included or deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as regulatory assets or liabilities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings			
Commodity contracts			
Revenue, regulated electric	$ (12)	$ -	$ 1
Total Pre-tax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	$ (12)	$ -	$ 1
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities			
Commodity contracts			
Regulatory liability	$ -	$ -	$ (1)
Interest rate contracts			
Regulatory asset	$ -	$ (94)	-
Regulatory liability	-	(60)	60
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets of Liabilities	$ -	$ (154)	$ 59

Progress Energy

The following tables show fair value amounts of derivative contracts, and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in which such amounts are included. The fair values of derivative contracts are presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements where Progress Energy nets the fair value of derivative contracts subject to master netting arrangements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associate with the derivative contracts have not been netted against the fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	**Asset**	**Liability**	**Asset**	**Liability**
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current liabilities: other	$ -	$ 2	$ -	$ 2
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	1	-	1
Interest rate contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	-	-	76
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	-	-	17
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ -	$ 3	$ -	$ 96
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current assets: other	$ 3	$ -	$ -	$ -
Investments and other assets: other	8	-	-	-
Current liabilities: other	-	231	5	371
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	195	-	332
Interest rate contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	11	--	-
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 11	$ 437	$ 5	$ 703
Total Derivatives	$ 11	$ 440	$ 5	$ 799

The following table shows the amount of gains and losses recognized on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges by type of derivative contract, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income line items in which such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOCI.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI[a]			
Commodity contracts	$ 1	$ (3)	$ -
Interest rate contracts	$ (11)	$ (141)	$ (57)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI	$ (10)	$ (144)	$ (57)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings[a]			
Interest rate contracts[b]			
Interest expense	$ (14)	$ (13)	$ (11)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings	$ (14)	$ (13)	$ (11)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI to Regulatory Assets or Liabilities[c]			
Interest rate contracts			
Regulatory Assets	$ (159)	$ -	$ -
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities	$ (159)	$ -	$ -

(a) Effective portion.

(b) Amounts in AOCI related to terminated hedges are reclassified to earnings as the interest expense is recorded. The effective portion of the hedges will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt.

(c) To conform to Duke Energy policies, effective with the merger, Progress Energy no longer designates derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges for regulated operations as cash flow hedges. As a result, the pre-tax losses on open derivative contracts as of the date of the merger were reclassified from AOCI to Regulatory assets.

At December 31, 2012, and 2011 $65 million and $232 million, respectively of pre-tax deferred net losses on derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges were included as a component of AOCI and a $5 million pre-tax loss is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months as the hedged transactions occur.

The following tables show the amount of pre-tax gains and losses recognized on undesignated contracts by type of derivative instrument, and the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income in which such gains and losses are included or deferred on the consolidated Balance Sheets as regulatory assets or liabilities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings			
Commodity contracts			
Revenue, regulated electric	$ (11)	$ 1	$ 1
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power - regulated[a]	(454)	(297)	(324)
Other income and expenses, net	7	(59)	-
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	(8)	-	-
Total Pre-tax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	$ (466)	$ (355)	$ (323)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities			
Commodity contracts[c]			
Regulatory asset	$ (171)	$ (502)	$ (398)
Interest rate contracts[b]			
Regulatory asset	6	-	-
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets of Liabilities	$ (165)	$ (502)	$ (398)

(a) After settlement of the derivatives and the fuel is consumed, gains or losses are passed through the fuel cost-recovery clause.

(b) Amounts in regulatory assets and liabilities related to terminated hedges are reclassified to earnings as the interest expense is recorded. The hedges will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt.
(c) Amounts are recorded as regulatory assets and liabilities in the Balance Sheets until derivatives are settled.

Progress Energy Carolinas

The following tables show fair value amounts of derivative contracts, and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in which such amounts are included. The fair values of derivative contracts are presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements where Progress Energy Carolinas nets the fair value of derivative contacts subject to master netting arrangements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative contracts have not been netted against the fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	**Asset**	**Liability**	**Asset**	**Liability**
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current liabilities: other	$ -	$ 1	$ -	-
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	1	-	-
Interest rate contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	-	-	38
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	-	-	9
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ -	$ 2	$ -	$ 47
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts[a]				
Current assets: other	$ 1	$ -	$ -	$ -
Investments and other assets: other	1	-	-	-
Current liabilities: other	-	85	-	91
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	68	-	110
Interest rate contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	11	-	-
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 2	$ 164	$ -	$ 201
Total Derivatives	$ 2	$ 166	$ -	$ 248

(a) Substantially all of these contracts receive regulatory treatment.

The following table shows the amount of gains and losses recognized on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges by type of derivative contract, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income line items in which such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOCI.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI[a]			
Interest rate contracts[b]	$ (7)	$ (70)	$ (16)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI	$ (7)	$ (70)	$ (16)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings[a]			
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	$ (5)	$ (7)	$ (7)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings	$ (5)	$ (7)	$ (7)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI to Regulatory Assets or Liabilities[c]			
Interest rate contracts			
Regulatory assets	$ (117)	$ -	$ -
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities	$ (117)	$ -	$ -

(a) Effective portion.
(b) Amounts in AOCI related to terminated hedges are reclassified to earnings as the interest expense is recorded. The effective portion of the hedges will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt.
(c) To conform to Duke Energy policies, effective with the merger, Progress Energy no longer designates derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges for regulated operations as cash flow hedges. As a result, the pre-tax losses on open derivative contracts as of the date of the merger were reclassified from AOCI to Regulatory assets.

At December 31, 2011, $116 million of pre-tax deferred net losses on derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges were included as a component of AOCI.

The following tables show the amount of pre-tax gains and losses recognized on undesignated contracts by type of derivative instrument and the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income in which such gains and losses are included or deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as regulatory assets or liabilities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings			
Commodity contracts			
Revenue, regulated electric	**$ (11)**	$ 1	$ 1
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power -regulated[a]	**(115)**	(60)	(46)
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	**(6)**	-	-
Total Pre-tax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	**$ (132)**	$ (59)	$ (45)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities			
Commodity contracts[c]			
Regulatory asset	$ **(55)**	$ (140)	$ (77)
Interest rate contracts[b]			
Regulatory asset	**6**	-	-
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets of Liabilities	**$ (49)**	$ (140)	$ (77)

(a) After settlement of the derivatives and the fuel is consumed, gains or losses are passed through the fuel cost-recovery clause.
(b) Amounts in regulatory assets and liabilities related to terminated hedges are reclassified to earnings as the interest expense is recorded. The hedges will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt.
(c) Amounts are recorded in regulatory assets and liabilities in the Balance Sheets until derivatives are settled.

Progress Energy Florida

The following tables show fair value amounts of derivative contracts, and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in which such amounts are included. The fair value of derivative contracts are presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements where Progress Energy Florida nets the fair value of derivative contracts subject to master netting arrangements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative contracts have not been netted against the fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current liabilities: other	$ -	$ 1	$ -	$ 2
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	-	-	1
Interest rate contracts				
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	-	-	8
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ -	$ 1	$ -	$ 11
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts[a]				
Current Assets: Other	$ 2	$ -	$ -	$ -
Investments and Other Assets: Other	7	-	-	-
Current liabilities: other	-	146	5	266
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	123	-	222
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 9	$ 269	$ 5	$ 488
Total Derivatives	$ 9	$ 270	$ 5	$ 499

(a) Substantially all of these contracts receive regulatory treatment.

The following table shows the amount of gains and losses recognized on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges by type of derivative contract, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income line items in which such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOCI.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI[a]			
Commodity contracts	$ 1	$ (3)	$ -
Interest rate contracts[b]	(2)	(35)	(11)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recorded in AOCI	$ (1)	$ (38)	$ (11)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings[a]			
Interest rate contracts[b]			
Interest expense	$ (2)	$ (1)	$ -
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings	$ (2)	$ (1)	$ -
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI to Regulatory Assets[c]			
Interest rate contracts			
Regulatory assets	$ (42)	$ -	$ -
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI to Regulatory Assets	$ (42)	$ -	$ -

(a) Effective portion

(b) Amounts in AOCI related to terminated hedges are reclassified to earnings as the interest expense is recorded. The effective portion of the hedges will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt.

(c) To conform to Duke Energy policies, effective with the merger, Progress Energy no longer designates derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges for regulated operations as cash flow hedges. As a result, the pre-tax losses on open derivative contracts as of the date of the merger were reclassified from AOCI to Regulatory assets.

At December 31, 2011, $41 million of pre-tax deferred net losses on derivative instruments related to interest rate cash flow hedges were included as a component of AOCI.

The following tables show the amount of pre-tax gains and losses recognized on undesignated contracts by type of derivative instrument and the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income in which such gains and losses are included or deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as regulatory assets or liabilities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings			
Commodity contracts			
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power - regulated[a]	$ **(339)**	$ (237)	$ (278)
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	**(2)**	-	-
Total Pre-tax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	$ **(341)**	$ (237)	$ (278)
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities			
Commodity contracts[b]			
Regulatory asset	$ **(116)**	$ (362)	$ (321)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets of Liabilities	$ **(116)**	$ (362)	$ (321)

(a) After settlement of the derivatives and the fuel is consumed, gains or losses are passed through the fuel cost-recovery clause.
(b) Amounts are recorded in regulatory assets and liabilities in the Balance Sheets until derivatives are settled.

Duke Energy Ohio

The following tables show fair value amounts of derivative contracts, and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in which such amounts are included. The fair values of derivative contracts are presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements where Duke Energy Ohio nets the fair value of derivative contracts subject to master netting arrangements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative contracts have not been netted against the fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	**Asset**	**Liability**	**Asset**	**Liability**
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Interest rate contracts				
Current assets: other	$ 2	$ -	$ 3	$ -
Investments and other assets: other	-	-	2	-
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 2	$ -	$ 5	$ -
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current assets: other	$ 31	$ 4	$ 79	$ 39
Investments and other assets: other	81	51	29	18
Current liabilities: other	106	132	136	146
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	4	22	33
Interest rate contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	1	-	1
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	7	-	8
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 218	$ 199	$ 266	$ 245
Total Derivatives	$ 220	$ 199	$ 271	$ 245

There were no gains or losses on cash flow hedges recorded or reclassified at Duke Energy Ohio for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There was an immaterial amount of losses on cash flow hedges reclassified at Duke Energy Ohio for the year ended December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2012, there were no pre-tax deferred net gains or losses on derivative instruments related to cash flow hedges remaining in AOCI for Duke Energy Ohio.

The following tables show the amount of the pre-tax gains and losses recognized on undesignated contracts by type of derivative instrument, and the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income in which such gains and losses are included or deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as regulatory assets or liabilities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized in Earnings			
Commodity contracts			
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas and other	76	(26)	(3)
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power - nonregulated	2	(1)	9
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	(1)	(1)	(1)
Total Pre-tax (Losses) Gains Recognized in Earnings	$ 77	$ (28)	$ 5
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities			
Commodity contracts			
Regulatory asset	$ 2	$ 1	$ 5
Regulatory liability	(1)	-	-
Interest rate contracts			
Regulatory asset	-	(4)	(1)
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets of Liabilities	$ 1	$ (3)	$ 4

Duke Energy Indiana

The following tables show fair value amounts of derivative contracts, and the line items in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in which such amounts are included. The fair values of derivative contracts are presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements where Duke Energy Indiana nets the fair value of derivative contracts subject to master netting arrangements with the same counterparty on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative contracts have not been netted against the fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Commodity contracts				
Current assets: other	$ 10	$ -	$ 4	$ -
Current liabilities: other	-	-	-	2
Interest rate contracts				
Current liabilities: other	-	63	-	-
Deferred credits and other liabilities: other	-	-	-	66
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	$ 10	$ 63	$ 4	$ 68
Total Derivatives	$ 10	$ 63	$ 4	$ 68

The following table shows the amount of gains and losses recognized on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges by type of derivative contract, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations line items in which such gains and losses are included when reclassified from AOCI.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings[a]			
Interest rate contracts			
Interest expense	3	2	$ 3
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI into Earnings	$ 3	$ 2	$ 3

(a) Represents the gains and losses on cash flow hedges previously recorded in AOCI during the term of the hedging relationship and reclassified into earnings during the current period.

There were no pre-tax deferred net gains or losses on derivative instruments related to cash flow hedges remaining in AOCI for Duke Energy Indiana at December 31, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

The following tables show the amount of the pre-tax gains and losses recognized on undesignated contracts by type of derivative instrument and line items in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income in which such gains and losses are included or deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as regulatory assets or liabilities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Location of Pre-tax Gains and (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets or Liabilities			
Commodity contracts			
Regulatory asset	$ 2	$ (2)	$ -
Regulatory liability	35	17	14
Interest rate contracts			
Regulatory asset	4	(67)	-
Total Pre-tax Gains (Losses) Recognized as Regulatory Assets of Liabilities	$ 41	$ (52)	$ 14

Credit Risk

Certain derivative contracts of the Duke Energy Registrants contain contingent credit features, such as material adverse change clauses or payment acceleration clauses that could result in immediate payments, the posting of letters of credit or the termination of the derivative contract before maturity if specific events occur, such as a credit rating downgrade below investment grade.

The following table shows information with respect to derivative contracts that are in a net liability position and contain objective credit-risk related payment provisions. The amounts disclosed in the table below represent the aggregate fair value amounts of such derivative instruments at the end of the reporting period, the aggregate fair value of assets that are already posted as collateral under such derivative instruments at the end of the reporting period, and the aggregate fair value of additional assets that would be required to be transferred in the event that credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered.

	December 31, 2012				
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio
Aggregate fair value amounts of derivative instruments in a net liability position	$ 466	$ 286	$ 108	$ 178	$ 176
Collateral already posted	$ 163	$ 59	$ 9	$ 50	$ 104
Additional cash collateral or letters of credit in the event credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered at the end of the reporting period	$ 230	$ 227	$ 99	$ 128	$ 2

	December 31, 2011				
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio
Aggregate fair value amounts of derivative instruments in a net liability position	$ 96	$ 489	$ 152	$ 337	$ 94
Collateral already posted	$ 36	$ 147	$ 24	$ 123	$ 35
Additional cash collateral or letters of credit in the event credit-risk-related contingent features were triggered at the end of the reporting period	$ 5	$ 342	$ 128	$ 214	$ 5

Netting of Cash Collateral and Derivative Assets and Liabilities Under Master Netting Arrangements. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Duke Energy Registrants have elected to offset fair value amounts (or amounts that approximate fair value) recognized on their Consolidated Balance Sheets related to cash collateral amounts receivable or payable against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting agreement. The amounts disclosed in the table below represent the receivables related to the right to reclaim cash collateral and payables related to the obligation to return cash collateral under master netting arrangements. See Note 16 for additional information on fair value disclosures related to derivatives.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	Receivables	Payables	Receivables	Payables
Duke Energy				
Amounts offset against net derivative positions	$ 73	$ -	$ 10	$ -
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions	93	-	30	-
Progress Energy		-		
Amounts offset against net derivative positions	58	-	140	-
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions	1	-	3	-
Progress Energy Carolinas				
Amounts offset against net derivative positions	9	-	23	-
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions	-	-	-	-
Progress Energy Florida				
Amounts offset against net derivative positions	49	-	117	-
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions	1	-	3	-
Duke Energy Ohio				
Amounts offset against net derivative positions	15	-	9	-
Amounts not offset against net derivative positions	$ 92	$ -	$ 28	$ -

16. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Under existing accounting guidance, fair value is considered to be the exchange price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell an asset or transfer a liability at the measurement date. The fair value definition focuses on an exit price, which is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability versus an entry price, which would be the price paid to acquire an asset or received to assume a liability. Fair value measurements require the use of market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, corroborated by market data or generally unobservable. Valuation techniques are required to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. A midmarket pricing convention (the midpoint price between bid and ask prices) is permitted for use as a practical expedient.

The Duke Energy Registrants classify recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements based on the following fair value hierarchy, as prescribed by the accounting guidance for fair value. The hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels:

Level 1—unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities the Duke Energy Registrants have the ability to access. An active market for the asset or liability is one in which transactions for the asset or liability occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide ongoing pricing information. The Duke Energy Registrants' Level 1 primarily consists of financial instruments such as exchange-traded derivatives and listed equities.

Level 2—a fair value measurement utilizing inputs other than a quoted market price that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include, but are not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in an active market, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active and inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rate curves and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, credit risk and default rates. A Level 2 measurement cannot have more than an insignificant portion of the valuation based on unobservable inputs. Instruments in this category include non-exchange-traded derivatives, such as over-the-counter forwards, swaps and options; certain marketable debt securities; and financial instruments traded in less than active markets.

Level 3—any fair value measurements which include unobservable inputs for the asset or liability for more than an insignificant portion of the valuation. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value. Level 3 instruments may include longer-term instruments that extend into periods in which quoted prices or other observable inputs are not available.

The fair value accounting guidance for financial instruments permits entities to elect to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not required to be accounted for at fair value under other GAAP. There are no financial assets or financial liabilities that are not required to be accounted for at fair value under GAAP for which the option to record at fair value has been elected by the Duke Energy Registrants. However, in the future, the Duke Energy Registrants may elect to measure certain financial instruments at fair value in accordance with this accounting guidance.

Transfers out of and into Levels 1, 2 or 3 represent existing assets or liabilities previously categorized as a higher level for which the inputs to the estimate became less observable or assets and liabilities that were previously classified as Level 2 or 3 for which the lowest significant input became more observable during the period, respectively. The Duke Energy Registrant's policy for the recognition of transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy is to recognize the transfer at the end of the period. There were no transfers out of or into Levels 1, 2 and 3 during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Valuation methods of the primary fair value measurements disclosed below are as follows:

Investments in equity securities. Investments in equity securities, other than those accounted for as equity and cost method investments, are typically valued at the closing price in the principal active market as of the last business day of the quarter. Principal active markets for equity prices include published exchanges such as NASDAQ and NYSE. Foreign equity prices are translated from their trading currency using the currency exchange rate in effect at the close of the principal active market. Prices have not been adjusted to reflect for after-hours market activity. The majority of investments in equity securities are valued using Level 1 measurements. For certain investments that are valued on a net asset value per share (or its equivalent), or the net asset value basis, when the Duke Energy Registrants do not have the ability to redeem the investment in the near term at net asset value per share (or its equivalent), or the net asset value is not available as of the measurement date, the fair value measurement of the investment is categorized as Level 3.

Investments in available-for-sale auction rate securities. Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas hold auction rate securities for which an active market does not currently exist. During the year ended December 31, 2012, $55 million of these investments in auction rate securities were redeemed at full par value plus accrued interest. Auction rate securities held are student loan securities for which at December 31, 2012 approximately 84% is ultimately backed by the U.S. government. At December 31, 2012, approximately 24% of these securities are AAA rated. As of December 31, 2012, and 2011 all of these auction rate securities are classified as long-term investments and are valued using Level 3 measurements. The methods and significant assumptions used to determine the fair values of the investment in auction rate debt securities represent estimations of fair value using internal discounted cash flow models which incorporate primarily management's own assumptions as to the term over which such investments will be recovered at par (ranging from 7 to 17 years), the current level of interest rates (less than 0.3%), and the appropriate risk-adjusted discount rates (up to 4.2% reflecting a tenor of up to 17 years). In preparing the valuations, all significant value drivers were considered, including the underlying collateral (primarily evaluated on the basis of credit ratings, parity ratios and the percentage of loans backed by the U.S. government).

There were no other-than-temporary impairments associated with investments in auction rate debt securities during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Investments in debt securities. Most debt investments, including those held in the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Funds (NDTF), are valued based on a calculation using interest rate curves and credit spreads applied to the terms of the debt instrument (maturity and coupon interest rate) and consider the counterparty credit rating. Most debt valuations are Level 2 measurements. If the market for a particular fixed income security is relatively inactive or illiquid, the measurement is a Level 3 measurement. U.S. Treasury debt is typically a Level 1 measurement.

Commodity derivatives. The pricing for commodity derivatives is primarily a calculated value which incorporates the forward price and is adjusted for liquidity (bid-ask spread), credit or non-performance risk (after reflecting credit enhancements such as collateral) and discounted to present value. The primary difference between a Level 2 and a Level 3 measurement relates to the level of activity in forward markets for the commodity. If the market is relatively inactive, the measurement is deemed to be a Level 3 measurement. Commodity derivatives with clearinghouses are classified as Level 1 measurements. For commodity derivative contracts classified as Level 3, Duke Energy utilizes internally-developed financial models based upon the income approach (discounted cash flow method) are utilized to measure the fair values. The primary inputs to these models are the forward commodity prices used to develop the forward price curves for the respective instrument. The pricing inputs are derived from published exchange transaction prices and other observable or public data sources. In the absence of observable market information that supports the pricing inputs, there is a presumption that the transaction price is equal to the last observable price for a similar period. For the commodity derivative contracts classified as Level 3, the pricing inputs for natural gas and electricity forward price curves are not observable for the full term of the related contracts. In isolation, increases (decreases) in unobservable natural gas forward prices would result in favorable (unfavorable) fair value adjustments for gas purchase contracts. In isolation, increases (decreases) in unobservable electricity forward prices would result in unfavorable (favorable) fair value adjustments for electricity sales contracts. Duke Energy regularly evaluates and validates the pricing inputs used to estimate fair value of gas purchase contracts by a market participant price verification procedure, which provides a comparison of internal forward commodity curves to market participant generated curves.

Contingent Value Obligations (CVO). Progress Energy issued CVOs, which are derivatives, in connection with the acquisition of Florida Progress Corporation (Florida Progress). In November 2011, Progress Energy commenced a public tender offer that expired on February 15, 2012. At December 31, 2012, and 2011 all CVOs not tendered, have been classified as Level 2 based on observable prices in the less-than-active market.

In connection with the acquisition of Florida Progress during 2000, the Progress Energy parent issued 98.6 million CVOs. Each CVO represents the right of the holder to receive contingent payments based on the performance of four coal-based solid synthetic fuels limited liability companies purchased by subsidiaries of Florida Progress in October 1999. All of Progress Energy's synthetic fuels businesses were abandoned and all operations ceased as of December 31, 2007. The payments are based on the net after-tax cash flows the facilities generated. Progress Energy makes deposits into a CVO trust for estimated contingent payments due to CVO holders based on the results of operations and the utilization of tax credits. The balance of the CVO trust at December 31, 2012 and 2011, was $11 million and is included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Future payments from the trust to CVO holders will not be made until certain conditions are satisfied and will include principal and interest earned during the investment period, net of expenses deducted. Interest earned on the payments held in trust for 2012 and 2011 were insignificant.

In October 2011, Progress Energy entered a settlement agreement and release with a plaintiff under which the parties mutually released all claims related to the CVOs and Progress Energy purchased all of the plaintiff's CVOs at a negotiated purchase price of $0.75 per CVO. In November 2011, Progress Energy also commenced a tender offer for all remaining outstanding CVOs at the same purchase price. The tender offer expired on February 15, 2012. Progress Energy repurchased 83.4 million CVOs through the settlement agreement or through the tender offer. The CVOs are derivatives and are recorded at fair value. In 2011, pre-tax losses of $59 million from changes in fair value were recorded in Other Income and Expenses, net on the Consolidated Statements of Income. At December 31, 2012, the CVO liability included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets was $4 million based on the 15.2 million outstanding CVOs not held by the Progress Energy parent. At December 31, 2011, the CVO liability included in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets was $14 million based on the 18.5 million CVOs outstanding not held by the Progress Energy parent.

Goodwill and Long-lived Assets. See Note 12 for a discussion of the valuation for goodwill and long-lived assets.

Duke Energy

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral amounts which are disclosed in Note 15. See Note 17 for additional information related to investments by major security type.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Investments in available-for-sale auction rate securities[a]	$ 29	$ -	$ -	$ 29
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	2,837	2,762	54	21
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities	1,405	317	1,040	48
Other trading and available-for-sale equity securities[b]	72	63	9	-
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities[c]	602	40	562	-
Derivative assets[b]	103	18	22	63
Total assets	5,048	3,200	1,687	161
Derivative liabilities[d]	(756)	(17)	(591)	(148)
Net assets	$ 4,292	$ 3,183	$ 1,096	$ 13

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Investments in available-for-sale auction rate securities[a]	$ 71	$ -	$ -	$ 71
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	1,337	1,285	46	6
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities	723	109	567	47
Other trading and available-for-sale equity securities[b]	68	61	7	-
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities[c]	382	22	360	-
Derivative assets[b]	74	43	6	25
Total Assets	2,655	1,520	986	149
Derivative liabilities[d]	(264)	(36)	(164)	(64)
Net Assets	$ 2,391	$ 1,484	$ 822	$ 85

(a) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(b) Included in Other within Current Assets and Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.
(c) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets and Short-term Investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(d) Included in Other within Current Liabilities and Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Year Ended December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	**Available-for-Sale Auction Rate Securities**	**Available-for-Sale NDTF Investments**	**Derivatives (net)**	**Total**
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 71	$ 53	$ (39)	$ 85
Amounts acquired in Progress Energy Merger	-	-	(30)	(30)
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:				
Regulated electric	-	-	23	23
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	-	-	(15)	(15)
Total pre-tax gains included in other comprehensive income:				
Gains on available for sale securities and other	13	-	-	13
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:				
Purchases	-	14	22	36
Sales	-	(2)	-	(2)
Issuances	-	-	(15)	(15)
Settlements	(55)	-	(32)	(87)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	-	4	1	5
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ 29	$ 69	$ (85)	$ 13
Pre-tax amounts included in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income related to Level 3 measurements outstanding at December 31, 2012				
Regulated electric	$ -	$ -	$ (24)	$ (24)
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	-	-	1	1
Total	$ -	$ -	$ (23)	$ (23)

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2011 Available-for-Sale Auction Rate Securities	Available-for-Sale NDTF Investments	Derivatives (net)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 118	$ 47	$ (19)	$ 146
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:				
Regulated electric	-	-	13	13
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	-	-	(27)	(27)
Total pre-tax gains included in other comprehensive income:				
Gains on available for sale securities and other	12	-	-	12
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:				
Purchases	-	8	8	16
Sales	-	(3)	-	(3)
Settlements	(16)	-	(16)	(32)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	-	1	2	3
Transfers out of Level 3	(43)	-	-	(43)
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 71	$ 53	$ (39)	$ 85
Pre-tax amounts included in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income related to Level 3 measurements outstanding at December 31, 2011				
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	-	-	(20)	(20)
Total	$ -	$ -	$ (20)	$ (20)

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2010 Available-for-Sale Auction Rate Securities	Available-for-Sale NDTF Investments	Derivatives (net)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ 198	$ -	$ 25	$ 223
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized losses included in earnings:				
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	-	-	(45)	(45)
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power-nonregulated	-	-	(13)	(13)
Total pre-tax gains included in other comprehensive income:				
Gains on available for sale securities and other	22	-	-	22
Losses on commodity cash flow hedges	-	-	(1)	(1)
Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	(102)	45	(3)	(60)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	-	2	18	20
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 118	$ 47	$ (19)	$ 146
Pre-tax amounts included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations related to Level 3 measurements outstanding at December 31, 2010				
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	-	-	1	1
Total	-	-	1	1

Duke Energy Carolinas

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Carolinas' Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral amounts which are disclosed in Note 15. See Note 17 for additional information related to investments by major security type. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest

level of input significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Investments in available-for-sale auction rate securities[a]	$ 3	$ -	$ -	$ 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	1,592	1,523	48	21
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities	762	155	559	48
Total assets	$ 2,357	$ 1,678	$ 607	$ 72
Derivative liabilities[c]	(12)	-	-	(12)
Net assets	$ 2,345	$ 1,678	$ 607	$ 60

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Investments in available-for-sale auction rate securities[a]	$ 12	$ -	$ -	$ 12
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	1,337	1,285	46	6
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities	723	109	567	47
Derivative assets[b]	1	-	1	-
Total assets	$ 2,073	$ 1,394	$ 614	$ 65

(a) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(b) Included in Other within Current Assets and Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(c) Included in Other within Current Liabilities and Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Year Ended December 31,2012			
	Available-for-Sale Auction Rate Securities	**Available-for-Sale NDTF Investments**	**Derivatives (net)**	**Total**
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 12	$ 53	$ -	$ 65
Total pre-tax gains included in other comprehensive income:				
Gains on available for sale securities and other	2	-	-	2
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:				
Purchases	-	14	-	14
Issuances	-	-	(14)	(14)
Sales	-	(2)	-	(2)
Settlements	(11)	-	2	(9)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	-	4	-	4
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ 3	$ 69	$ (12)	$ 60
Pre-tax amounts included in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income related to Level 3 measurements outstanding at December 31, 2012				
Regulated electric	$ -	$ -	$ (12)	(12)
Total	$ -	$ -	$ (12)	$ (12)

	Year Ended December 31, 2011			
	Available-for-Sale Auction Rate Securities	Available-for-Sale NDTF Investments	Derivatives (net)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 12	$ 47	$ -	$ 59
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:				
Purchases	-	8	-	8
Sales	-	(3)	-	(3)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	-	1	-	1
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 12	$ 53	$ -	$ 65

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			
(in millions)	Available-for-Sale Auction Rate Securities	Available-for-Sale NDTF Investments	Derivatives (net)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ 66	$ -	$ -	$ 66
Total pre-tax gains included in other comprehensive income:				
Gains on available for sale securities and other	12	-	-	12
Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	(66)	45	-	(21)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	-	2	-	2
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 12	$ 47	$ -	$ 59

Progress Energy

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for assets and liabilities recorded on Progress Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral amounts which are disclosed in Note 15. See Note 17 for additional information related to investments by major security type. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	1,245	1,239	6	-
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other	643	162	481	-
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other[a]	57	17	40	-
Derivative assets[b]	11	-	11	-
Total assets	1,956	1,418	538	-
Derivative liabilities[c]	(440)	-	(402)	(38)
Net assets	$ 1,516	$ 1,418	$ 136	$ (38)

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	1,062	1,061	1	-
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other	585	87	498	-
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other[a]	20	20	-	-
Derivative assets[b]	5	-	5	-
Total assets	1,672	1,168	504	-
Derivative liabilities[c]	(799)	-	(775)	(24)
Net assets	$ 873	$ 1,168	$ (271)	$ (24)

(a) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(b) Included in Other Current Assets within Current Assets and Other within Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(c) Included in Derivative Liabilities within Current Liabilities and Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

The following tables provide a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Year Ended December 31, 2012
(in millions)	**Derivatives (net)**
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ (24)
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains included in earnings:	
Regulated electric	1
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	
Issuances	(16)
Settlements	4
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(3)
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ (38)
Pre-tax amounts included in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income related to Level 3 measurements outstanding at December 31, 2012	
Regulated electric	$ (12)
Total	$ (12)

	Year Ended December 31, 2011
(in millions)	**Derivatives (net)**
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ (36)
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(21)
Repurchase of CVOs under settlement and tender offer	60
Transfers into Level 3 - CVOs	(74)
Transfers out of Level 3 - CVOs	14
Transfers out of Level 3 - commodities	33
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ (24)

	Year Ended December 31, 2010
(in millions)	**Derivatives (net)**
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ (39)
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(44)
Transfers out of Level 3 - commodities	47
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ (36)

Progress Energy Carolinas

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for assets and liabilities recorded on Progress Energy Carolinas' Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral amounts which are disclosed in Note 15. See Note 17 for additional information related to investments by major security type. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	**811**	**811**	**-**	**-**
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other	**448**	**119**	**329**	**-**
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other[a]	**3**	**3**	**-**	**-**
Derivative assets[b]	**2**	**-**	**2**	**-**
Total assets	**1,264**	**933**	**331**	**-**
Derivative liabilities[c]	**(166)**	**-**	**(128)**	**(38)**
Net assets	**$ 1,098**	**$ 933**	**$ 203**	**$ (38)**

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	690	690	-	-
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other	398	81	317	-
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other[a]	6	6	-	-
Total assets	1,094	777	317	-
Derivative liabilities[c]	(248)	-	(224)	(24)
Net assets	$ 846	$ 777	$ 93	$ (24)

(a) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(b) Included in Other Current Assets within Current Assets and Other within Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(c) Included in Derivative Liabilities within Current Liabilities and Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

The following tables provide a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Year Ended December 31, 2012
(in millions)	**Derivatives (net)**
Balance at December 31, 2011	**$ (24)**
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:	
Regulated electric	**1**
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	
Issuances	**(16)**
Settlements	**4**
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	**(3)**
Balance at December 31, 2012	**$ (38)**
Pre-tax amounts included in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income related to Level 3 measurements outstanding at December 31, 2012	
Regulated electric	**$ (12)**
Total	**$ (12)**

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2011 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ (36)
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(20)
Transfers out of Level 3	32
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ (24)

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2010 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ (27)
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(27)
Transfers out of Level 3	18
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ (36)

Progress Energy Florida

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for assets and liabilities recorded on Progress Energy Florida's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral amounts which are disclosed in Note 15. See Note 17 for additional information related to investments by major security type. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012 Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	**435**	**429**	**6**	**-**
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other	**194**	**43**	**151**	**-**
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other[a]	**43**	**3**	**40**	**-**
Derivative assets[b]	**9**	**-**	**9**	**-**
Total assets	**681**	**475**	**206**	**-**
Derivative liabilities[c]	**(270)**	**-**	**(270)**	**-**
Net assets	$ **411**	$ **475**	$ **(64)**	$ **-**

(in millions)	December 31, 2011 Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund equity securities	372	371	1	-
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund debt securities and other	187	6	181	-
Other trading and available-for-sale debt securities and other[a]	1	1	-	-
Derivative assets[b]	5	-	5	-
Total assets	565	378	187	-
Derivative liabilities[c]	(499)	-	(499)	-
Net assets	$ 66	$ 378	$ (312)	$ -

(a) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(b) Included in Other Current Assets within Current Assets and Other within Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(c) Included in Derivative Liabilities within Current Liabilities and Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

The following tables provide a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a

recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2011 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ -
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(1)
Transfers out of Level 3	1
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ -

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2010 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ (12)
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(17)
Transfers out of Level 3 - commodities	29
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ -

Duke Energy Ohio

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Ohio's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral amounts which are disclosed in Note 15. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012 Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Derivative assets[a]	$ 59	$ 48	$ 2	$ 9
Derivative liabilities[b]	(38)	(15)	(8)	(15)
Net assets (liabilities)	$ 21	$ 33	$ (6)	$ (6)

(in millions)	December 31, 2011 Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Derivative assets[a]	$ 56	$ 42	$ 5	$ 9
Derivative liabilities[b]	(30)	(10)	(8)	(12)
Net assets (liabilities)	$ 26	$ 32	$ (3)	$ (3)

(a) Included in Other Current Assets within Current Assets and Other within Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(b) Included in Derivative Liabilities within Current Liabilities and Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2012 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ (3)
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:	
Regulated electric	1
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	(4)
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	
Settlements	1
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(1)
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ (6)

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2011 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 13
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:	
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	(4)
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	
Settlements	(14)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	2
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ (3)

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2010 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ 7
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:	
Revenue, nonregulated electric, natural gas, and other	8
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power nonregulated	(12)
Total pre-tax losses included in other comprehensive income:	
Losses on commodity cash flow hedges	(1)
Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	8
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	3
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 13
Pre-tax amounts included in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income related to Level 3 measurements outstanding at December 31, 2011:	
Revenue, nonregulated electric and other	$ 17
Total	$ 17

Duke Energy Indiana

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for assets and liabilities recorded on Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Derivative amounts in the table below exclude cash collateral amounts which are disclosed in Note 15. See Note 17 for additional information related to investments by major security type. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-sale equity securities[a]	$ 49	$ 49	$ -	$ -
Available-for-sale debt securities[a]	29	-	29	-
Derivative assets[b]	10	-	-	10
Total assets	88	49	29	$ 10
Derivative liabilities[c]	(63)	-	(63)	-
Net assets (liabilities)	$ 25	$ 49	$ (34)	$ 10

(in millions)	December 31, 2011 Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available-for-sale equity securities[a]	$ 46	$ 46	$ -	$ -
Available-for-sale debt securities[a]	28	-	28	-
Derivative assets[b]	4	-	-	4
Total assets	78	46	28	$ 4
Derivative liabilities[c]	(69)	(1)	(68)	-
Net assets (liabilities)	$ 9	$ 45	$ (40)	$ 4

(a) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(b) Included in Other within Current Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(c) Included in Other within Current Liabilities and Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2012 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 4
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:	
Regulated electric	36
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	
Sales	22
Settlements	(52)
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ 10

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2011 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 4
Total pre-tax realized or unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings:	
Regulated electric	14
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	
Purchases	8
Settlements	(21)
Total losses included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	(1)
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 4

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2010 Derivatives (net)
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ 4
Net, purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:	(15)
Total gains included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as regulatory asset or liability	15
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 4

The following table includes quantitative information about the Duke Energy Registrants' derivatives classified as Level 3.

	December 31, 2012				
Investment Type	**Fair Value (in millions)**	**Valuation Technique**	**Unobservable Input**	**Range**	
Duke Energy					
Commodity natural gas contracts	$ (53)	Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu	$ 2.33	$ 9.99
FERC mitigation power sale agreements	$ (23)	Discounted cash flow	Forward electricity curves - price per MWh	$ 25.83	- 48.69
Financial transmission rights (FTRs)	$ 11	RTO market pricing	FTR price	$ 23.63	- 39.22
Commodity power contracts	$ (8)	Discounted cash flow	Forward electricity curves - price per MWh	$ 24.82	- 77.96
Commodity capacity contracts	$ (3)	Discounted cash flow	Forward capacity curves - price per MW day	$ 95.16	- 105.36
Commodity capacity option contracts	$ 3	Discounted cash flow	Forward capacity option curves - price per MW day	$ 4.68	- 77.96
Reserves	$ (12)		Bid-ask spreads, implied volatility, probability of default		
Duke Energy Carolinas					
FERC mitigation power sale agreements	$ (12)	Discounted cash flow	Forward electricity curves - price per MWh	$ 25.83	- 48.69
Progress Energy					
Commodity natural gas contracts	$ (27)	Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu	$ 4.07	- 4.45
FERC mitigation power sale agreements	$ (11)	Discounted cash flow	Forward electricity curves - price per MWh	$ 25.83	- 48.69
Progress Energy Carolinas					
Commodity natural gas contracts	$ (27)	Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu	$ 4.07	- 4.45
FERC mitigation power sale agreements	$ (11)	Discounted cash flow	Forward electricity curves - price per MWh	$ 25.83	- 48.69
Duke Energy Ohio					
Financial transmission rights (FTRs)	$ 1	RTO market pricing	FTR price	$ 27.17	$ 39.22
Commodity power contracts	$ (1)	Discounted cash flow	Forward electricity curves - price per MWh	$ 25.90	- 57.50
Commodity natural gas contracts	$ 5	Discounted cash flow	Forward natural gas curves - price per MMBtu	$ 3.30	- 4.51
Reserves	$ (11)		Bid-ask spreads, implied volatility, probability of default		
Duke Energy Indiana					
Financial transmission rights (FTRs)	$ 10	RTO market pricing	FTR price	$ 23.63	$ 35.43

Additional Fair Value Disclosures—Long-term debt, including current maturities:

The fair value of long-term debt, including current maturities, is summarized in the following table. Judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates determined are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Duke Energy Registrants could have settled in current markets. The fair value of the long-term debt is determined using Level 2 measurements.

	As of December 31, 2012		As of December 31, 2011	
(in millions)	Book Value	Fair Value	Book Value	Fair Value
Duke Energy [a]	$ 39,461	$ 44,001	$ 20,573	$ 23,053
Duke Energy Carolinas[b]	$ 8,741	$ 10,096	$ 9,274	$ 10,629
Progress Energy	$ 14,428	$ 16,563	$ 13,152	$ 15,518
Progress Energy Carolinas	$ 4,840	$ 5,277	$ 4,206	$ 4,735
Progress Energy Florida	$ 5,320	$ 6,222	$ 4,681	$ 5,633
Duke Energy Ohio	$ 1,997	$ 2,117	$ 2,555	$ 2,688
Duke Energy Indiana	$ 3,702	$ 4,268	$ 3,459	$ 4,048

(a) Includes book value of Non-recourse long-term debt of variable interest entities of $852 million and $949 million December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(b) Includes book value of Non-recourse long-term debt of variable interest entities of $300 million at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

At both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable, notes payable and commercial paper and non-recourse notes payable of variable interest entities are not materially different from their carrying amounts because of the short-term nature of these instruments and/or because the stated rates approximate market rates.

17. INVESTMENTS IN DEBT AND EQUITY SECURITIES

The Duke Energy Registrants classify their investments in debt and equity securities into two categories – trading and available-for-sale.

Trading Securities. Investments in debt and equity securities held in grantor trusts associated with certain deferred compensation plans and certain other investments are classified as trading securities and are reported at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets with net realized and unrealized gains and losses included in earnings each period. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of these investments was $33 million and $32 million, respectively.

Available for Sale Securities. All other investments in debt and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale securities, which are also reported at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported either as a regulatory asset or liability, as discussed further below, or as a component of other comprehensive income until realized.

Duke Energy's available-for-sale securities are primarily comprised of investments held in the (i) Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund (NDTF) at Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida, (ii) investments in grantor trusts at both Duke Energy Indiana and Progress Energy Florida related to other post-retirement benefit plans as required by the IURC and FPSC, respectively, (iii) Duke Energy captive insurance investment portfolio, (iv) Duke Energy's foreign operations investment portfolio and (v) investments of Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas in auction rate debt securities.

The investments within the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and the Duke Energy Indiana and Progress Energy Florida grantor trusts are managed by independent investment managers with discretion to buy, sell and invest pursuant to the objectives set forth by the trust agreements. Therefore, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana have limited oversight of the day-to-day management of these investments. Since day-to-day investment decisions, including buy and sell decisions, are made by the investment manager, the ability to hold investments in unrealized loss positions is outside the control of Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and Duke Energy Indiana. Accordingly, all unrealized gains and losses associated with debt and equity securities within the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and the Duke Energy Indiana and Progress Energy Florida grantor trusts are considered other-than-temporary and are recognized immediately when the fair value of individual investments is less than the cost basis of the investment. Pursuant to regulatory accounting, substantially all unrealized gains and losses associated with investments in debt and equity securities within the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and the Duke Energy Indiana and Progress Energy Florida grantor trusts are deferred as a regulatory asset or liability. As a result there is no immediate impact on the earnings of Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida or Duke Energy Indiana.

For investments in debt and equity securities held in the captive insurance investment portfolio, the foreign operations investment portfolio and investments in auction rate debt securities, unrealized gains and losses are included in other comprehensive income until realized, unless it is determined that the carrying value of an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. If so, the write-down to fair value may be included in earnings based on the criteria discussed below.

For available-for-sale securities outside of the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, and the Duke Energy Indiana and Progress Energy Florida grantor trusts, which are discussed separately above, Duke Energy analyzes all investment holdings each reporting period to determine whether a decline in fair value should be considered other-than-temporary. Criteria used to evaluate whether an impairment associated with equity securities is other-than-temporary includes, but is not limited to, the length of time over which the market value has been lower than the cost basis of the investment, the percentage decline compared to the cost of the investment and management's intent and ability to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. If a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the investment is written down to its fair value through a charge to earnings.

With respect to investments in debt securities, under the accounting guidance for other-than-temporary impairment, if the entity does not have an intent to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that management will be required to sell the debt security before the recovery of its cost basis, the impairment write-down to fair value would be recorded as a component of other comprehensive income, except for when it is determined that a credit loss exists. In determining whether a credit loss exists, management considers, among other things, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis, changes in the financial condition of the issuer of the security, or in the case of an asset backed security, the financial condition of the underlying loan obligors, consideration of underlying collateral and guarantees of amounts by government entities, ability of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments and any changes to the rating of the security by rating agencies. If it is determined that a credit loss exists, the amount of impairment write-down to fair value would be split between the credit loss, which would be recognized in earnings, and the amount attributable to all other factors, which would be recognized in other comprehensive income. Management believes, based on consideration of the criteria above, that no credit loss exists as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Management does not have the intent to sell such investments in auction rate debt securities and the investments in debt securities within its captive insurance investment portfolio and foreign operations investment portfolio, and it is not more likely than not that management will be required to sell these securities before the anticipated recovery of their cost basis. Management has concluded that there were no other-than-temporary impairments for debt or equity securities necessary as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Accordingly, all changes in the market value of investments other than the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida and the Duke Energy Indiana and Progress Energy Florida grantor trusts were reflected as a component of other comprehensive income in 2012 and 2011.

See Note 16 for additional information related to fair value measurements for investments in auction rate debt securities.

Short-term and Long-term investments. Investments in debt and equity securities are classified as either short-term investments or long-term investments based on management's intent and ability to sell these securities, taking into consideration illiquidity factors in the current markets.

Duke Energy holds corporate debt securities which were purchased using excess cash from its foreign operations. These investments are classified as Short-term investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and are available for current operations of Duke Energy's foreign business. The fair value of these investments was $333 million as of December 31, 2012 and $190 million as of December 31, 2011.

Duke Energy classifies its investments in debt and equity securities held in the NDTF at Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, the Duke Energy Indiana and Progress Energy Florida grantor trusts and the captive insurance investment portfolio as long-term. Additionally, Duke Energy has classified $29 million carrying value ($34 million par value) and $71 million carrying value ($89 million par value) of investments in auction rate debt securities as long-term at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, due to market illiquidity factors as a result of continued failed auctions, and since management does not intend to use these investments in current operations. All of these investments are classified as available-for-sale and, therefore, are reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at estimated fair value based on either quoted market prices or management's best estimate of fair value based on expected future cash flow using appropriate risk-adjusted discount rates.

Duke Energy

The following table presents the estimated fair value of short-term and long-term investments for Duke Energy. For investments held within the NDTF, and investments within Grantor Trusts which are classified as Other Investments below, unrealized holding gains and losses are recognized immediately and recorded as Regulatory assets or Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
NDTF						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 105	$ -	$ -	$ 63
Equity securities	1,132	19	2,837	443	16	1,337
Corporate debt securities	21	1	338	8	2	205
Municipal bonds	12	1	194	2	-	51
U.S. government bonds	24	1	625	16	-	306
Other debt securities	10	1	164	4	4	98
Total NDTF	$ 1,199	$ 23	$ 4,263	$ 473	$ 22	$ 2,060
Other Investments						
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	17	-	-	-
Equity securities	$ 10	$ -	$ 63	$ 5	$ 2	$ 60
Corporate debt securities	2	-	381	1	1	241
Municipal bonds	4	1	70	1	-	28
U.S. government bonds	-	-	23	1	-	21
Other debt securities	1	-	86	2	-	68
Auction rate securities	-	6	29	-	17	71
Total Other Investments[a]	$ 17	$ 7	$ 669	$ 10	$ 20	$ 489
Total Investments	$ 1,216	$ 30	$ 4,932	$ 483	$ 42	$ 2,549

(a) These amounts are recorded in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities held by Duke Energy. The table below excludes auction rate securities based on the stated maturity date. See Note 16 for information about fair value measurements related to investments in auction rate debt securities.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012
Due in one year or less	$ 312
Due after one through five years	403
Due after five through 10 years	392
Due after 10 years	774
Total	$ 1,881

The fair values and gross unrealized losses of available-for-sale debt and equity securities which are in an unrealized loss position for which other-than-temporary impairment losses have not been recorded, summarized by investment type and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous loss position, are presented in the table below for Duke Energy.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months
NDTF						
Equity securities	$ 155	$ 4	$ 15	$ 111	$ 4	$ 12
Corporate debt securities	42	-	1	57	1	1
Municipal bonds	29	1	-	-	-	-
U.S. government bonds	135	-	1	8	-	-
Other debt securities	38	-	1	113	1	3
Total NDTF	$ 399	$ 5	$ 18	$ 289	$ 6	$ 16
Other Investments						
Equity securities	$ 4	$ -	$ -	$ 12	$ 1	$ 1
Corporate debt securities	7	-	-	201	1	-
Municipal bonds	18	1	-	3	-	-
U.S. government bonds	6	-	-	-	-	-
Other debt securities	21	-	-	8	-	-
Auction rate securities	29	6	-	71	17	-
Total Other Investments	$ 85	$ 7	$ -	$ 295	$ 19	$ 1
Total Investments	$ 484	$ 12	$ 18	$ 584	$ 25	$ 17

Duke Energy Carolinas

The following table presents the estimated fair value of short-term and long-term investments for Duke Energy Carolinas. For investments held within the NDTF, unrealized holding gains and losses are recognized immediately and recorded as Regulatory assets or Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
NDTF						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 40	$ -	$ -	$ 63
Equity securities	600	5	1,592	443	16	1,337
Corporate debt securities	11	1	250	8	2	205
Municipal bonds	2	-	40	2	-	51
U.S. government bonds	10	-	304	16	-	306
Other debt securities	9	2	135	4	4	98
Total NDTF	$ 632	$ 8	$ 2,361	$ 473	$ 22	$ 2,060
Other Investments						
Auction rate securities	-	1	3	-	3	12
Total Other Investments[a]	$ -	$ 1	$ 3	$ -	$ 3	$ 12
Total Investments	$ 632	$ 9	$ 2,364	$ 473	$ 25	$ 2,072

(a) These amounts are recorded in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities held by Duke Energy Carolinas. The table below excludes auction rate securities based on the stated maturity date. See Note 16 for information about fair value measurements related to investments in auction rate debt securities.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012
Due in one year or less	$ 1
Due after one through five years	153
Due after five through 10 years	201
Due after 10 years	374
Total	$ 729

The above table excludes auction rate securities based on the stated maturity date. See Note 16 for information about fair value measurements related to investments in auction rate debt securities.

The fair values and gross unrealized losses of available-for-sale debt and equity securities which are in an unrealized loss position for which other-than-temporary impairment losses have not been recorded, summarized by investment type and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous loss position, are presented in the table below for Duke Energy Carolinas.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months
NDTF						
Equity securities	$ 71	$ -	$ 5	$ 111	$ 4	$ 12
Corporate debt securities	35	-	1	57	1	1
Municipal bonds	3	-	-	-	-	-
U.S. government bonds	62	-	-	8	-	-
Other debt securities	36	-	2	113	1	3
Total NDTF	$ 207	$ -	$ 8	$ 289	$ 6	$ 16
Other Investments						
Auction rate securities	3	1	-	12	3	-
Total Other Investments	$ 3	$ 1	$ -	$ 12	$ 3	$ -
Total Investments	$ 210	$ 1	$ 8	$ 301	$ 9	$ 16

Progress Energy

The following table presents the estimated fair value of short-term and long-term investments for Progress Energy. For investments held within the NDTF, and investments within Grantor Trusts which are classified as Other Investments below, unrealized holding gains and losses are recognized immediately and recorded as Regulatory assets or Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
NDTF						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 65	$ -	$ -	$ 56
Equity securities	532	14	1,245	412	29	1,062
Corporate debt securities	9	-	89	6	-	86
Municipal bonds	11	1	154	7	2	127
U.S. government bonds	14	-	321	18	-	268
Other debt securities	1	-	28	1	-	31
Total NDTF	$ 567	$ 15	$ 1,902	$ 444	$ 31	$ 1,630
Other Investments						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 17	$ -	$ -	$ 20
Municipal bonds	3	-	40	-	-	-
Total Other Investments[a]	$ 3	$ -	$ 57	$ -	$ -	$ 20
Total Investments	$ 570	$ 15	$ 1,959	$ 444	$ 31	$ 1,650

(a) These amounts are recorded in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities held by Progress Energy.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012
Due in one year or less	$ 26
Due after one through five years	134
Due after five through 10 years	154
Due after 10 years	318
Total	$ 632

The fair values and gross unrealized losses of available-for-sale debt and equity securities which are in an unrealized loss position for which other-than-temporary impairment losses have not been recorded, summarized by investment type and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous loss position, are presented in the table below for Progress Energy.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months
NDTF						
Equity securities	$ 83	$ 4	$ 10	$ 112	$ 10	$ 19
Corporate debt securities	6	-	-	20	-	-
Municipal bonds	26	-	-	21	2	-
U.S. government bonds	74	-	1	(23)	-	-
Other debt securities	2	-	-	6	-	-
Total NDTF	$ 191	$ 4	$ 11	$ 136	$ 12	$ 19
Other						
Municipal bonds	$ 7	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Other debt securities	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Other	$ 7	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Total Investments	$ 198	$ 4	$ 11	$ 136	$ 12	$ 19

Progress Energy Carolinas

The following table presents the estimated fair value of short-term and long-term investments for Progress Energy Carolinas. For investments held within the NDTF, and investments within Grantor Trusts which are classified as Other Investments below, unrealized holding gains and losses are recognized immediately and recorded as Regulatory assets or Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
NDTF						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 55	$ -	$ -	$ 49
Equity securities	337	11	811	262	20	690
Corporate debt securities	8	-	78	5	-	69
Municipal bonds	4	-	80	3	-	55
U.S. government bonds	13	-	241	16	-	225
Other debt securities	1	-	10	1	-	13
Total NDTF	$ 363	$ 11	$ 1,275	$ 287	$ 20	$ 1,101
Other Investments						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 3	$ -	$ -	$ 6
Total Other Investments[a]	$ -	$ -	$ 3	$ -	$ -	$ 6
Total Investments	$ 363	$ 11	$ 1,278	$ 287	$ 20	$ 1,107

(a) These amounts are recorded in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities held by Progress Energy Carolinas.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012
Due in one year or less	$ 15
Due after one through five years	116
Due after five through 10 years	70
Due after 10 years	208
Total	$ 409

The fair values and gross unrealized losses of available-for-sale debt and equity securities which are in an unrealized loss position for which other-than-temporary impairment losses have not been recorded, summarized by investment type and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous loss position, are presented in the table below for Progress Energy Carolinas.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months
NDTF						
Equity securities	$ 59	$ 2	$ 9	$ 69	$ 10	$ 10
Corporate debt securities	6	-	-	10	-	-
Municipal bonds	18	-	-	8	-	-
U.S. government bonds	49	-	-	9	-	-
Other debt securities	1	-	-	2	-	-
Total NDTF	$ 133	$ 2	$ 9	$ 98	$ 10	$ 10

Progress Energy Florida

The following table presents the estimated fair value of short-term and long-term investments for Progress Energy Florida. For investments held within the NDTF, and investments within Grantor Trusts which are classified as Other Investments below, unrealized holding gains and losses are recognized immediately and recorded as Regulatory assets or Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
NDTF						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 10	$ -	$ -	$ 7
Equity securities	194	4	434	150	9	372
Corporate debt securities	1	-	11	1	-	17
Municipal bonds	7	-	74	4	2	72
U.S. government bonds	1	-	80	2	-	43
Other debt securities	1	-	18	-	-	18
Total NDTF	$ 204	$ 4	$ 627	$ 157	$ 11	$ 529
Other Investments						
Cash and cash equivalents	$ -	$ -	$ 1	$ -	$ -	$ 1
Municipal bonds	3	-	40	-	-	-
Total Other Investments[a]	$ 3	$ -	$ 41	$ -	$ -	$ 1
Total Investments	$ 207	$ 4	$ 668	$ 157	$ 11	$ 530

(a) These amounts are recorded in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance sheets.

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities held by Progress Energy Florida.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012
Due in one year or less	$ 10
Due after one through five years	18
Due after five through 10 years	84
Due after 10 years	111
Total	$ 223

The fair values and gross unrealized losses of available-for-sale debt and equity securities which are in an unrealized loss position for which other-than-temporary impairment losses have not been recorded, summarized by investment type and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous loss position, are presented in the table below for Progress Energy Florida.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months
NDTF						
Equity securities	$ 24	$ 2	$ 1	$ 43	$ -	$ 9
Corporate debt securities	-	-	-	10	-	-
Municipal bonds	8	1	-	13	2	-
U.S. government bonds	25	-	-	(32)	-	-
Other debt securities	1	-	-	4	-	-
Total NDTF	$ 58	$ 3	$ 1	$ 38	$ 2	$ 9
Other						
Municipal bonds	$ 7	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Other	$ 7	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Total Investments	$ 65	$ 3	$ 1	$ 38	$ 2	$ 9

Duke Energy Indiana

The following table presents the estimated fair value of short-term and long-term investments for Duke Energy Indiana. Unrealized holding gains and losses on these investments are recognized immediately and recorded as Regulatory assets or Regulatory liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Other Investments						
Equity securities	$ 9	$ -	$ 50	$ 5	$ 1	$ 46
Municipal bonds	1	-	28	1	-	28
Total Other Investments[a]	$ 10	$ -	$ 78	$ 6	$ 1	$ 74
Total Investments	$ 10	$ -	$ 78	$ 6	$ 1	$ 74

(a) These amounts are recorded in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The table below summarizes the maturity date for debt securities held by Duke Energy Indiana.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012
Due in one year or less	$ 1
Due after one through five years	21
Due after five through 10 years	3
Due after 10 years	3
Total	$ 28

The fair values and gross unrealized losses of available-for-sale debt and equity securities which are in an unrealized loss position for which other-than-temporary impairment losses have not been recorded, summarized by investment type and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous loss position, are presented in the table below for Duke Energy Indiana.

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
(in millions)	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss Position >12 months	Unrealized Loss Position <12 months
Other Investments						
Equity securities	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 8	$ -	$ 1
Municipal bonds	12	-	-	3	-	-
Total Other Investments	$ 12	$ -	$ -	$ 11	$ -	$ 1
Total Investments	$ 12	$ -	$ -	$ 11	$ -	$ 1

18. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

A VIE is an entity that is evaluated for consolidation using more than a simple analysis of voting control. The analysis to determine whether an entity is a VIE considers contracts with an entity, credit support for an entity, the adequacy of the equity investment of an entity and the relationship of voting power to the amount of equity invested in an entity. This analysis is performed either upon the creation of a legal entity or upon the occurrence of an event requiring reevaluation, such as a significant change in an entity's assets or activities. If an entity is determined to be a VIE, a qualitative analysis of control determines the party that consolidates a VIE based on what party has the power to direct the most significant activities of the VIE that impact its economic performance as well as what party has rights to receive benefits or is obligated to absorb losses that are significant to the VIE. The analysis of the party that consolidates a VIE is a continual reassessment.

Consolidated VIEs

The table below shows the VIEs that Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas consolidate and how these entities impact Duke Energy's and Duke Energy Carolinas' respective Consolidated Balance Sheets. None of these entities are consolidated by Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Florida, Duke Energy Ohio or Duke Energy Indiana.

Other than the discussion below related to CRC, no financial support was provided to any of the consolidated VIEs during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, or is expected to be provided in the future, that was not previously contractually required.

	December 31, 2012					
(in millions)	**DERF[a]**	**CRC**	**CinCapV**	**Renewables**	**Other**	**Total**
Restricted Receivables of VIEs	$ 637	$ 534	$ 15	$ 16	$ (1)	$ 1,201
Other Current Assets	-	-	4	133	2	139
Intangibles, net	-	-	-	12	-	12
Restricted Other Assets of VIEs	-	-	52	2	-	54
Other Assets	-	-	10	-	2	12
Property, Plant and Equipment, Cost	-	-	-	1,543	15	1,558
Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	-	-	-	(98)	(5)	(103)
Other Deferred Debits	-	-	-	40	-	40
Total Assets	637	534	81	1,648	13	2,913
Accounts Payable	-	-	-	1	-	1
Non-Recourse Notes Payable	-	312	-	-	-	312
Taxes Accrued	-	-	-	62	-	62
Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt	-	-	13	459	-	472
Other Current Liabilities	-	-	4	25	-	29
Non-Recourse Long-Term Debt	300	-	48	504	-	852
Deferred Income Taxes	-	-	-	154	-	154
Asset Retirement Obligations	-	-	-	23	-	23
Other Liabilities	-	-	10	39	-	49
Total Liabilities	300	312	75	1,267	-	1,954
Noncontrolling Interests	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Assets of Consolidated VIEs	$ 337	$ 222	$ 6	$ 381	$ 13	$ 959

(a) DERF is a wholly owned limited liability company of Duke Energy Carolinas.

	December 31, 2011					
(in millions)	**DERF[a]**	**CRC**	**CinCapV**	**Renewables**	**Other**	**Total**
Restricted Receivables of VIEs	$ 581	$ 547	$ 13	$ 13	$ 3	$ 1,157
Other Current Assets	-	-	2	124	8	134
Intangibles, net	-	-	-	12	-	12
Restricted Other Assets of VIEs	-	-	65	10	60	135
Other Assets	-	-	14	36	-	50
Property, Plant and Equipment, Cost	-	-	-	913	-	913
Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	-	-	-	(62)	-	(62)
Other Deferred Debits	-	-	-	24	2	26
Total Assets	581	547	94	1,070	73	2,365
Accounts Payable	-	-	-	1	1	2
Non-Recourse Notes Payable	-	273	-	-	-	273
Taxes Accrued	-	-	-	3	-	3
Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt	-	-	11	49	5	65
Other Current Liabilities	-	-	3	59	-	62
Non-Recourse Long-Term Debt	300	-	60	528	61	949
Deferred Income Taxes	-	-	-	160	-	160
Asset Retirement Obligation	-	-	-	13	-	13
Other Liabilities	-	-	13	37	-	50
Total Liabilities	300	273	87	850	67	1,577
Noncontrolling Interests	-	-	-	-	1	1
Net Assets of Consolidated VIEs	$ 281	$ 274	$ 7	$ 220	$ 5	$ 787

(a) DERF is a wholly owned limited liability company of Duke Energy Carolinas.

DERF. Duke Energy Carolinas securitizes certain accounts receivable through DERF, a bankruptcy remote, special purpose subsidiary. DERF is a wholly owned limited liability company of Duke Energy Carolinas with a separate legal existence from its parent, and its assets are not intended to be generally available to creditors of Duke Energy Carolinas. As a result of the securitization, on a daily basis Duke Energy Carolinas sells certain accounts receivable, arising from the sale of electricity and/or related services as part of Duke Energy Carolinas' franchised electric business, to DERF. In order to fund its purchases of accounts receivable, DERF has a $300 million secured credit facility with a commercial paper conduit, which expires in August 2014. Duke Energy Carolinas provides the servicing for the receivables (collecting and applying the cash to the appropriate receivables). Duke Energy Carolinas' borrowing under the credit facility is limited to the amount of qualified receivables sold, which has been and is expected to be in excess of the amount borrowed, which is maintained at $300 million. The debt is classified as long-term since the facility has an expiration date of greater than one year from the balance sheet date.

The obligations of DERF under the facility are non-recourse to Duke Energy Carolinas. Duke Energy and its subsidiaries have no requirement to provide liquidity, purchase assets of DERF or guarantee performance. DERF is considered a VIE because the equity capitalization is insufficient to support its operations. If deficiencies in the net worth of DERF were to occur, those deficiencies would be cured through funding from Duke Energy Carolinas. In addition, the most significant activity of DERF relates to the decisions made with respect to the management of delinquent receivables. Since those decisions are made by Duke Energy Carolinas and any net worth deficiencies of DERF would be cured through funding from Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Carolinas consolidates DERF.

CRC. CRC was formed in order to secure low cost financing for Duke Energy Ohio, including Duke Energy Kentucky, and Duke Energy Indiana. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana sell on a revolving basis at a discount, nearly all of their customer accounts receivable and related collections to CRC. The receivables which are sold are selected in order to avoid any significant concentration of credit risk and exclude delinquent receivables. The receivables sold are securitized by CRC through a facility managed by two unrelated third parties and the receivables are used as collateral for commercial paper issued by the unrelated third parties. These loans provide the cash portion of the proceeds paid by CRC to Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. The proceeds obtained by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana from the sales of receivables are cash and a subordinated note from CRC (subordinated retained interest in the sold receivables) for a portion of the purchase price (typically approximates 25 percent of the total proceeds). The amount borrowed by CRC against these receivables is non-recourse to the general credit of Duke Energy, and the associated cash collections from the accounts receivable sold is the sole source of funds to satisfy the related debt obligation. Borrowing is limited to approximately 75% of the transferred receivables. Losses on collection in excess of the discount are first absorbed by the equity of CRC and next by the subordinated retained interests held by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. The discount on the receivables reflects interest expense plus an allowance for bad debts net of a servicing fee charged by Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are responsible for the servicing of the receivables (collecting and applying the cash to the appropriate receivables). Depending on the experience with collections, additional equity infusions to CRC may be required to be made by Duke Energy in order to maintain a minimum equity balance of $3 million. There were no infusions to CRC during the year ended December 31, 2012. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, Duke Energy infused $6 million and $10 million of equity to CRC to remedy net worth deficiencies. The amount borrowed fluctuates based on the amount of receivables sold. The debt is short term because the facility has an expiration date of less than one year from the balance sheet date. The current expiration date is November 2013. CRC is considered a VIE because the equity capitalization is insufficient to support its operations, the power to direct the most significant activities of the entity are not performed by the equity holder, Cinergy, and deficiencies in the net worth of CRC are not funded by Cinergy, but by Duke Energy. The most significant activity of CRC relates to the decisions made with respect to the management of delinquent receivables. These decisions, as well as the requirement to make up deficiencies in net worth, are made by Duke Energy and not by Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Kentucky or Duke Energy Indiana. Thus, Duke Energy consolidates CRC. Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana do not consolidate CRC.

CinCap V. CinCap V was created to finance and execute a power sale agreement with Central Maine Power Company for approximately 35 MW of capacity and energy. This agreement expires in 2016. CinCap V is considered a VIE because the equity capitalization is insufficient to support its operations. As Duke Energy has the power to direct the most significant activities of the entity, which are the decisions to hedge and finance the power sales agreement, CinCap V is consolidated by Duke Energy.

Renewables. Duke Energy's renewable energy facilities include Green Frontier Windpower, LLC, Top of The World Wind Energy LLC, Los Vientos Windpower1A LLC, Los Vientos Windpower 1B, LLC and various solar projects, all subsidiaries of DEGS, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy.

Green Frontier Windpower, LLC, Top of the World Wind Energy, LLC and the various solar projects are VIEs due to power purchase agreements with terms that approximate the expected life of the projects. These fixed price agreements effectively transfer the commodity price risk to the buyer of the power. Duke Energy has consolidated these entities since inception because the most significant activities that impact the economic performance of these renewable energy facilities were the decisions associated with the siting, negotiation of the purchase power agreement, engineering, procurement and construction, and decisions associated with ongoing operations and maintenance related activities, all of which were made solely by Duke Energy.

The debt held by these renewable energy facilities is non-recourse to the general credit of Duke Energy. Duke Energy and its subsidiaries have no requirement to provide liquidity or purchase the assets of these renewable energy facilities. Duke Energy does not guarantee performance except for the production tax credit guarantee mentioned above, an immaterial multi-purpose letter of credit and various immaterial debt service reserve and operations and maintenance reserve guarantees. The assets are restricted and they cannot be pledged as collateral or sold to third parties without the prior approval of the debt holders.

Other. Duke Energy has other VIEs with restricted assets and non-recourse debt. As of December 31, 2011 these VIEs included certain on-site power generation facilities which were sold in 2012. Duke Energy consolidated these particular on-site power generation entities because Duke Energy had the power to direct the majority of the most significant activities, which, most notably involved the oversight of operation and maintenance related activities that impact the economic performance of these entities.

Non-consolidated VIEs

The tables below show the VIEs that the Duke Energy Registrants do not consolidate and how these entities impact the Duke Energy Registrants respective Consolidated Balance Sheets. As discussed above, while Duke Energy consolidated CRC, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana do not consolidate CRC as they are not the primary beneficiary.

	December 31, 2012						
	Duke Energy						
(in millions)	DukeNet	Renewables	FPC Capital I Trust[a]	Other	Total	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Receivables	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 97	$ 116
Investments in equity method unconsolidated affiliates	118	147	-	27	292	-	-
Intangibles	-	-	-	104	104	104	-
Investments and other assets			9	2	11		
Total assets	118	147	9	133	407	201	116
Other current liabilities	-	-	-	3	3	-	-
Deferred credits and other liabilities	-	-	319	17	336	-	-
Total liabilities	-	-	319	20	339	-	-
Net assets (liabilities)	$ 118	$ 147	$ (310)	$ 113	$ 68	$ 201	$ 116

(a) The entire balance of Investments and other assets and $274 million of the Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities balance applies to Progress Energy.

	December 31, 2011						
	Duke Energy						
(in millions)	DukeNet	Renewables	Other	Total	Progress Energy	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Receivables	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 129	$ 139
Investments in equity method unconsolidated affiliates	129	81	25	235	9	-	-
Intangibles	-	-	111	111	-	111	-
Total assets	129	81	136	346	9	240	139
Other current liabilities	-	-	3	3	-	-	-
Deferred credits and other liabilities	-	-	18	18	273	-	-
Total liabilities	-	-	21	21	273	-	-
Net assets	$ 129	$ 81	$ 115	$ 325	$ (264)	$ 240	$ 139

No financial support that was not previously contractually required was provided to any of the unconsolidated VIEs during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, or is expected to be provided in the future.

With the exception of the power purchase agreement with the Ohio Valley Electric Corporation (OVEC), which is discussed below, and various guarantees, reflected in the table above as "Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities", the Duke Energy Registrants are not aware of any situations where the maximum exposure to loss significantly exceeds the carrying values shown above.

DukeNet. In 2010, Duke Energy sold a 50% ownership interest in DukeNet to Alinda. The sale resulted in DukeNet becoming a joint venture with Duke Energy and Alinda each owning a 50% interest. In connection with the formation of the new DukeNet joint venture, a 5-year, $150 million senior secured credit facility was executed with a syndicate of 10 external financial institutions. This credit facility is non-recourse to Duke Energy. DukeNet is considered a VIE because it has entered into certain contractual arrangements that provide DukeNet with additional forms of subordinated financial support. The most significant activities that impact DukeNet's economic performance relate to its business development and fiber optic capacity marketing and management activities. The power to direct these activities is jointly and equally shared by Duke Energy and Alinda. As a result, Duke Energy does not consolidate the DukeNet. Accordingly, DukeNet is a non-consolidated VIE that is reported as an equity method investment.

Unless consent by Duke Energy is given otherwise, Duke Energy and its subsidiaries have no requirement to provide liquidity, purchase the assets of DukeNet, or guarantee performance.

Renewables. Duke Energy has investments in various entities that generate electricity through the use of renewable energy technology. Some of these entities are VIEs which are not consolidated due to the joint ownership of the entities when they were created and the power to direct and control key activities is shared jointly. Instead, Duke Energy's investment is recorded under the equity method of accounting. These entities are VIEs due to power purchase agreements with terms that approximate the expected life of the project. These fixed price agreements effectively transfer the

commodity price risk to the buyer of the power.

DS Cornerstone, LLC, a 50/50 joint venture entity with a third-party joint venture partner, owns two windpower projects and has executed a third party financing against the two windpower projects. DS Cornerstone was a consolidated VIE of Duke Energy through August 31, 2012, as the members equity was not sufficient to support the operations of the joint venture as demonstrated by the third party financing. Duke Energy provided a Production Tax Credit (PTC) Remedy Agreement to the joint venture partner whereby Duke Energy guaranteed the two windpower projects would achieve commercial operation in 2012 and an agreed to number of wind turbines would qualify for production tax credits. In the event the agreed to number of wind turbines of the two wind generating facilities failed to qualify, the joint venture partner had the option to put its equity ownership interest back to Duke Energy. The PTC Remedy Agreement resulted in greater loss exposure to Duke Energy and, as a result, Duke Energy consolidated DS Cornerstone, LLC through August 31, 2012, until both projects reached commercial operation and the appropriate number of wind turbines qualified for PTC. As of December 31, 2012, DS Cornerstone is a non-consolidated VIE. The most significant activities that impact DS Cornerstone's economic performance are the decisions related to the ongoing operations and maintenance activities. The power to direct these activities is jointly and equally shared by Duke Energy and Sumitomo. As a result, Duke Energy does not consolidate the DS Cornerstone. Accordingly, DS Cornerstone is a non-consolidated VIE that is reported as an equity method investment.

FPC Capital I Trust. Progress Energy has variable interests in the FPC Capital I Trust (the Trust) which is a VIE of which Duke Energy is not the primary beneficiary. The Trust, a finance subsidiary, was established in 1999 for the sole purpose of issuing $300 million of 7.10% Cumulative Quarterly Income Preferred Securities due 2039, and using the proceeds thereof to purchase from Florida Progress Funding Corporation (Funding Corp.), a wholly owned subsidiary of Progress Energy, $300 million of 7.10% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Notes due 2039. The Trust has no other operations and its sole assets are the subordinated notes and related guarantees. Funding Corp. was formed for the sole purpose of providing financing to Progress Energy Florida and its subsidiaries. Funding Corp. does not engage in business activities other than such financing and has no independent operations. Progress Energy has guaranteed the payments of all distributions required by the trust.

Other. Duke Energy has investments in various other entities that are VIEs which are not consolidated. The most significant of these investments is Duke Energy Ohio's 9% ownership interest in OVEC. Through its ownership interest in OVEC, Duke Energy Ohio has a contractual arrangement through June 2040 to buy power from OVEC's power plants. The proceeds from the sale of power by OVEC to its power purchase agreement counterparties, including Duke Energy Ohio, are designed to be sufficient for OVEC to meet its operating expenses, fixed costs, debt amortization and interest expense, as well as earn a return on equity. Accordingly, the value of this contract is subject to variability due to fluctuations in power prices and changes in OVEC's costs of business, including costs associated with its 2,256 megawatts of coal-fired generation capacity. As discussed in Note 5, the proposed rulemaking on cooling water intake structures, MATS, CSAPR and CCP's could increase the costs of OVEC which would be passed through to Duke Energy Ohio. The initial carrying value of this contract was recorded as an intangible asset when Duke Energy acquired Cinergy in April 2006.

In addition, the company has guaranteed the performance of certain entities in which the company no longer has an equity interest. As a result, the company has a variable interest in certain other VIEs that are non-consolidated.

CRC. As discussed above, CRC is consolidated only by Duke Energy. Accordingly, the retained interest in the sold receivables recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are eliminated in consolidation at Duke Energy.

The proceeds obtained from the sales of receivables are largely cash but do include a subordinated note from CRC for a portion of the purchase price (typically approximates 25% of the total proceeds). The subordinated note is a retained interest (right to receive a specified portion of cash flows from the sold assets) and is classified within Receivables in Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The retained interests reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana approximate fair value.

The carrying values of the retained interests are determined by allocating the carrying value of the receivables between the assets sold and the interests retained based on relative fair value. Because the receivables generally turnover in less than two months, credit losses are reasonably predictable due to the broad customer base and lack of significant concentration, and the purchased beneficial interest (equity in CRC) is subordinate to all retained interests and thus would absorb losses first, the allocated basis of the subordinated notes are not materially different than their face value. The hypothetical effect on the fair value of the retained interests assuming both a 10% and a 20% unfavorable variation in credit losses or discount rates is not material due to the short turnover of receivables and historically low credit loss history. Interest accrues to Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana on the retained interests using the acceptable yield method, which generally approximates the stated rate on the notes since the allocated basis and the face value are nearly equivalent. An impairment charge is recorded against the carrying value of both the retained interests and purchased beneficial interest whenever it is determined that an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. The key assumptions used in estimating the fair value in 2012 and 2011 is detailed in the following table:

	Duke Energy Ohio		Duke Energy Indiana	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Anticipated credit loss ratio	0.7 %	0.8 %	0.3 %	0.4 %
Discount rate	1.2 %	2.6 %	1.2 %	2.6 %
Receivable turnover rate	12.7 %	12.7 %	10.2 %	10.2 %

The following table shows the gross and net receivables sold:

	Duke Energy Ohio		Duke Energy Indiana	
	December 31,		December 31,	
(in millions)	2012	2011	2012	2011
Receivables sold	$ 282	$ 302	$ 289	$ 279
Less: Retained interests	97	129	116	139
Net receivables sold	$ 185	$ 173	$ 173	$ 140

The following tables show the retained interests, sales, and cash flows related to receivables sold:

	Duke Energy Ohio			Duke Energy Indiana		
	Years Ended December 31,			Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
Sales						
Receivables sold	$ 2,154	$ 2,390	$ 2,858	$ 2,773	$ 2,658	$ 2,537
Loss recognized on sale	$ 13	$ 21	$ 26	$ 12	$ 16	$ 17
Cash Flows						
Cash proceeds from receivables sold	$ 2,172	$ 2,474	$ 2,809	$ 2,784	$ 2,674	$ 2,474
Collection fees received	$ 1	$ 1	$ 1	$ 1	$ 1	$ 1
Return received on retained interests	$ 5	$ 12	$ 15	$ 7	$ 13	$ 13

Cash flows from the sale of receivables are reflected within Operating Activities on Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Collection fees received in connection with the servicing of transferred accounts receivable are included in Operation, Maintenance and Other on Duke Energy Ohio's and Duke Energy Indiana's Consolidated Statements of Operations. The loss recognized on the sale of receivables is calculated monthly by multiplying the receivables sold during the month by the required discount which is derived monthly utilizing a three year weighted average formula that considers charge-off history, late charge history, and turnover history on the sold receivables, as well as a component for the time value of money. The discount rate, or component for the time value of money, is calculated monthly by summing the prior month-end LIBOR plus a fixed rate of 1.00 percent as of December 31, 2012, as compared to prior month-end LIBOR plus 2.39 percent as of December 31, 2011.

19. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE (EPS)

Basic Earnings Per Share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, adjusted for distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities, by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities, by the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common stock, such as stock options, phantom shares and stock-based performance unit awards were exercised or settled.

Duke Energy

On July 2, 2012, just prior to the close of the merger with Progress Energy, Duke Energy executed a one-for-three reverse stock split. All earnings per share amounts included in this 10-K are presented as if the one-for-three reverse stock split had been effective January 1, 2010. The following table presents Duke Energy's basic and diluted EPS calculations and reconciles the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding to the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding.

(In millions, except per-share amounts)	Income	Average Shares	EPS
2012			
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic	$ 1,727	574	$ 3.01
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options, performance and restricted stock		1	
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — diluted	$ 1,727	575	$ 3.01
2011			
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic and diluted	$ 1,702	444	$ 3.83
2010			
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic	$ 1,315	439	$ 2.99
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options, performance and restricted stock		1	
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — diluted	$ 1,315	440	$ 2.99

As of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, 1 million, 3 million and 5 million, respectively, of stock options and performance and unvested stock awards were not included in the dilutive securities calculation in the above table because either the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares during those periods, or performance measures related to the awards had not yet been met.

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, Duke Energy began issuing authorized but previously unissued shares of common stock to fulfill obligations under its Dividend Reinvestment Plan (DRIP) and other internal plans, including 401(k) plans. During the year ended December 31, 2010, Duke Energy received proceeds of $288 million from the sale of common stock associated with these plans. Proceeds from the sale of common stock associated with these plans were not significant in 2012 and 2011. Duke Energy has discontinued issuing new shares of common stock under the DRIP.

Progress Energy

The following tables represent Progress Energy's earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(In millions, except per-share amounts)	Income	Average Shares	EPS
2011			
Income from continuing operations attributable to Progress Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic and diluted	$ 580	296	$ 1.96
2010			
Income from continuing operations attributable to Progress Energy common shareholders, as adjusted for participating securities — basic and diluted	$ 860	291	$ 2.96

As of December 31, 2010, Progress Energy had 1 million stock options outstanding which were not included in the dilutive securities calculation in the above table because either the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of common shares during those periods, or performance measures related to the awards had not yet been met.

20. PREFERRED STOCK OF SUBSIDIARIES

All of Duke Energy's and Progress Energy's preferred stock was issued by Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida to third-party holders prior to the July 2, 2012 merger with Progress Energy. The preferred stock contains certain provisions that could require redemption of the preferred stock for cash. In the event dividends payable on Progress Energy Carolinas' or Progress Energy Florida's preferred stock are in default for an amount equivalent to or exceeding four quarterly dividend payments, the holders of the preferred stock are entitled to elect a majority of Progress Energy Carolinas' or Progress Energy Florida's respective board of directors until all accrued and unpaid dividends are paid. All classes of preferred stock are entitled to cumulative dividends with preference to the common stock dividends, are redeemable by vote of the Progress Energy Carolinas' or Progress Energy Florida's respective board of directors at any time, and do not have any preemptive rights. All classes of preferred stock have a liquidation preference equal to $100 per share plus any accumulated unpaid dividends except for Progress Energy Florida's 4.75%, $100 par value class, which does not have a liquidation preference. Each holder of Progress Energy Carolinas' preferred stock is entitled to one vote. The holders of Progress Energy Florida's preferred stock have no right to vote except for certain circumstances involving dividends payable on preferred stock that are in default or certain matters affecting the rights and preferences of the preferred stock.

On February 6, 2013, notices of redemption for all series of Progress Energy Carolinas' and Progress Energy Florida's outstanding preferred stock and serial preferred stock were sent to shareholders. The preferred stock and serial preferred stock will be redeemed on March 8, 2013, at the redemption prices listed below plus accrued dividends using available cash on hand and short-term borrowings. Funds sufficient to pay the redemption price for each series have been deployed with a bank, acting as paying agent, with irrevocable instructions to pay the holders at the respective redemption prices, and, as a result, under North Carolina law and the Charter of Progress Energy Carolinas, the holders of the preferred stock have ceased to be stockholders.

The following table shows preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(in millions, except share and per share data)	Shares Authorized	Shares Outstanding	Redemption Price	Total
Progress Energy Carolinas				
Cumulative, no par value $5 Preferred Stock	300,000	236,997	$ 110.00	$ 24
Cumulative, no par value Serial Preferred Stock	20,000,000			
$4.20 Serial Preferred		100,000	102.00	10
$5.44 Serial Preferred		249,850	101.00	25
Cumulative, no par value Preferred Stock A	5,000,000	-	-	-
No par value Preference Stock	10,000,000	-	-	-
Total Progress Energy Carolinas				59
Progress Energy Florida				
Cumulative, $100 par value Preferred Stock	4,000,000			
4.00% Preferred		39,980	104.25	4
4.40% Preferred		75,000	102.00	8
4.58% Preferred		99,990	101.00	10
4.60% Preferred		39,997	103.25	4
4.75% Preferred		80,000	102.00	8
Cumulative, no par value Preferred Stock	5,000,000	-	-	-
$100 par value Preference Stock	1,000,000	-	-	-
Total Progress Energy Florida				34
Total preferred stock of subsidiaries				$ 93

21. SEVERANCE

2011 Severance Plan. In conjunction with the merger with Progress Energy, in November 2011 Duke Energy and Progress Energy offered a voluntary severance plan to certain eligible employees. As this was a voluntary severance plan, all severance benefits offered under this plan are considered special termination benefits under U.S. GAAP. Special termination benefits are measured upon employee acceptance and recorded immediately absent any significant retention period. If a significant retention period exists, the cost of the special termination benefits are recorded ratably over the retention period. Approximately 1,100 employees from Duke Energy and Progress Energy requested severance during the voluntary window, which closed on November 30, 2011. The estimated amount of severance payments associated with this voluntary plan and other severance benefits through 2014, excluding amounts incurred through December 31, 2012, are expected to range from $30 million to $60 million and most of the costs will be charged to Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida.

Additionally, in the third quarter of 2012, a voluntary severance plan was offered to certain unionized employees of Duke Energy Ohio. Approximately 75 employees accepted the termination benefits during the voluntary window, which closed on October 8, 2012. The expense associated with this plan was not material.

In conjunction with the retirement of the Crystal River Nuclear Plant Unit 3, severance benefits will be made available to certain eligible impacted

unionized and non-unionized employees, to the extent that those employees do not find job opportunities at other locations. Approximately 600 employees work at Crystal River Nuclear Plant Unit 3. Duke Energy is currently determining which employees will be impacted by the retirement and therefore offered severance benefits. Future severance expense Duke Energy expects to incur at Progress Energy Florida is currently not estimable as total number of employees impacted and job classifications and functions have not yet been determined.

2010 Severance Plans. During 2010, the majority of severance charges were related to a voluntary severance plan whereby eligible employees were provided a window during which to accept termination benefits. As this was a voluntary plan, all severance benefits offered under this plan were also considered special termination benefits under U.S. GAAP and accorded the same accounting treatment as discussed above. Approximately 900 employees accepted the termination benefits during the voluntary window, which closed March 31, 2010.

Amounts included in the table below represent direct and allocated severance and related expense recorded by the Duke Energy Registrants, and are recorded in Operation, maintenance, and other within Operating Expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Duke Energy Registrants recorded insignificant amounts for severance expense during 2011 for past and ongoing severance plans.

	Years Ended December 31,	
(in millions)	2012	2010
Duke Energy[a]	$ 201	$ 172
Duke Energy Carolinas	63	99
Progress Energy [b]	82	-
Progress Energy Carolinas[b]	55	-
Progress Energy Florida[b]	27	-
Duke Energy Ohio	21	24
Duke Energy Indiana	18	33

(a) Includes $14 million of accelerated stock award expense and $19 million of COBRA and healthcare reimbursement expenses for 2012.
(b) The Progress Energy Registrants amounts for severance expense during 2010 are not material.

Amounts included in the table below represent the severance liability for past and ongoing severance plans. Amounts for Subsidiary Registrants do not include allocated expense or associated cash payments. Amounts for Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are not material.

(in millions)	Balance at December 31, 2011	Provision / Adjustments	Cash Reductions	Balance at December 31, 2012
Duke Energy	$ 32	$ 171	$ (68)	$ 135
Duke Energy Carolinas	1	21	(10)	12
Progress Energy	5	71	(33)	43
Progress Energy Carolinas	5	35	(17)	23
Progress Energy Florida	-	12	(6)	6

As part of Duke Energy Carolinas' 2011 rate case, the NCUC approved the recovery of $101 million of previously recorded expenses related to a prior year Voluntary Opportunity Plan. This amount was recorded as a reduction to Operation, maintenance, and other within Operating Expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and recognized as a Regulatory asset on the Consolidated Balance Sheets in 2012.

22. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

For employee awards, equity classified stock-based compensation cost is measured at the service inception date or the grant date, based on the estimated achievement of certain performance metrics or the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense or capitalized as a component of property, plant and equipment over the requisite service period.

Duke Energy's 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2010 Plan) reserved 25 million shares of common stock for awards to employees and outside directors. The 2010 Plan supersedes the 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2006 Plan), and no additional grants will be made from the 2006 Plan. Under the 2010 Plan, the exercise price of each option granted cannot be less than the market price of Duke Energy's common stock on the date of grant and the maximum option term is 10 years. The vesting periods range from immediate to three years. Duke Energy has historically issued new shares upon exercising or vesting of share-based awards. In 2013, Duke Energy may use a combination of new share issuances and open market repurchases for share-based awards that are exercised or become vested; however, Duke Energy has not determined with certainty the amount of such new share issuances or open market repurchases.

The 2010 Plan allows for a maximum of 6.25 million shares of common stock to be issued under various stock-based awards other than options and stock appreciation rights.

In connection with the acquisition of Progress Energy in July 2012, Duke Energy assumed Progress Energy's 1997 Equity Incentive Plan (EIP), which was continued under the 2002 and 2007 EIPs, as amended and restated from time to time. Stock-based awards granted under the Progress Energy EIPs and held by Progress Energy employees were generally converted into outstanding Duke Energy stock-based compensation awards with the estimated fair value of the awards allocated to purchase price determined to be $62 million. Refer to Note 2 for further information regarding the merger transaction.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes the total expense recognized by each of the Duke Energy Registrants, net of tax, for stock-based compensation.

	Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Duke Energy	$ 48	$ 32	$ 41
Duke Energy Carolinas	12	17	23
Progress Energy	25	20	16
Progress Energy Carolinas	16	12	10
Progress Energy Florida	9	8	7
Duke Energy Ohio	4	6	7
Duke Energy Indiana	4	4	6

Duke Energy Plans

Pre-tax stock-based compensation costs, tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense, and the amount of stock-based compensation costs capitalized related to the Duke Energy plans are included in the following table.

	Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	2012	2011	2010
Stock Options	$ 2	$ 2	$ 2
Restricted Stock Unit Awards	43	27	26
Performance Awards	33	23	39
Total	$ 78	$ 52	$ 67
Tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense	$ 30	$ 20	$ 26
Stock-based compensation costs capitalized	2	2	4

Stock Option Activity

	Options (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	2,089	$ 46		
Progress Energy transfers in[a]	94	50		
Granted	340	63		
Exercised	(580)	36		
Forfeited or expired	(289)	65		
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	1,654	51	6.3	$ 22
Exercisable at December 31, 2012	953	45	4.8	17
Options expected to vest	701	58	8.5	4

(a) Progress Energy had an insignificant number of stock options outstanding as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

On December 31, 2011 and 2010, Duke Energy had 1 million and 4 million exercisable options, respectively, with a weighted-average exercise price of $45 and $51, respectively. The options granted in 2012 and 2011 were expensed immediately; therefore, there is no future compensation cost associated with these options. The following table includes information related to Duke Energy's stock options.

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31, 2012	2011	2010
Intrinsic value of options exercised	$ 17	$ 26	$ 8
Tax benefit related to options exercised	7	10	3
Cash received from options exercised	21	74	14
Stock options granted (in thousands)	340	358	368

The following assumptions were used to determine the grant date fair value of the stock options granted in 2012.

Weighted-Average Assumptions for Option Pricing	
Risk-free interest rate[a]	1.1 %
Expected dividend yield[b]	5.1 %
Expected life[c]	6 years
Expected volatility[d]	18.8 %

(a) The risk-free rate is based upon the average of 5-year and 7-year U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity rates as of the grant date.
(b) The expected dividend yield is based upon the most recent annualized dividend and the 1-year average closing stock price.
(c) The expected life of options is derived from the simplified method approach.
(d) Volatility is based upon 50% historical and 50% implied volatility. Historic volatility is based on Duke Energy's historical volatility over the expected life using daily stock prices. Implied volatility is the average for all option contracts with a term greater than six months using the strike price closest to the stock price on the valuation date.

Restricted Stock Unit Awards

Restricted stock unit awards issued and outstanding under the 2010 Plan and the 2006 Plan generally vest over periods from immediate to three years. The following table includes information related to Duke Energy's restricted stock unit awards.

	Years Ended December 31, 2012	2011	2010
Shares awarded (in thousands)	443	636	349
Fair value (in millions)[a]	$ 28	$ 34	$ 17

(a) Based on the market price of Duke Energy's common stock at the grant date.

The following table summarizes information about restricted stock unit awards outstanding.

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Per Share Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	856	$ 51
Progress Energy transfers in	988	70
Granted	443	63
Vested	(608)	56
Forfeited	(72)	64
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	1,607	64
Restricted stock unit awards expected to vest	1,567	64

The total grant date fair value of the shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $34 million, $19 million and $29 million, respectively. At December 31, 2012, Duke Energy had $37 million of unrecognized compensation cost which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

Performance Awards

Stock-based awards issued and outstanding under the 2010 Plan and the 2006 Plan generally vest over three years if performance targets are met. Vesting for certain stock-based performance awards can occur in three years, at the earliest, if performance is met. Certain performance awards granted in 2012, 2011 and 2010 contain market conditions based on the total shareholder return (TSR) of Duke Energy stock relative to a pre-defined peer group (relative TSR). These awards are valued using a path-dependent model that incorporates expected relative TSR into the fair value determination of Duke Energy's performance-based share awards. The model uses three-year historical volatilities and correlations for all companies in the pre-defined peer group, including Duke Energy, to simulate Duke Energy's relative TSR as of the end of the performance period. For each simulation, Duke Energy's relative TSR associated with the simulated stock price at the end of the performance period plus expected dividends within the period results in a value per share for the award portfolio. The average of these simulations is the expected portfolio value per share. Actual life to date results of Duke Energy's relative TSR for each grant is incorporated within the model. Other performance awards not containing market conditions were awarded in 2012, 2011 and 2010. The performance goal for the awards is Duke Energy's return on equity over a three-year period. Awards are measured at grant date price. The following table includes information related to Duke Energy's performance awards.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	**2011**	**2010**
Shares awarded (in thousands)	**352**	432	912
Fair value (in millions)[a]	**$ 19**	$ 20	$ 38

(a) Based on the market price of Duke Energy's common stock at the grant date.

The following table summarizes information about stock-based performance awards outstanding at the maximum level.

	Shares (in thousands)	**Weighted-Average Per Share Grant Date Fair Value**
Number of stock-based performance awards:		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	2,123	$ 42
Progress Energy transfers in	1,548	50
Granted	352	54
Vested	(1,009)	56
Forfeited	(668)	48
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	**2,346**	**47**
Stock-based performance awards expected to vest	2,132	48

The total grant date fair value of the shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $56 million, $33 million and $15 million, respectively. At December 31, 2012, Duke Energy had $25 million of unrecognized compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

Progress Energy Plans

Pre-tax stock-based compensation expense and tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense related to former Progress Energy plans, including those that were converted to Duke plans upon the merger, recorded to Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas, and Progress Energy Florida are included in the following table. No stock-based compensation costs were capitalized during any of the periods presented.

	Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**	**2010**
Restricted stock unit awards	**$ 27**	$ 24	$ 21
Performance awards	**12**	9	6
Total	**$ 39**	$ 33	$ 27
Tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense	**$ 15**	$ 13	$ 11

23. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Defined Benefit Retirement Plans

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries (including legacy Progress Energy and Cinergy businesses) maintain, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans. The plans cover most U.S. employees using a cash balance formula. Under a cash balance formula, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit consisting of pay credits that are based upon a percentage (which varies with age and years of service) of current eligible earnings and current interest credits. Certain legacy Progress Energy and legacy Cinergy U.S. employees are covered under plans that use a final average earnings formula. Under the legacy Cinergy final average earnings formula, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit equal to a percentage of their highest 3-year average earnings, plus a percentage of their highest 3-year average earnings in excess of covered compensation per year of participation (maximum of 35 years), plus a percentage of their highest 3-year average earnings times years of participation in excess of 35 years. Under the legacy Progress Energy final average earnings formula, a plan participant accumulates a retirement benefit equal to a percentage of their highest 4-year average earnings, plus a percentage of their highest 4-year average earnings in excess of covered compensation per year of participation (maximum of 35 years), plus a percentage of their highest 4-year average earnings times years of participation in excess of 35 years. Duke Energy also maintains, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, non-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans which cover certain executives.

Actuarial gains and losses subject to amortization are amortized over the average remaining service period of the active employees. The average remaining service period of active employees covered by the qualified retirement plans is nine years for Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana and eight years for Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida. The average remaining service period of active employees covered by the non-qualified retirement plans is thirteen years for Duke Energy and Progress Energy, nine years for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, twelve years for Progress Energy Carolinas and seventeen years for Progress Energy Florida . Duke Energy determines the market-related value of plan assets using a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value of the plan assets in a particular year on a straight line basis over the next five years.

Net periodic benefit costs disclosed in the tables below for the qualified, non-qualified and other post-retirement benefit plans represent the cost of the respective benefit plan for the periods presented. However, portions of the net periodic benefit costs disclosed in the tables below have been capitalized as a component of property, plant and equipment.

Duke Energy uses a December 31 measurement date for its defined benefit retirement plan assets and obligations.

Amounts presented in the tables below for the Subsidiary Registrants represent the amounts of pension and other post-retirement benefit cost allocated by Duke Energy for employees of the Subsidiary Registrants. Additionally, the Subsidiary Registrants are allocated their proportionate share of pension and post-retirement benefit cost for employees of Duke Energy's shared services affiliate that provide support to the Subsidiary Registrants. These allocated amounts are included in the governance and shared service costs discussed in Note 14.

Duke Energy's policy is to fund amounts on an actuarial basis to provide assets sufficient to meet benefit payments to be paid to plan participants. The following table includes information related to the Duke Energy Registrants' contributions to its U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plans.

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Anticipated Contributions:							
2013	$ 350	$ -	$ 320	$ 94	$ 121	$ 18	$ -
Contributions Made:							
2012	**$ 304**	**$ -**	**$ 346**	**$ 141**	**$ 128**	**$ -**	**$ -**
2011	200	33	334	217	112	48	52
2010	400	158	129	95	34	45	46

Qualified Pension Plans

Components of Net Periodic Pension Costs: Qualified Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 122	$ 35	$ 63	$ 25	$ 30	$ 6	$ 9
Interest cost on project benefit obligation	307	90	127	58	56	31	30
Expected return on plan assets	(472)	(146)	(188)	(96)	(81)	(45)	(46)
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	10	1	9	8	(1)	1	1
Amortization of actuarial loss	144	45	93	37	48	10	15
Other	6	2	2	1	1	-	-
Net periodic pension costs[a][b]	$ 117	$ 27	$ 106	$ 33	$ 53	$ 3	$ 9

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 96	$ 37	$ 51	$ 20	$ 24	$ 7	$ 11
Interest cost on project benefit obligation	232	85	132	61	57	32	30
Expected return on plan assets	(384)	(150)	(182)	(91)	(78)	(44)	(45)
Amortization of prior service cost	6	1	7	6	-	1	2
Amortization of actuarial loss	77	37	66	25	33	7	14
Other	18	7	-	-	-	2	2
Net periodic pension costs[a][b]	$ 45	$ 17	$ 74	$ 21	$ 36	$ 5	$ 14

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 96	$ 36	$ 46	$ 18	$ 22	$ 7	$ 11
Interest cost on project benefit obligation	248	91	131	62	56	33	32
Expected return on plan assets	(378)	(147)	(157)	(77)	(68)	(44)	(45)
Amortization of prior service cost	5	1	7	6	-	1	2
Amortization of actuarial loss	50	27	49	16	31	4	12
Settlement and contractual termination benefit cost	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	18	8	-	-	-	2	2
Net periodic pension costs[a][b]	$ 52	$ 16	$ 76	$ 25	$ 41	$ 3	$ 14

(a) Duke Energy amounts exclude $14 million, $14 million and $16 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, of regulatory asset amortization resulting from purchase accounting adjustments associated with Duke Energy's merger with Cinergy in April 2006.

(b) Duke Energy Ohio amounts exclude $6 million, $7 million and $7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, of regulatory asset amortization resulting from purchase accounting adjustments associated with Duke Energy's merger with Cinergy in April 2006.

Other Changes in Plan Assets and Projected Benefit Obligations

Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and Regulatory Assets: Qualified Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease)	$ 976	$ (111)	$ (76)	$ (89)	$ 23	$ 22	$ 17
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax asset	$ 14	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 15	$ -
Reclassification of actuarial losses to an affiliate	-	-	-	-	-	(48)	-
Actuarial (gains) losses arising during the year	(2)	-	3	-	-	-	-
Prior year service credit arising during the year	(7)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amortization of prior year actuarial losses	(13)	-	(2)	-	-	(3)	-
Reclassification of actuarial losses to regulatory assets	(20)	-	-	-	-	(1)	-
Amortization of prior year prior service cost	(1)	-	(1)	-	-	(1)	-
Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ (29)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ (38)	$ -

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease)	$ 152	$ 65	$ 298	$ 98	$ 114	$ 11	$ 5
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax (asset) liability	$ (10)	$ -	$ 24	$ -	$ -	$ 1	$ -
Actuarial losses arising during the year	60	-	13	-	-	10	-
Amortization of prior year actuarial losses	(8)		(8)	-	-	(3)	
Reclassification of actuarial gains (losses) to regulatory assets	8	-	(66)	-	-	-	-
Amortization of prior year service cost	(1)	-	(1)	-	-	-	-
Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ 49	$ -	$ (38)	$ -	$ -	$ 8	$ -

Reconciliation of Funded Status to Net Amount Recognized: Qualified Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation							
Obligation at prior measurement date	$ 4,880	$ 1,831	$ 2,729	$ 1,263	$ 1,179	$ 627	$ 613
Obligation assumed from acquisition	2,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service cost	122	35	63	25	30	6	9
Interest cost	307	90	127	58	56	31	30
Actuarial losses	489	73	166	34	120	68	76
Transfers	-	176	-	-	-	(167)	-
Plan amendments	(170)	(52)	(64)	(43)	(10)	-	(1)
Benefits paid	(448)	(125)	(153)	(73)	(66)	(38)	(43)
Obligation at measurement date	$ 8,030	$ 2,028	$ 2,868	$ 1,264	$ 1,309	$ 527	$ 684
Accumulated Benefit Obligation at December 31	$ 7,843	$ 2,028	$ 2,820	$ 1,264	$ 1,261	$ 501	$ 653
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets							
Plan assets at prior measurement date	$ 4,741	$ 1,820	$ 2,191	$ 1,091	$ 969	$ 565	$ 582
Assets received from acquisition	2,285	-	-	-	-	-	-
Actual return on plan assets	872	280	263	130	119	86	88
Benefits paid	(448)	(125)	(153)	(73)	(66)	(38)	(43)
Transfers	-	176	-	-	-	(167)	-
Employer contributions	304	-	346	141	128	-	-
Plan assets at measurement date	$ 7,754	$ 2,151	$ 2,647	$ 1,289	$ 1,150	$ 446	$ 627

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	**Duke Energy**	**Duke Energy Carolinas**	**Progress Energy**	**Progress Energy Carolinas**	**Progress Energy Florida**	**Duke Energy Ohio**	**Duke Energy Indiana**
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation							
Obligation at prior measurement date	$ 4,861	$ 1,786	$ 2,450	$ 1,155	$ 1,043	$ 651	$ 628
Service cost	96	37	51	20	24	7	11
Interest cost	232	85	132	61	57	32	30
Actuarial (gains) losses	(7)	20	221	81	110	(9)	(11)
Transfers	-	(5)	-	-	-	(17)	1
Plan amendments	18	13	-	-	-	-	(1)
Settlement and contractual termination benefit cost	-	-	(6)	-	-	-	-
Benefits paid	(320)	(105)	(119)	(54)	(55)	(37)	(45)
Obligation at measurement date	$ 4,880	$ 1,831	$ 2,729	$ 1,263	$ 1,179	$ 627	$ 613
Accumulated Benefit Obligation at December 31	$ 4,661	$ 1,787	$ 2,692	$ 1,263	$ 1,142	$ 602	$ 582
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets							
Plan assets at prior measurement date	$ 4,797	$ 1,837	$ 1,891	$ 884	$ 871	$ 565	$ 565
Actual return on plan assets	64	60	91	44	41	6	9
Benefits paid	(320)	(105)	(125)	(54)	(55)	(37)	(45)
Transfers	-	(5)	-	-	-	(17)	1
Employer contributions	200	33	334	217	112	48	52
Plan assets at measurement date	$ 4,741	$ 1,820	$ 2,191	$ 1,091	$ 969	$ 565	$ 582

Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets: Qualified Pension Plans

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Prefunded pension[a]	$ 163	$ 123	$ -	$ 25	$ -	$ -	$ -
Accrued pension liability	(439)	-	(221)	-	(159)	(81)	(57)
Net amount recognized	$ (276)	$ 123	$ (221)	$ 25	$ (159)	$ (81)	$ (57)
Regulatory assets	$ 2,387	$ 582	$ 1,079	$ 472	$ 541	$ 144	$ 246
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax asset	$ (59)	$ -	$ (9)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Prior service credit	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net actuarial loss	166	-	26	-	-	-	-
Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss[b]	$ 103	$ -	$ 17	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension expense in the next year							
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	$ 216	$ 46	$ 101	$ 46	$ 49	$ 12	$ 23
Unrecognized prior service (credit) cost	(12)	(6)	(4)	(1)	(2)	1	1

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Accrued pension liability	$ (139)	$ (11)	$ (538)	$ (173)	$ (210)	$ (62)	$ (31)
Net amount recognized	$ (139)	$ (11)	$ (538)	$ (173)	$ (210)	$ (62)	$ (31)
Regulatory assets	$ 1,411	$ 693	$ 1,155	$ 561	$ 518	$ 122	$ 229
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax asset	$ (73)	$ -	$ (9)	$ -	$ -	$ (15)	$ -
Prior service cost	4	-	1	-	-	1	-
Net actuarial loss	201	-	25	-	-	52	-
Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss[b]	$ 132	$ -	$ 17	$ -	$ -	$ 38	$ -

(a) Included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(b) Excludes accumulated other comprehensive income of $9 million and $19 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, net of tax, associated with a Brazilian retirement plan.

Additional Information: Qualified Pension Plans

Information for Plans with Accumulated Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Projected benefit obligation	$ 5,396	$ -	$ 2,868	$ -	$ 1,309	$ 527	$ 684
Accumulated benefit obligation	5,201	-	2,820	-	1,261	501	653
Fair value of plan assets	4,957	-	2,647	-	1,150	446	627

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Projected benefit obligation	$ -	$ -	$ 2,729	$ 1,263	$ 1,179	$ -	$ -
Accumulated benefit obligation	-	-	2,692	1,263	1,142	-	-
Fair value of plan assets	-	-	2,191	1,091	969	-	-

Assumptions Used for Pension Benefits Accounting

Duke Energy(a)

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Benefit Obligations			
Discount rate	4.10 %	5.10 %	5.00 %
Salary increase (graded by age)	4.30 %	4.40 %	4.10 %
Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Discount rate	4.60-5.10%	5.00 %	5.50 %
Salary increase	4.40 %	4.10 %	4.50 %
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.00 %	8.25 %	8.50 %

(a) For Progress Energy plans, the assumptions used in 2012 to determine expense reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012 due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.

Progress Energy(a)(b)

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Benefit Obligations			
Discount rate	4.10 %	4.75 %	5.55 %
Salary increase (Bargaining plan)	4.00 %	4.00 %	4.50 %
Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Discount rate	4.60-4.75%	5.55 %	6.00 %
Salary increase (Bargaining plan)	4.00 %	4.50 %	4.50 %
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.00-8.25%	8.50 %	8.75 %

(a) The assumptions used in 2012 to determine expense reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012 due to the merger between Duke

Energy and Progress Energy.

(b) The weighted-average actuarial assumptions used by Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida were not materially different from the assumptions above, as applicable.

The discount rate used to determine the current year pension obligation and following year's pension expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for the projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

Expected Benefit Payments: Qualified Pension Plans

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Years ending December 31,							
2013	$ 816	$ 250	$ 217	$ 122	$ 71	$ 36	$ 48
2014	653	214	194	105	68	35	47
2015	639	210	193	101	71	35	46
2016	636	207	196	100	74	35	46
2017	627	199	197	98	78	35	45
2018 - 2022	2,997	868	978	442	431	186	231

Non-Qualified Pension Plans

Components of Net Periodic Pension Costs: Non-Qualified Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 2	$ -	$ 2	$ 1	$ -	$ -	$ -
Interest cost on project benefit obligation	12	1	8	1	2	-	-
Amortization of actuarial loss	4	-	5	1	-	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	1	-	(1)	-	-	-	-
Net periodic pension costs	$ 19	$ 1	$ 14	$ 3	$ 2	$ -	$ -

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 1	$ -	$ 2	$ 1	$ -	$ -	$ -
Interest cost on project benefit obligation	8	1	9	2	2	-	-
Amortization of actuarial loss	-	-	3	-	1	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net periodic pension costs	$ 11	$ 1	$ 14	$ 3	$ 3	$ -	$ -

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	**Duke Energy**	**Duke Energy Carolinas**	**Progress Energy**	**Progress Energy Carolinas**	**Progress Energy Florida**	**Duke Energy Ohio**	**Duke Energy Indiana**
Service cost	$ 1	$ -	$ 2	$ 1	$ -	$ -	$ -
Interest cost on project benefit obligation	9	1	9	2	2	-	-
Amortization of actuarial loss	-	-	2	-	1	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
Net periodic pension costs	$ 12	$ 2	$ 13	$ 3	$ 3	$ -	$ -

Other Changes in Plan Assets and Projected Benefit Obligations

Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and Regulatory Assets: Non-Qualified Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory assets, net (decrease) increase	$ 34	$ -	$ (6)	$ (2)	$ 1	$ -	$ -
Regulatory liabilities, net decrease	$ (8)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax asset	$ -	$ -	$ (1)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Actuarial (gains) losses arising during the year	(2)	-	3	-	-	-	-
Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ (2)	$ -	$ 2	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease)	$ 2	$ -	$ 28	$ 5	$ -	$ -	$ (1)
Regulatory liabilities, net increase	$ 7	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax asset	$ (1)	$ -	$ 5	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Actuarial losses (gains) arising during the year	1	-	7	-	-	-	-
Amortization of prior year actuarial losses	-	-	(2)	-	-	-	-
Reclassification of actuarial gains (losses) to regulatory assets	-	-	(18)	-	-	-	-
Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ -	$ -	$ (8)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Reconciliation of Funded Status to Net Amount Recognized: Non-Qualified Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation							
Obligation at prior measurement date	$ 160	$ 18	$ 177	$ 39	$ 44	$ 4	$ 5
Obligation assumed from acquisition	172	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service cost	2	-	2	1	-	-	-
Interest cost	12	1	8	1	2	-	-
Actuarial losses	18	-	11	3	3	-	-
Plan amendments	(5)	-	(12)	(4)	(2)	-	-
Transfers	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Benefits paid	(24)	(4)	(10)	(2)	(2)	-	-
Obligation at measurement date	$ 335	$ 16	$ 176	$ 38	$ 45	$ 4	$ 5
Accumulated Benefit Obligation at December 31	$ 332	$ 16	$ 175	$ 36	$ 44	$ 4	$ 5
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets							
Plan assets at prior measurement date	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Benefits paid	(24)	(4)	(10)	(2)	(3)	-	-
Employer contributions	24	4	10	2	3	-	-
Plan assets at measurement date	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation							
Obligation at prior measurement date	$ 167	$ 21	$ 159	$ 33	$ 44	$ 6	$ 6
Service cost	1	-	2	1	-	-	-
Interest cost	8	1	9	2	2	-	-
Actuarial (gains) losses	(2)	-	17	5	1	(1)	(1)
Transfers	-	(1)	-	-	-	-	-
Benefits paid	(14)	(3)	(10)	(2)	(3)	(1)	-
Obligation at measurement date	$ 160	$ 18	$ 177	$ 39	$ 44	$ 4	$ 5
Accumulated Benefit Obligation at December 31	$ 151	$ 17	$ 162	$ 33	$ 42	$ 4	$ 5
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets							
Plan assets at prior measurement date	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Benefits paid	(14)	(3)	(10)	(2)	(3)	(1)	-
Employer contributions	14	3	10	2	3	1	-
Plan assets at measurement date	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets: Non-Qualified Pension Plans

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Accrued pension liability[a][b][c][d][e][f][g]	$ (335)	$ (16)	$ (176)	$ (38)	$ (45)	$ (4)	$ (5)
Regulatory assets	$ 59	$ 3	$ 34	$ 7	$ 9	$ -	$ 2
Regulatory liabilities	$ 2	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax asset	$ -	$ -	$ (4)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Net actuarial loss	(1)	-	12	-	-	-	-
Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ (1)	$ -	$ 8	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension expense in the next year							
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	$ 5	$ -	$ 4	$ 1	$ 1	$ -	$ -
Unrecognized prior service cost	(1)	-	(1)	-	-	-	-

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Accrued pension liability[a][b][c][d][e][f][g]	$ (160)	$ (18)	$ (177)	$ (39)	$ (44)	$ (4)	$ (5)
Regulatory assets	$ 25	$ 3	$ 40	$ 9	$ 8	$ -	$ 2
Regulatory liabilities	$ 10	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax asset	$ -	$ -	$ (3)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Net actuarial loss	1	-	9	-	-	-	-
Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ 1	$ -	$ 6	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

(a) Duke Energy amount includes $30 million and $17 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(b) Duke Energy Carolinas amount includes $3 million and $3 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(c) Progress Energy amount includes $11 million and $10 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(d) Progress Energy Carolinas amount includes $2 million and $2 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(e) Progress Energy Florida amount includes $3 million and $3 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(f) Duke Energy Ohio amount includes an insignificant amount and $1 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(g) Duke Energy Indiana amount includes an insignificant amount and $1 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Additional Information: Non-Qualified Pension Plans

Information for Plans with Accumulated Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Projected benefit obligation	$ 335	$ 16	$ 176	$ 38	$ 45	$ 4	$ 5
Accumulated benefit obligation	332	16	175	36	44	4	5
Fair value of plan assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Projected benefit obligation	$ 160	$ 18	$ 177	$ 39	$ 44	$ 4	$ 5
Accumulated benefit obligation	151	17	162	33	42	4	5
Fair value of plan assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Assumptions Used for Pension Benefits Accounting

Duke Energy(a)

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Benefit Obligations			
Discount rate	4.10 %	5.10 %	5.00 %
Salary increase (graded by age)	4.30 %	4.40 %	4.10 %
Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Discount rate	4.60-5.10%	5.00 %	5.50 %
Salary increase	4.40 %	4.10 %	4.50 %

(a) For Progress Energy plans, the discount rate used in 2012 to determine expense reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012, due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.

Progress Energy(a)(b)

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Benefit Obligations			
Discount rate	4.10 %	4.80 %	5.60 %
Salary increase	-%	5.25 %	5.25 %
Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Discount rate	4.60-4.80%	5.60 %	6.05 %
Salary increase	- %	5.25 %	5.25 %

(a) The discount rate used in 2012 to determine expense reflects remeasurement as of July 1, 2012, due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.
(b) The weighted-average actuarial assumptions used by Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida were not materially different from the assumptions above, as applicable.

The discount rate used to determine the current year pension obligation and following year's pension expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for the projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

Expected Benefit Payments: Non-Qualified Pension Plans

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Years ending December 31,							
2013	$ 31	$ 3	$ 12	$ 2	$ 3	$ -	$ -
2014	31	2	12	2	3	-	-
2015	28	2	12	2	3	-	-
2016	27	2	11	2	3	-	-
2017	28	2	11	2	3	-	-
2018 - 2022	120	6	56	11	15	2	2

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

Duke Energy and most of its subsidiaries provide, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, some health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees on a contributory and non-contributory basis. Employees are eligible for these benefits if they have met age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the plans. The health care benefits include medical coverage, dental coverage, and prescription drug coverage and are subject to certain limitations, such as deductibles and co-payments.

These benefit costs are accrued over an employee's active service period to the date of full benefits eligibility. The net unrecognized transition obligation is amortized over 20 years.

Actuarial gains and losses are amortized over the average remaining service period of the active employees. The average remaining service period of the active employees covered by the plan is ten years for Duke Energy, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana, eleven years for Duke Energy Carolinas, nine years for Progress Energy and Progress Energy Florida and seven years for Progress Energy Carolinas.

Duke Energy did not make any pre-funding contributions to its other post-retirement benefit plans during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Components of Net Periodic Other Post-Retirement Benefit Costs

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 16	$ 2	17	$ 8	$ 7	$ 1	$ 1
Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	56	15	43	23	18	3	6
Expected return on plan assets	(17)	(10)	(2)	-	(2)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of prior service credit	(8)	(5)	-	-	-	(1)	-
Amortization of net transition liability	10	7	4	-	3	-	-
Amortization of actuarial loss (gain)	14	3	35	20	12	(2)	-
Special termination charge	9	1	5	2	1	-	-
Net periodic pension costs[a][b]	$ 80	$ 13	$ 102	$ 53	$ 39	$ -	$ 6

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 7	$ 2	$ 11	$ 5	$ 5	$ 1	$ 1
Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	35	16	41	20	18	3	7
Expected return on plan assets	(15)	(10)	(2)	-	(2)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of prior service credit	(8)	(5)	-	-	-	(1)	-
Amortization of net transition liability	10	9	5	1	4	-	-
Amortization of actuarial (gain) loss	(3)	2	12	5	7	(2)	2
Net periodic pension costs[a][b]	$ 26	$ 14	$ 67	$ 31	$ 32	$ -	$ 9

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Service cost	$ 7	$ 2	$ 16	$ 5	$ 10	$ 1	$ 1
Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	38	17	45	20	22	3	8
Expected return on plan assets	(15)	(10)	(4)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of prior service credit	(8)	(5)	-	-	-	(1)	-
Amortization of net transition liability	11	9	5	1	4	-	-
Amortization of actuarial (gain) loss	(5)	3	13	4	9	(2)	1
Net periodic pension costs[a][b]	$ 28	$ 16	$ 75	$ 28	$ 43	$ -	$ 9

(a) Duke Energy amounts exclude $9 million, $8 million and $9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, of regulatory asset amortization resulting from purchase accounting adjustments associated with Duke Energy's merger with Cinergy in April 2006.

(b) Duke Energy Ohio amounts exclude $2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, of regulatory asset amortization resulting from purchase accounting adjustments associated with Duke Energy's merger with Cinergy in April 2006.

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (Modernization Act) introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare (Medicare Part D) as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans. Accounting guidance issued and adopted by Duke Energy in 2004 prescribes the appropriate accounting for the federal subsidy. The after-tax effect on Duke Energy's net periodic post-retirement benefit cost was a decrease of $3 million in 2012, $3 million in 2011 and $4 million in 2010. Duke Energy recognized a $1 million subsidy receivable as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, which is included in Receivables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Other Changes in Plan Assets and Projected Benefit Obligations

Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and Regulatory Assets: Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory assets, net increase (decrease)	$ 484	$ (20)	$ 228	$ 170	$ 28	$ -	$ (6)
Regulatory liabilities, net decrease	$ (6)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ (1)	$ (2)
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax liability	$ (2)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ (4)	$ -
Reclassification of actuarial losses to an affiliate	-	-	-	-	-	6	-
Prior year service cost arising during the year	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Actuarial losses arising during the year	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Reclassification of actuarial gains to regulatory liabilities	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amortization of prior year actuarial loss	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ 2	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 6	$ -

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Regulatory assets, net (decrease) increase	$ (22)	$ (12)	$ 74	$ 43	$ 28	$ -	$ (7)
Regulatory liabilities, net increase (decrease)	$ 21	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ (1)	$ 12
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax liability	$ 1	$ -	$ (2)	$ -	$ -	$ (1)	$ -
Actuarial losses (gains) arising during the year	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
Amortization of prior year actuarial losses	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
Reclassification of actuarial losses to regulatory assets	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
Net amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ 2	$ -	$ 4	$ -	$ -	$ 2	$ -

Reconciliation of Funded Status to Accrued Other Post-Retirement Benefit Costs

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation							
Accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation at prior measurement date	$ 667	$ 312	$ 841	$ 407	$ 368	$ 61	$ 135
Obligation assumed from acquisition	977	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service cost	16	2	17	8	7	1	1
Interest cost	56	15	43	23	18	3	6
Plan participants' contributions	41	18	13	5	7	4	8
Actuarial gains	198	28	291	205	49	3	(2)
Transfers	-	9	-	-	-	(16)	-
Benefits paid	(105)	(38)	(61)	(24)	(33)	(8)	(13)
Special termination benefit cost	9	1	5	2	1	-	-
Plan amendments	(70)	(33)	(25)	(16)	(6)	-	-
Accrued retiree drug subsidy	5	2	4	2	2	-	1
Accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation at measurement date	$ 1,794	$ 316	$ 1,128	$ 612	$ 413	$ 48	$ 136
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets							
Plan assets at prior measurement date	$ 181	$ 120	$ 37	$ -	$ 37	$ 9	$ 14
Actual return on plan assets	23	12	2	-	2	1	2
Benefits paid	(105)	(38)	(61)	(24)	(33)	(8)	(13)
Transfers[a]	-	5	(39)	-	(39)	(3)	-
Employer contributions	58	17	48	19	26	4	6
Plan participants' contributions	41	18	13	5	7	4	8
Plan assets at measurement date	$ 198	$ 134	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 7	$ 17

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation							
Accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation at prior measurement date	$ 723	$ 326	$ 733	$ 352	$ 326	$ 66	$ 152
Service cost	7	2	11	5	5	1	1
Interest cost	35	16	41	20	18	3	7
Plan participants' contributions	32	21	9	5	3	1	4
Actuarial (gains) losses	(55)	(12)	98	49	40	-	(17)
Transfers	-	(1)	-	-	-	(2)	-
Plan transfer	-	(1)	-	-	-	-	-
Benefits paid	(83)	(44)	(51)	(24)	(24)	(8)	(14)
Early retirement reinsurance program subsidy	3	2	-	-	-	-	1
Accrued retiree drug subsidy	5	3	-	-	-	-	1
Accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation at measurement date	$ 667	$ 312	$ 841	$ 407	$ 368	$ 61	$ 135
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets							
Plan assets at prior measurement date	$ 186	$ 125	$ 33	$ -	$ 33	$ 8	$ 14
Actual return on plan assets	4	2	3	-	4	-	-
Benefits paid	(83)	(44)	(51)	(24)	(24)	(8)	(14)
Employer contributions	42	16	43	19	21	8	10
Plan participants' contributions	32	21	9	5	3	1	4
Plan assets at measurement date	$ 181	$ 120	$ 37	$ -	$ 37	$ 9	$ 14

(a) Progress Energy and Progress Energy Florida amounts reflect assets that did not meet the definition of plan assets. These assets are included in Other within Investments and Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets: Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Accrued post-retirement liability[a][b][c][d][e][f][g]	$ (1,596)	$ (182)	$ (1,128)	$ (612)	$ (413)	$ (41)	$ (119)
Regulatory assets	$ 521	$ 17	$ 505	$ 291	$ 170	$ -	$ 77
Regulatory liabilities	$ 101	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 18	$ 68
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax liability	$ 2	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Prior service credit	(3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net actuarial gain	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ (3)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Amounts to be recognized in net periodic pension expense in the next year							
Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)	$ 54	$ 3	$ 59	37	$ 16	$ (1)	$ -
Unrecognized prior service credit	(15)	(7)	(4)	(2)	(1)	-	-

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Accrued post-retirement liability[a][b][c][d][e][f][g]	$ (486)	$ (192)	$ (804)	$ (407)	$ (331)	$ (52)	$ (121)
Regulatory assets	$ 37	$ 37	$ 277	$ 121	$ 142	$ -	$ 83
Regulatory liabilities	$ 107	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 19	$ 70
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss							
Deferred income tax liability	$ 4	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 4	$ -
Prior service credit	(3)	-	-	-	-	(1)	-
Net actuarial loss (gain)	(6)	-	-	-	-	(9)	-
Net amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	$ (5)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ (6)	$ -

(a) Duke Energy amount includes $50 million and $3 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(b) Duke Energy Carolinas amount includes an insignificant amount recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(c) Progress Energy amount includes $47 million and $22 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(d) Progress Energy Carolinas amount includes $23 million and $19 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(e) Progress Energy Florida amount includes $20 million and zero recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(f) Duke Energy Ohio amount includes $2 million and $2 million recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
(g) Duke Energy Indiana amount includes an insignificant amount recognized in Other within Current Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Assumptions Used for Other Post-Retirement Benefits Accounting

Duke Energy(a)

	December 31,		
	2012	**2011**	**2010**
Benefit Obligations			
Discount rate	**4.10 %**	5.10 %	5.00 %
Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Discount rate	**4.60-5.10%**	5.00 %	5.50 %
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets(b)	**5.20-8.00%**	5.36-8.25%	5.53-8.50%
Assumed tax rate(c)(d)	**35 %**	35.0 %	35.0 %

(a) For Progress Energy plans, the discount rate used in 2012 to determine expense reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012 due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.
(b) The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets for Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana was 8.00%, 8.25% and 8.50% as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
(c) Applicable to the health care portion of funded post-retirement benefits.
(d) Does not apply to Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana.

Progress Energy(a)(b)

	December 31,		
	2012	**2011**	**2010**
Benefit Obligations			
Discount rate	**4.10 %**	4.85 %	5.75 %
Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Discount rate	**4.60-4.85%**	5.70 %	6.05 %
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets(b)	**N/A-5.00%**	5.00 %	6.60 %

(a) The assumptions used in 2012 to determine expense reflect remeasurement as of July 1, 2012 due to the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy.
(b) The weighted-average actuarial assumptions used by Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida were not materially different from the assumptions above, as applicable, with the exception of the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets which was 5.00% for all years presented for Progress Energy Florida and 8.75% in 2010 for Progress Energy Carolinas. Progress Energy Florida held no other post-retirement benefit plan assets as of December 31, 2012. Progress Energy Carolinas held no other post-retirement plan assets after December 31, 2010.

The discount rate used to determine the current year other post-retirement benefits obligation and following year's other post-retirement benefits expense is based on a bond selection-settlement portfolio approach. This approach develops a discount rate by selecting a portfolio of high quality corporate bonds that generate sufficient cash flow to provide for the projected benefit payments of the plan. The selected bond portfolio is derived from a universe of non-callable corporate bonds rated Aa quality or higher. After the bond portfolio is selected, a single interest rate is determined that equates the present value of the plan's projected benefit payments discounted at this rate with the market value of the bonds selected.

Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rate - Duke Energy (a)

	December 31,	
	2012	**2011**
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	**8.50 %**	8.75 %
Rate to which the cost trend is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate)	**5.00 %**	5.00 %
Year that rate reaches ultimate trend	**2020**	2020

(a) Applicable to all Subsidiary Registrants

Sensitivity to Changes in Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rates

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
1-Percentage Point Increase							
Effect on total service and interest costs	$ 9	$ 1	$ 8	$ 4	$ 3	$ 1	$ 1
Effect on post-retirement benefit obligation	164	11	133	72	49	3	8
1-Percentage Point Decrease							
Effect on total service and interest costs	(7)	(1)	(6)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(1)
Effect on post-retirement benefit obligation	(133)	(10)	(106)	(57)	(39)	(3)	(7)

Expected Benefit Payments: Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

(in millions)	Duke Energy[a]	Duke Energy Carolinas[b]	Progress Energy[c]	Progress Energy Carolinas[d]	Progress Energy Florida[e]	Duke Energy Ohio[f]	Duke Energy Indiana[g]
Years ending December 31,							
2013	$ 98	$ 22	$ 48	$ 24	$ 20	$ 4	$ 12
2014	104	23	51	26	21	4	12
2015	108	23	55	28	22	4	12
2016	111	24	58	30	23	4	12
2017	114	24	61	32	24	4	12
2018 - 2022	583	112	330	177	125	19	53

(a) Duke Energy expects to receive future subsidies under Medicare Part D of $7 million in each of the years 2013-2015, $8 million in each of the years 2016 and 2017, and a total of $46 million during the years 2018-2022.

(b) Duke Energy Carolinas expects to receive future subsidies under Medicare Part D of $2 million in each of the years 2013-2017 and a total of $8 million during the years 2018-2022.

(c) Progress Energy expects to receive future subsidies under Medicare Part D of $4 million in each of the years 2013-2015, $5 million each of the years 2016-2017, and a total of $34 million during the years 2018-2022.

(d) Progress Energy Carolinas expects to receive future subsidies under Medicare Part D of $2 million in each of the years 2013-2015, $3 million in each of the years 2016-2017, and a total of $19 million during the years 2018-2022.

(e) Progress Energy Florida expects to receive future subsidies under Medicare Part D of $2 million in each of the years 2013-2017, and a total of $12 million during the years 2018-2022.

(f) Duke Energy Ohio does not expect to receive future subsidies under Medicare Part D.

(g) Duke Energy Indiana expects to receive future subsidies under Medicare Part D of $1 million in each of the years 2013-2017 and a total of $5 million during the years 2018-2022.

Plan Assets

Duke Energy Master Retirement Trust. Assets for both the qualified pension and other post-retirement benefits (excluding Progress Energy plans) are maintained in a Master Retirement Trust (Duke Energy Master Trust). Approximately 97% of the Duke Energy Master Trust assets were allocated to qualified pension plans and approximately 3% were allocated to other post-retirement plans, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. The investment objective of the Duke Energy Master Trust is to achieve reasonable returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of enhancing the security of benefits for plan participants.

The asset allocation targets were set after considering the investment objective and the risk profile. U.S. equities are held for their high expected return. Non-U.S. equities, debt securities, and real estate are held for diversification. Investments within asset classes are to be diversified to achieve broad market participation and reduce the impact of individual managers or investments. Duke Energy regularly reviews its actual asset allocation and

periodically rebalances its investments to the targeted allocation when considered appropriate.

Qualified pension and other post-retirement benefits for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are derived from the Duke Energy Master Trust, as such, each are allocated their proportionate share of the assets discussed below.

The following table includes the target asset allocations by asset class at December 31, 2012 and the actual asset allocations for the Duke Energy Master Trust.

	Target Allocation	Actual Allocation at December 31,	
		2012	2011
Duke Energy Master Trust			
U.S. equity securities	28 %	**28** %	28 %
Non-U.S. equity securities	15 %	**15** %	15 %
Global equity securities	10 %	**10** %	9 %
Global private equity securities	3 %	**3** %	1 %
Debt securities	32 %	**32** %	32 %
Hedge funds	4 %	**4** %	3 %
Real estate and cash	4 %	**4** %	9 %
Other global securities	4 %	**4** %	3 %
Total	100 %	**100** %	100 %

Progress Energy Master Trust. Assets for Progress Energy qualified pension benefits are maintained in a trust (Progress Energy Master Trust).The primary objectives of the Progress Energy Master Trust are to ensure sufficient funds are available at all times to finance promised benefits and to invest the funds such that contributions are minimized, within acceptable risk limits. Progress Energy periodically performs studies to analyze various aspects of our pension plans including asset allocations, expected portfolio return, pension contributions and net funded status. One key investment objective is to achieve a rate of return significantly in excess of the discount rate used to measure the plan liabilities over the long term. Tactical shifts (plus or minus 5 percent) in asset allocation from the target allocations are made based on the near-term view of the risk and return tradeoffs of the asset classes. Domestic equity includes investments across large, medium and small capitalized domestic stocks, using investment managers with value, growth and core-based investment strategies and includes both long only and long/short equity managers. International equity includes investments in foreign stocks in both developed and emerging market countries, using a mix of value and growth-based investment strategies and includes both long only and long/short equity managers. Domestic fixed income primarily includes domestic investment grade long duration fixed income investments.

Qualified pension benefits for Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida are derived from the Progress Energy Master Trust. As such, each are allocated their proportional share of the assets discussed below.

The following table includes the target asset allocations by asset class at December 31, 2012 and the actual asset allocations for the Progress Energy Master Trust.

	Target Allocation	Actual Allocation at December 31,	
		2012	2011
Progress Energy Master Trust			
U.S. equity securities	29 %	**20** %	28 %
Non-U.S. equity securities	19 %	**14** %	15 %
Global equity securities	4 %	**8** %	9 %
Global private equity securities	6 %	**10** %	- %
Debt securities	35 %	**35** %	36 %
Hedge funds	7 %	**9** %	6 %
Real estate and cash	- %	**1** %	6 %
Other global securities	- %	**3** %	- %
Total	100 %	**100** %	100 %

VEBA I. Duke Energy also invests other post-retirement assets in the Duke Energy Corporation Employee Benefits Trust (VEBA I). The investment objective of VEBA I is to achieve sufficient returns, subject to a prudent level of portfolio risk, for the purpose of promoting the security of plan benefits for participants. VEBA I is passively managed.

The following table includes the weighted-average returns expected by asset classes and the target asset allocations at December 31, 2012 and the actual asset allocations for VEBA I.

	Target Allocation	Actual Allocation at December 31,	
		2012	2011
VEBA I			
U.S. equity securities	30 %	**23** %	20 %
Debt securities	45 %	**32** %	31 %
Cash	25 %	**45** %	49 %
Total	100 %	**100** %	100 %

Fair Value Measurements.

The accounting guidance for fair value defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP in the U.S. and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. Under the accounting guidance for fair value, fair value is considered to be the exchange price in an orderly transaction between market participants to sell an asset or transfer a liability at the measurement date. The fair value definition focuses on an exit price, which is the price that would be received by Duke Energy to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability versus an entry price, which would be the price paid to acquire an asset or received to assume a liability. Although the accounting guidance for fair value does not require additional fair value measurements, it applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements.

Duke Energy classifies recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements based on the following fair value hierarchy, as prescribed by the accounting guidance for fair value, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels:

Level 1 — unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that Duke Energy has the ability to access. An active market for the asset or liability is one in which transactions for the asset or liability occurs with sufficient frequency and volume to provide ongoing pricing information. Duke Energy does not adjust quoted market prices on Level 1 for any blockage factor.

Level 2 — a fair value measurement utilizing inputs other than a quoted market price that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include, but are not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in an active market, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active and inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rate curves and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, credit risk and default rates. A Level 2 measurement cannot have more than an insignificant portion of the valuation based on unobservable inputs.

Level 3 — any fair value measurements which include unobservable inputs for the asset or liability for more than an insignificant portion of the valuation. A Level 3 measurement may be based primarily on Level 2 inputs.

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for the Duke Energy Master Trust qualified pension and other post-retirement assets.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Total Fair Value[a]	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Duke Energy Master Trust				
Equity securities	$ 2,993	$ 1,415	$ 1,575	$ 3
Corporate bonds	1,391	-	1,388	3
Short-term investment funds	100	23	77	-
Partnership interests	141	-	-	141
Hedge funds	97	-	97	-
Real estate trusts	167	-	-	167
U.S. government securities	237	-	237	-
Other investments[b]	(16)	(21)	5	-
Guaranteed investment contracts	37	-	-	37
Governments bonds - foreign	65	-	64	1
Cash	4	4	-	-
Asset backed securities	2	-	2	-
Government and commercial mortgage backed securities	12	-	12	-
Total assets[c]	$ 5,230	$ 1,421	$ 3,457	$ 352

(a) Excludes $26 million in net receivables associated with security purchases and sales.
(b) Includes pending investment sales (net of investment purchases) of $29 million.
(c) Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana were allocated approximately 43%, 9% and 12% of the Duke Energy Master Trust assets at December 31, 2012, respectively. Accordingly, all Level 1, 2 and 3 amounts included in the table above are allocable to Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana using these percentages.

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	Total Fair Value[a]	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Duke Energy Master Trust				
Equity securities	$ 2,568	$ 1,745	$ 823	$ -
Corporate bonds	1,237	-	1,236	1
Short-term investment funds	328	276	52	-
Partnership interests	127	-	-	127
Hedge funds	89	-	89	-
Real estate trusts	152	-	-	152
U.S. government securities	211	-	211	-
Other investments[b]	33	30	2	1
Guarantees investment contracts	39	-	-	39
Governments bonds - foreign	39	-	38	1
Cash	7	7	-	-
Asset backed securities	4	-	3	1
Government and commercial mortgage backed securities	8	-	8	-
Total assets[c]	$ 4,842	$ 2,058	$ 2,462	$ 322

(a) Excludes $27 million in net receivables and payables associated with security purchases and sales.
(b) Includes pending investment sales (net of investment purchases) of $3 million.
(c) Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana were allocated approximately 39%, 12% and 12% of the Duke Energy Master Trust assets at December 31, 2012, respectively. Accordingly, all Level 1, 2 and 3 amounts included in the table above are allocable to Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana using these percentages.

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for the Progress Energy Master Trust qualified pension assets.

Progress Energy

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Total Fair Value[a]	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Progress Energy Master Trust				
Equity securities	$ 1,094	$ 361	$ 733	$ -
Corporate bonds	432	-	432	-
Partnership interests	154	-	-	154
Hedge funds	313	-	189	124
U.S. government securities	515	405	110	-
Other investments	16	-	6	10
Governments bonds - foreign	6	-	6	-
Cash	160	113	47	-
Total assets[b]	$ 2,690	$ 879	$ 1,523	$ 288

(a) Excludes $43 million in net payables associated with security purchases and sales.
(b) Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida were allocated approximately 48% and 44% of the Progress Energy Master Trust assets at December 31, 2012, respectively. Accordingly, all Level 1, 2 and 3 amounts included in the table above are allocable to Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida using these percentages.

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
VEBA I				
Equity securities	$ 803	$ 313	$ 490	$ -
Corporate bonds	407	-	407	-
Partnership interests	153	-	-	153
Hedge funds	306	-	159	147
U.S. government securities	391	247	144	-
Other investments	16	-	5	11
Cash	115	82	33	-
Total assets[(a)]	$ 2,191	$ 642	$ 53	$ 311

(a) Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida were allocated approximately 50% and 44% of the Progress Energy Master Trust assets at December 31, 2011, respectively. Accordingly, all Level 1, 2 and 3 amounts included in the table above are allocable to Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida using these percentages.

The following tables provide the fair value measurement amounts for VEBA I other post-retirement assets.

	December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
VEBA I				
Cash and cash equivalents	**$ 22**	**$ -**	**$ 22**	**$ -**
Equity securities	**12**	**-**	**12**	**-**
Debt securities	**16**	**-**	**16**	**-**
Total assets	**$ 50**	**$ -**	**$ 50**	**$ -**

	December 31, 2011			
(in millions)	**Total Fair Value**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
VEBA I				
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 26	$ -	$ 26	$ -
Equity securities	11	-	11	-
Debt securities	16	-	16	-
Total assets	$ 53	$ -	$ 53	$ -

The following table provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of Master Trust assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

(in millions)	**2012**	**2011**
Duke Energy Master Trust		
Balance at January 1	**$ 322**	$ 185
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements		
Purchases	**21**	156
Sales	**(4)**	(29)
Total gains (losses) and other	**13**	10
Balance at December 31	**$ 352**	$ 322

The following table provides a reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of Progress Trust assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis where the determination of fair value includes significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

(in millions)	2012	2011
Progress Energy Master Trust		
Balance at January 1	**$ 311**	$ 160
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements		
Purchases	**13**	107
Sales	**(14)**	(13)
Transfers in and/or out of level 3	**(41)**	-
Total gains (losses) and other	**19**	57
Balance at December 31	**$ 288**	$ 311

Valuation methods of the primary fair value measurements disclosed above are as follows:

Investments in equity securities. Investments in equity securities are typically valued at the closing price in the principal active market as of the last business day of the quarter. Principal active markets for equity prices include published exchanges such as NASDAQ and NYSE. Foreign equity prices are translated from their trading currency using the currency exchange rate in effect at the close of the principal active market. Duke Energy has not adjusted prices to reflect for after-hours market activity. Most equity security valuations are Level 1 measures. Investments in equity securities with unpublished prices are valued as Level 2 if they are redeemable at the measurement date. Investments in equity securities with redemption restrictions are valued as Level 3.

Investments in corporate bonds and U.S. government securities. Most debt investments are valued based on a calculation using interest rate curves and credit spreads applied to the terms of the debt instrument (maturity and coupon interest rate) and consider the counterparty credit rating. Most debt valuations are Level 2 measures. If the market for a particular fixed income security is relatively inactive or illiquid, the measurement is a Level 3 measurement.

Investments in short-term investment funds. Investments in short-term investment funds are valued at the net asset value of units held at year end. Investments in short-term investment funds with published prices are valued as Level 1. Investments in short-term investment funds with unpublished prices are valued as Level 2.

Investments in real estate investment trusts. Investments in real estate investment trusts are valued based upon property appraisal reports prepared by independent real estate appraisers. The Chief Real Estate Appraiser of the asset manager is responsible for assuring that the valuation process provides independent and reasonable property market value estimates. An external appraisal management firm not affiliated with the asset manager has been appointed to assist the Chief Real Estate Appraiser in maintaining and monitoring the independence and the accuracy of the appraisal process.

Employee Savings Plans

Duke Energy and Progress Energy sponsor, and the Subsidiary Registrants participate in, employee savings plans that cover substantially all U.S. employees. Most employees participate in a matching contribution formula where Duke Energy provides a matching contribution generally equal to 100% of employee before-tax and Roth 401(k) contributions, and, as applicable, after-tax contributions, of up to 6% of eligible pay per pay period. Dividends on Duke Energy shares held by the savings plans are charged to retained earnings when declared and shares held in the plans are considered outstanding in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share.

The following table includes pre-tax employer matching contributions made by Duke Energy and expensed by the Subsidiary Registrants.

(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
For the years ended December 31,							
2012	**$ 107**	**$ 37**	**$ 45**	**$ 24**	**$ 15**	**$ 4**	**$ 6**
2011	86	37	44	23	14	4	8
2010	85	36	43	23	14	4	6

24. INCOME TAXES

Duke Energy and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. with federal and various state governmental authorities, and in certain foreign jurisdictions. The taxable income of Duke Energy and its subsidiaries is reflected in Duke Energy's U.S. federal and state income tax returns. These subsidiaries have a tax sharing agreement with Duke Energy where the separate return method is used to allocate tax expenses and benefits to the subsidiaries whose investments or results of operations provide these tax expenses and benefits. The accounting for income taxes essentially represents the income taxes that each of these subsidiaries would incur if it were a separate company filing its own tax return as a C-Corporation.

Components of Income Tax Expense

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Current income taxes							
Federal	$ (46)	$ (1)	$ (88)	$ (48)	$ 6	$ 26	$ (27)
State	35	(25)	2	(6)	-	11	27
Foreign	133	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total current income taxes	122	(26)	(86)	(54)	6	37	-
Deferred income taxes							
Federal	513	408	226	162	121	72	(47)
State	64	77	40	9	21	(9)	(25)
Foreign	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total deferred income taxes[a]	597	485	266	171	142	63	(72)
Investment tax credit amortization	(14)	(6)	(8)	(7)	(1)	(2)	(1)
Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations[b]	705	453	172	110	147	98	(73)
Tax expense from discontinued operations	24	-	29	-	-	-	-
Total income tax expense (benefit) included in Consolidated Statements of Operations	$ 729	$ 453	$ 201	$ 110	$ 147	$ 98	$ (73)

(a) Includes benefits of net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards of $1,127 million at Duke Energy, $245 million at Duke Energy Carolinas, $357 million at Progress Energy, $257 million at Progress Energy Carolinas, $25 million at Progress Energy Florida, $99 million at Duke Energy Ohio and $205 million at Duke Energy Indiana.

(b) Includes uncertain tax benefits relating primarily to certain temporary differences of $27 million at Duke Energy, $11 million at Duke Energy Carolinas, $(42) million at Progress Energy, $(6) million at Progress Energy Carolinas, $(36) million at Progress Energy Florida, $4 million at Duke Energy Ohio and $9 million at Duke Energy Indiana. The offset to these temporary differences are included in deferred income taxes.

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Current income taxes							
Federal	$ (37)	$ (122)	$ (91)	$ (27)	$ (60)	$ (95)	$ 95
State	21	30	29	21	5	1	42
Foreign	164	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total current income taxes	148	(92)	(62)	(6)	(55)	(94)	137
Deferred income taxes							
Federal	526	531	365	262	214	194	(38)
State	56	40	27	6	22	(2)	(23)
Foreign	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total deferred income taxes[a]	614	571	392	268	236	192	(61)
Investment tax credit amortization	(10)	(7)	(7)	(6)	(1)	(2)	(2)
Income tax expense from continuing operations[b]	752	472	323	256	180	96	74
Tax benefit from discontinued operations	-	-	(3)	-	-	-	-
Total income tax expense included in Consolidated Statements of Operations	$ 752	$ 472	$ 320	$ 256	$ 180	$ 96	$ 74

(a) Includes benefits of NOL carryforwards of $274 million at Duke Energy, $79 million at Duke Energy Carolinas, $213 million at Progress Energy, $54 million at Progress Energy Carolinas, $41 million at Progress Energy Florida and $47 million at Duke Energy Ohio.

(b) Includes benefits of uncertain tax benefits relating primarily to certain temporary differences of $43 million at Duke Energy, $43 million at Duke Energy Carolinas, $(3) million at Progress Energy, $(1) million at Progress Energy Carolinas, $(19) million at Progress Energy Florida, $3 million at Duke Energy Ohio and $3 million at Duke Energy Indiana. The offset to these temporary differences are included in deferred income taxes.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Current income taxes							
Federal	$ (5)	$ 3	$ (46)	$ 73	$ (44)	$ 107	$ (3)
State	39	(2)	(13)	(8)	(4)	8	16
Foreign	125	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total current income taxes[a]	159	1	(59)	65	(48)	115	13
Deferred income taxes							
Federal	639	388	505	238	286	6	123
State	83	75	100	53	39	12	22
Foreign	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total deferred income taxes[b]	742	463	605	291	325	18	145
Investment tax credit amortization	(11)	(7)	(7)	(6)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Income tax expense from continuing operations	890	457	539	350	276	132	156
Tax benefit from discontinued operations	(1)	-	(9)	-	-	-	-
Total income tax expense included in Consolidated Statements of Operations	$ 889	$ 457	$ 530	$ 350	$ 276	$ 132	$ 156

(a) Includes uncertain tax benefits relating primarily to certain temporary differences of $(392) million at Duke Energy, $(300) million at Duke Energy Carolinas, $16 million at Progress Energy, $15 million at Progress Energy Carolinas, $1 million at Progress Energy Florida, $(3) million at Duke Energy Ohio and $(7) million at Duke Energy Indiana. The offset to these temporary differences are included in deferred income taxes.

(b) Includes benefits of NOL carryforwards of $37 million at Progress Energy and $9 million at Progress Energy Florida.

Duke Energy Income from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes

	Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2012**	2011	2010
Domestic	**$ 1,827**	$ 1,780	$ 1,731
Foreign	**624**	685	479
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	**$ 2,451**	$ 2,465	$ 2,210

Reconciliation of Income Tax Expense at the U.S. Federal Statutory Tax Rate to the Actual Tax Expense from Continuing Operations (Statutory Rate Reconciliation)

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Income tax expense, computed at the statutory rate of 35%	$ 858	$ 461	$ 185	$ 134	$ 145	$ 96	$ (43)
State income tax, net of federal income tax effect	64	34	33	1	14	1	1
Tax differential on foreign earnings	(66)	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFUDC equity income	(101)	(54)	(37)	(24)	(13)	(2)	(26)
Other items, net	(50)	12	(9)	(1)	1	3	(5)
Income tax expense from continuing operations	$ 705	$ 453	$ 172	$ 110	$ 147	$ 98	$ (73)
Effective tax rate	28.8 %	34.3 %	32.7 %	28.7 %	35.7 %	36.0 %	59.5 %

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Income tax expense, computed at the statutory rate of 35%	$ 863	$ 457	$ 319	$ 270	$ 173	$ 102	$ 85
State income tax, net of federal income tax effect	50	46	39	18	17	(1)	13
Tax differential on foreign earnings	(44)	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFUDC equity income	(91)	(59)	(36)	(25)	(11)	(2)	(31)
Other items, net	(26)	28	1	(7)	1	(3)	7
Income tax expense from continuing operations	$ 752	$ 472	$ 323	$ 256	$ 180	$ 96	$ 74
Effective tax rate	30.5 %	36.1 %	35.6 %	33.2 %	36.3 %	33.1 %	30.6 %

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Income tax expense, computed at the statutory rate of 35%	$ 774	$ 454	$ 492	$ 333	$ 255	$ (108)	$ 155
State income tax, net of federal income tax effect	82	48	60	30	23	14	26
Tax differential on foreign earnings	(22)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goodwill impairment charges	175	-	-	-	-	237	-
AFUDC equity income	(82)	(61)	(32)	(22)	(10)	(2)	(20)
Other items, net	(37)	16	19	9	8	(9)	(5)
Income tax expense from continuing operations	$ 890	$ 457	$ 539	$ 350	$ 276	$ 132	$ 156
Effective tax rate	40.3 %	35.3 %	38.3 %	36.8 %	37.9 %	(43.0)%	35.5 %

Valuation allowances have been established for certain foreign and state net operating loss carryforwards that reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that will be realized on a more-likely-than-not basis. The net change in the total valuation allowance is included in Tax differential on foreign earnings and State income tax, net of federal income tax effect in the above tables.

Net Deferred Income Tax Liability Components

(in millions)	December 31, 2012						
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Deferred credits and other liabilities	$ 2,948	$ 194	$ 822	$ 342	$ 333	$ 52	$ 115
Tax credits and NOL carryforwards	3,311	447	1,536	309	91	152	340
Other	408	22	230	82	126	10	27
Valuation allowance	(226)	-	(77)	-	-	(1)	-
Total deferred income tax assets	6,441	663	2,511	733	550	213	482
Investments and other assets	(1,093)	(838)	(112)	(108)	(6)	(25)	(18)
Accelerated depreciation rates	(11,208)	(4,289)	(2,803)	(2,178)	(592)	(1,823)	(1,131)
Regulatory assets and deferred debits	(3,819)	(627)	(1,775)	(465)	(1,318)	(197)	(185)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(16,120)	(5,754)	(4,690)	(2,751)	(1,916)	(2,045)	(1,334)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	$ (9,679)	$ (5,091)	$ (2,179)	$ (2,018)	$ (1,366)	$ (1,832)	$ (852)

The following table presents the expiration of tax credits and NOL carryforwards.

(in millions)	December 31, 2012	
	Amount	Expiration year
Investment Tax Credits	$ 391	2029-2032
Alternative Minimum Tax Credits	1,033	Indefinite
Federal NOL carryforwards	1,604	2031-2032
State NOL carryforwards[a]	166	2013-2032
Foreign NOL carryforwards[b]	117	2015-2032, Indefinite
Total tax credits and NOL carryforwards	$ 3,311	

(a) A valuation allowance of $121 million has been recorded on the state NOL carryforwards and state capital loss carryforwards, as presented in the Net Deferred Income Tax Liability Components table.

(b) A valuation allowance of $105 million has been recorded on the foreign NOL carryforwards, as presented in the Net Deferred Income Tax Liability Components table.

(in millions)	December 31, 2011						
	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Deferred credits and other liabilities	$ 790	$ 228	$ 900	$ 441	$ 513	$ 68	$ 92
Tax credits and NOL carryforwards	930	199	1,163	57	42	-	95
Regulatory liabilities and deferred credits	-	-	375	142	198	-	-
Investments and other assets	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Other	137	18	522	168	101	31	5
Valuation allowance	(144)	-	(71)	-	-	-	-
Total deferred income tax assets	1,713	445	2,889	808	854	102	192
Investments and other assets	(809)	(720)	-	(103)	(56)	-	(2)
Accelerated depreciation rates	(6,989)	(3,576)	(3,098)	(1,908)	(1,180)	(1,706)	(968)
Regulatory assets and deferred debits	(1,219)	(658)	(1,271)	(541)	(685)	(216)	(136)
Other	-	-	(315)	(17)	(120)	-	-
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(9,017)	(4,954)	(4,684)	(2,569)	(2,041)	(1,922)	(1,106)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	$ (7,304)	$ (4,509)	$ (1,795)	$ (1,761)	$ (1,187)	$ (1,820)	$ (914)

Classification of Deferred Tax Assets (Liabilities) in the Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Current deferred tax assets, included in Other within Current Assets	$ 732	$ 90	$ 359	$ 144	$ 152	$ 21	$ 1
Non-current deferred tax assets, included in Other within Investments and Other Assets	85	-	20	-	-	-	-
Current deferred tax liabilities, included in Other within Current Liabilities	(6)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-current deferred tax liabilities, included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities	(10,490)	(5,181)	(2,558)	(2,162)	(1,518)	(1,853)	(853)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	$ (9,679)	$ (5,091)	$ (2,179)	$ (2,018)	$ (1,366)	$ (1,832)	$ (852)

	December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Current deferred tax assets, included in Other within Current Assets	$ 210	$ 46	$ 371	$ 142	$ 138	$ 33	$ 13
Non-current deferred tax assets, included in Other within Investments and Other Assets	67	-	27	-	-	-	-
Non-current deferred tax liabilities, included in Other within Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities	(7,581)	(4,555)	(2,193)	(1,903)	(1,325)	(1,853)	(927)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	$ (7,304)	$ (4,509)	$ (1,795)	$ (1,761)	$ (1,187)	$ (1,820)	$ (914)

Deferred income taxes and foreign withholding taxes have not been provided on undistributed earnings of Duke Energy's foreign subsidiaries when such amounts are deemed to be indefinitely reinvested. The cumulative undistributed earnings as of December 31, 2012 on which Duke Energy has not provided deferred income taxes and foreign withholding taxes is $2 billion. The amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these undistributed earnings is estimated at between $275 million and $350 million.

Changes to Unrecognized Tax Benefits

	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Unrecognized tax benefits — January 1	$ 385	$ 260	$ 173	$ 73	$ 80	$ 32	$ 24
Acquisitions	128	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unrecognized tax benefits increases (decreases)							
Gross increases — tax positions in prior periods	29	12	23	10	12	2	6
Gross decreases — tax positions in prior periods	(4)	-	(72)	(19)	(52)	-	-
Gross increases — current period tax positions	28	15	8	4	4	4	4
Gross decreases — current period tax positions	(9)	(5)	(1)	(1)	-	(2)	(2)
Settlements	(13)	(11)	-	-	-	-	-
Statute	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total changes	155	11	(42)	(6)	(36)	4	8
Unrecognized tax benefits — December 31	$ 540	$ 271	$ 131	$ 67	$ 44	$ 36	$ 32

	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Unrecognized tax benefits — January 1	$ 342	$ 217	$ 176	$ 74	$ 99	$ 29	$ 21
Unrecognized tax benefits increases (decreases)							
Gross increases — tax positions in prior periods	49	42	88	19	66	4	3
Gross decreases — tax positions in prior periods	(18)	(8)	(24)	(14)	(21)	(5)	(3)
Gross increases — current period tax positions	16	9	9	8	1	4	3
Gross decreases — current period tax positions	-	-	(8)	(4)	(4)	-	-
Settlements	(4)	-	(68)	(10)	(61)	-	-
Total changes	43	43	(3)	(1)	(19)	3	3
Unrecognized tax benefits — December 31	$ 385	$ 260	$ 173	$ 73	$ 80	$ 32	$ 24

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Unrecognized tax benefits — January 1	$ 664	$ 517	$ 160	$ 59	$ 98	$ 32	$ 28
Unrecognized tax benefits increases (decreases)							
Gross increases — tax positions in prior periods	36	14	10	8	2	15	7
Gross decreases — tax positions in prior periods	(43)	(7)	(4)	(2)	(1)	(21)	(13)
Gross increases — current period tax positions	5	3	14	10	3	1	1
Gross decreases — current period tax positions	-	-	(4)	(1)	(3)	-	-
Settlements	(320)	(310)	-	-	-	2	(2)
Total changes	(322)	(300)	16	15	1	(3)	(7)
Unrecognized tax benefits — December 31	$ 342	$ 217	$ 176	$ 74	$ 99	$ 29	$ 21

The following table includes information regarding the Duke Energy Registrants' unrecognized tax benefits[a].

	December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Amount that if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate or regulatory liability[b]	$ 131	$ 113	$ 8	$ 1	$ 1	$ -	$ 1
Amount that if recognized, would be recorded as a component of discontinued operations	11	-	3	-	-	-	-

(a) It is reasonably possible that Duke Energy and Duke Energy Carolinas will reflect an approximate $65 million reduction in unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months due to expected settlements. All other Duke Energy Registrants do not anticipate a material increase or decrease in unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

(b) Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida are unable to estimate the specific amounts that would affect the effective tax rate or regulatory liability.

The following tables include interest and penalties recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	As of and For the Year Ended December 31, 2012						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Net interest income recognized related to income taxes	$ 10	$ 9	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 2
Net interest expense recognized related to income taxes	-	-	2	-	2	-	-
Interest receivable related to income taxes	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
Interest payable related to income taxes	7	-	17	8	9	3	1

	As of and For the Year Ended December 31, 2011						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Net interest income recognized related to income taxes	$ 12	$ 5	$ 24	$ 6	$ 22	$ -	$ -
Net interest expense recognized related to income taxes	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Interest receivable related to income taxes	8	5	-	-	-	-	-
Interest payable related to income taxes	-	-	21	8	7	3	3

	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
(in millions)	Duke Energy	Duke Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy	Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Florida	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy Indiana
Net interest income recognized related to income taxes	$ 26	$ 18	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 4	$ 5
Net interest expense recognized related to income taxes	-	-	9	4	5	-	-

Duke Energy, Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Indiana are no longer subject to U.S. federal examination for years before 2004. The years 2004 and 2005 are in Appeals, waiting for approval from the Joint Committee. The 2006-2007 years are also in Appeals, waiting for the prior cycle to close. The IRS is currently auditing the federal income tax returns for years 2008 through 2011.

Progress Energy, Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida are no longer subject to U.S. federal examination for years before 2007. The IRS has examined years 2007 through 2009 and examination has been completed.

With few exceptions, Duke Energy and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to state, local or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2004.

25. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS

Presented below are the Progress Energy Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, Balance Sheets and Statements of Cash Flows as required by Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X. In September 2005, Progress Energy Parent issued a guarantee of certain payments of two wholly owned indirect subsidiaries, FPC Capital I and Funding Corp. The guarantees are in addition to the previously issued guarantees of Progress Energy's wholly owned subsidiary, Florida Progress.

FPC Capital I, a finance subsidiary, was established in 1999 for the sole purpose of issuing $300 million of 7.10% Cumulative Quarterly Income Preferred Securities due 2039, Series A (Preferred Securities), and using the proceeds thereof to purchase from Funding Corp. $300 million of 7.10% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Notes due 2039 (Subordinated Notes). FPC Capital I has no other operations and its sole assets are the Subordinated Notes and Notes Guarantee (as discussed below). Funding Corp. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Florida Progress and was formed for the sole purpose of providing financing to Florida Progress and its subsidiaries. Funding Corp. does not engage in business activities other than such financing and has no independent operations. Since 1999, Florida Progress has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of Funding Corp. under the Subordinated Notes. In addition, Florida Progress guaranteed the payment of all distributions related to the Preferred Securities required to be made by FPC Capital I, but only to the extent that FPC Capital I has funds available for such distributions (the Preferred Securities Guarantee). The two guarantees considered together constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Florida Progress of FPC Capital I's obligations under the Preferred Securities. The Preferred Securities and the Preferred Securities Guarantee were listed on the New York Stock Exchange until the February 1, 2013 redemption discussed below.

The Subordinated Notes may be redeemed at the option of Funding Corp. at par value plus accrued interest through the redemption date. The proceeds of any redemption of the Subordinated Notes will be used by FPC Capital I to redeem proportional amounts of the Preferred Securities and common securities in accordance with their terms. Upon liquidation or dissolution of Funding Corp., holders of the Preferred Securities would be entitled to the liquidation preference of $25 per share plus all accrued and unpaid dividends thereon to the date of payment. The annual interest expense related to the Subordinated Notes is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

The Progress Energy parent has guaranteed the payment of all distributions related to FPC Capital I's Preferred Securities. At December 31, 2012, FPC Capital I had outstanding 12 million shares of the Preferred Securities with a liquidation value of $300 million. The Progress Energy parent's guarantees are joint and several, full and unconditional, and are in addition to the joint and several, full and unconditional guarantees previously issued to FPC Capital I and Funding Corp. by Florida Progress. Progress Energy's subsidiaries have provisions restricting the payment of dividends to the Progress Energy parent in certain limited circumstances, and as disclosed in Note 4, there were no restrictions on Progress Energy Carolina's or Progress Energy Florida's retained earnings.

On January 2, 2013, Funding Corp. provided to the trustee of the Subordinated Notes notice of its intent to redeem all of the Subordinated Notes on February 1, 2013. The trustee then simultaneously notified the holders of the Preferred Securities that all of the Preferred Securities would be redeemed on the same redemption date. These redemptions occurred on February 1, 2013, and, therefore, the Preferred Securities, the Preferred Securities Guarantee, the Subordinated Notes, and the Notes Guarantee all ceased to be outstanding or in effect on February 1, 2013.

FPC Capital I is a VIE of which neither Progress Energy nor Duke Energy is the primary beneficiary. Separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning FPC Capital I have not been presented because Progress Energy believes that such information is not material to investors.

In these condensed consolidating statements, the Progress Energy Parent column includes the financial results of the parent holding company only. The Subsidiary Guarantor column includes the consolidated financial results of Florida Progress only, which is primarily comprised of its wholly owned subsidiary Progress Energy Florida. The Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries column includes the consolidated financial results of all non-guarantor subsidiaries, which is primarily comprised of Progress Energy's wholly owned subsidiary Progress Energy Carolinas. The Other column includes elimination entries for all intercompany transactions and other consolidation adjustments. Financial statements for Progress Energy Carolinas and Progress Energy Florida are separately presented elsewhere in this Form 10-K. All applicable corporate expenses have been allocated appropriately among the guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries. The financial information may not necessarily be indicative of results of operations or financial position had the subsidiary guarantor or other non-guarantor subsidiaries operated as independent entities.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income
Year Ended December 31, 2012

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
Operating Revenues	$ -	$ 4,701	$ 4,707	$ (3)	$ 9,405
Operating Expenses					
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power	-	2,409	1,895	-	4,304
Operation, maintenance and other	4	981	1,452	8	2,445
Depreciation and amortization	-	192	555	-	747
Property and other taxes	-	347	232	(9)	570
Impairment charges	-	146	54	-	200
Total operating expenses	4	4,075	4,188	(1)	8,266
Gains (Losses) on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net	-	2	(4)	-	(2)
Operating (Loss) Income	(4)	628	515	(2)	1,137
Equity in Earnings of Consolidated Subsidiaries	560	-	-	(560)	-
Other Income and Expenses, net	8	42	81	(1)	130
Interest Expense	256	276	208	-	740
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	308	394	388	(563)	527
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense from Continuing Operations	(92)	138	123	3	172
Income from Continuing Operations	400	256	265	(566)	355
Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax	-	35	17	-	52
Net Income	400	291	282	(566)	407
Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	-	4	-	3	7
Net Income Attributable to Parent	$ 400	$ 287	$ 282	$ (569)	$ 400
Comprehensive Income	$ 498	$ 308	$ 352	$ (653)	$ 505
Less: Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	-	4	-	3	7
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Parent	$ 498	$ 304	$ 352	$ (656)	$ 498

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income
Year Ended December 31, 2011

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
Operating Revenues	$ -	$ 4,404	$ 4,547	$ (3)	$ 8,948
Operating Expenses					
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power	-	2,288	1,755	-	4,043
Operation, maintenance and other	10	896	1,147	7	2,060
Depreciation and amortization	-	169	532	-	701
Property and other taxes	-	351	218	(7)	562
Impairment charges	-	-	3	-	3
Total operating expenses	10	3,704	3,655	-	7,369
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net	-	2	2	-	4
Operating (Loss) Income	(10)	702	894	(3)	1,583
Equity in Earnings of Consolidated Subsidiaries	798	-	-	(798)	-
Other Income and Expenses, net	(61)	32	81	-	52
Interest Expense	279	262	184	-	725
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	448	472	791	(801)	910
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense from Continuing Operations	(127)	170	275	5	323
Income from Continuing Operations	575	302	516	(806)	587
Loss from Discontinued Operations, net of tax	-	(3)	(2)	-	(5)
Net Income	575	299	514	(806)	582
Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	-	4	-	3	7
Net Income Attributable to Parent	$ 575	$ 295	$ 514	$ (809)	$ 575
Comprehensive Income	$ 535	$ 271	$ 519	$ (783)	$ 542
Less: Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	-	4	-	3	7
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Parent	$ 535	$ 267	$ 519	$ (786)	$ 535

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income
Year Ended December 31, 2010

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
Operating Revenues	$ -	$ 5,292	$ 4,933	$ (2)	$ 10,223
Operating Expenses					
Fuel used in electric generation and purchased power	-	2,613	2,008	-	4,621
Operation, maintenance and other	8	928	1,100	9	2,045
Depreciation and amortization	-	426	494	-	920
Property and other taxes	-	362	225	(7)	580
Impairment charges	-	-	5	-	5
Total operating expenses	8	4,329	3,832	2	8,171
Losses on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net	-	(5)	(4)	1	(8)
Operating (Loss) Income	(8)	958	1,097	(3)	2,044
Equity in Earnings of Consolidated Subsidiaries	1,027	-	-	(1,027)	-
Other Income and Expenses, net	7	33	74	(5)	109
Interest Expense	282	280	192	(7)	747
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	744	711	979	(1,028)	1,406
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense from Continuing Operations	(111)	267	378	5	539
Income from Continuing Operations	855	444	601	(1,033)	867
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, net of tax	1	(1)	(4)	-	(4)
Net Income	856	443	597	(1,033)	863
Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	-	4	(1)	4	7
Net Income Attributable to Parent	$ 856	$ 439	$ 598	$ (1,037)	$ 856
Comprehensive Income	$ 818	$ 434	$ 582	$ (1,009)	$ 825
Less: Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	-	4	(1)	4	7
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Parent	$ 818	$ 430	$ 583	$ (1,013)	$ 818

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2012

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
ASSETS					
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 63	$ 149	$ 19	$ -	$ 231
Receivables, net	-	321	470	(1)	790
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	603	223	162	(988)	-
Inventory	-	613	828	-	1,441
Other	73	393	470	(155)	781
Total current assets	739	1,699	1,949	(1,144)	3,243
Investments and Other Assets					
Nuclear decommissioning trust funds	-	629	1,259	-	1,888
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	14,238	-	-	(14,238)	-
Goodwill	-	-	-	3,655	3,655
Other	183	228	694	(575)	530
Total investments and other assets	14,421	857	1,953	(11,158)	6,073
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	-	9,362	13,190	145	22,697
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits					
Regulatory assets	-	3,321	1,971	-	5,292
Other	23	55	28	(6)	100
Total regulatory assets and deferred debits	23	3,376	1,999	(6)	5,392
Total Assets	$ 15,183	$ 15,294	$ 19,091	$ (12,163)	$ 37,405
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
Current Liabilities					
Notes payable to affiliated companies	$ 840	$ 235	$ 368	$ (988)	$ 455
Current maturities of long-term debt	-	435	407	1	843
Other	147	1,098	1,398	(154)	2,489
Total current liabilities	987	1,768	2,173	(1,141)	3,787
Long-term Debt	3,992	4,885	4,433	1	13,311
Long-term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies	-	309	-	(35)	274
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities					
Deferred income taxes	-	932	2,162	(536)	2,558
Asset retirement obligations	-	764	1,649	-	2,413
Regulatory liabilities	-	787	1,538	144	2,469
Other	23	943	1,375	(26)	2,315
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	23	3,426	6,724	(418)	9,755
Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries	-	34	59	-	93
Equity					
Common shareholders' equity	10,181	4,868	5,702	(10,570)	10,181
Noncontrolling interests	-	4	-	-	4
Total equity	10,181	4,872	5,702	(10,570)	10,185
Total Liabilities and Equity	$ 15,183	$ 15,294	$ 19,091	$ (12,163)	$ 37,405

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2011

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
ASSETS					
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 117	$ 92	$ 21	$ -	$ 230
Receivables, net	-	367	516	-	883
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	53	-	219	(272)	-
Inventory	-	659	770	-	1,429
Other	127	418	297	(64)	778
Total current assets	297	1,536	1,823	(336)	3,320
Investments and Other Assets					
Nuclear decommissioning trust funds	-	559	1,088	-	1,647
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	14,043	-	-	(14,043)	-
Goodwill	-	-	-	3,655	3,655
Other	118	189	675	(478)	504
Total investments and other assets	14,161	748	1,763	(10,866)	5,806
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	-	10,455	11,677	160	22,292
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits					
Regulatory assets	-	1,629	1,795	-	3,424
Other	22	51	22	(6)	89
Total regulatory assets and deferred debits	22	1,680	1,817	(6)	3,513
Total Assets	$ 14,480	$ 14,419	$ 17,080	$ (11,048)	$ 34,931
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
Current Liabilities					
Notes payable and commercial paper	$ 250	$ 233	$ 188	$ -	$ 671
Notes payable to affiliated companies	-	238	34	(272)	-
Current maturities of long-term debt	450	10	502	(1)	961
Other	199	1,030	1,221	(63)	2,387
Total current liabilities	899	1,511	1,945	(336)	4,019
Long-term Debt	3,543	4,671	3,704	-	11,918
Long-term Debt Payable to Affiliated Companies	-	309	-	(36)	273
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities					
Deferred income taxes	-	757	1,903	(467)	2,193
Asset retirement obligations	-	369	896	-	1,265
Regulatory liabilities	-	1,024	1,543	160	2,727
Other	17	1,012	1,384	5	2,418
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	17	3,162	5,726	(302)	8,603
Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries	-	34	59	-	93
Equity					
Common shareholders' equity	10,021	4,728	5,646	(10,374)	10,021
Noncontrolling interests	-	4	-	-	4
Total equity	10,021	4,732	5,646	(10,374)	10,025
Total Liabilities and Equity	$ 14,480	$ 14,419	$ 17,080	$ (11,048)	$ 34,931

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 2012

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 327	$ 853	$ 1,143	$ (483)	$ 1,840
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures	-	(809)	(1,557)	-	(2,366)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	-	(792)	(582)	-	(1,374)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities	-	792	532	1	1,325
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	(550)	(223)	56	717	-
Other	25	18	92	(2)	133
Net cash used by investing activities	(525)	(1,014)	(1,459)	716	(2,282)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from the:					
Issuance of long-term debt	444	642	988	-	2,074
Issuance of common stock	6	-	-	-	6
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(450)	(10)	(502)	-	(962)
Notes payable and commercial paper	(250)	(233)	(188)	-	(671)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	(4)	-	(3)	(7)
Dividends paid	(445)	-	-	-	(445)
Distributions to parent	-	(173)	(310)	483	-
Notes payable to affiliated companies	840	(3)	334	(716)	455
Other	(1)	(1)	(8)	3	(7)
Net cash provided by financing activities	144	218	314	(233)	443
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(54)	57	(2)	-	1
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	117	92	21	-	230
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 63	$ 149	$ 19	$ -	$ 231

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 2011

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 756	$ 706	$ 1,251	$ (1,098)	$ 1,615
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures	-	(815)	(1,441)	-	(2,256)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	-	(4,438)	(579)	-	(5,017)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities	-	4,441	529	-	4,970
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	(38)	48	(104)	94	-
Contributions to consolidated subsidiaries	(11)	-	-	11	-
Other	(24)	103	11	1	91
Net cash used by investing activities	(73)	(661)	(1,584)	106	(2,212)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from the:					
Issuance of long-term debt	495	296	495	-	1,286
Issuance of common stock	53	-	-	-	53
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(700)	(309)	(2)	1	(1,010)
Notes payable and commercial paper	250	233	185	(1)	667
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	(4)	-	(3)	(7)
Dividends paid	(734)	-	-	-	(734)
Distributions to parent	-	(513)	(585)	1,098	-
Notes payable to affiliated companies	-	63	31	(94)	-
Contributions from parent	-	10	1	(11)	-
Other	(40)	1	(2)	2	(39)
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(676)	(223)	123	992	216
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	7	(178)	(210)	-	(381)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	110	270	231	-	611
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 117	$ 92	$ 21	$ -	$ 230

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 2010

(in millions)	Progress Energy Parent	Subsidiary Guarantor	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Other	Progress Energy, Inc.
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 16	$ 1,181	$ 1,556	$ (222)	$ 2,531
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures	-	(1,055)	(1,415)	25	(2,445)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	-	(6,391)	(618)	-	(7,009)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities	-	6,395	595	-	6,990
Notes receivable from affiliated companies	15	(2)	188	(201)	-
Return of investment in consolidated subsidiaries	54	-	-	(54)	-
Contributions to consolidated subsidiaries	(171)	-	-	171	-
Other	113	63	4	(116)	64
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	11	(990)	(1,246)	(175)	(2,400)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from the:					
Issuance of long-term debt	-	591	-	-	591
Issuance of common stock	434	-	-	-	434
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(100)	(308)	(1)	(1)	(410)
Notes payable and commercial paper	(140)	-	-	-	(140)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	(3)	-	(3)	(6)
Dividends paid	(717)	-	-	-	(717)
Distributions to parent	-	(102)	(154)	256	-
Notes payable to affiliated companies	-	(201)	-	201	-
Contributions from parent	-	33	152	(185)	-
Other	-	(3)	(123)	129	3
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(523)	7	(126)	397	(245)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(496)	198	184	-	(114)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	606	72	47	-	725
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 110	$ 270	$ 231	$ -	$ 611

26. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

For information on subsequent events related to regulatory matters, commitments and contingencies, debt, preferred stock of subsidiaries, severance and condensed consolidating statements see Notes 4, 5, 6, 20, 21 and 25, respectively.

27. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

Duke Energy

The following table includes the results of Progress Energy beginning July 2, 2012. Quarterly EPS amounts are meant to be stand-alone calculations and are not always additive to the full-year amount due to rounding and the weighting of share issuances.

(in millions, except per share data)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2012					
Operating revenues	$ 3,630	$ 3,577	$ 6,722	$ 5,695	$ 19,624
Operating income	495	786	1,078	767	3,126
Income from continuing operations	297	449	594	406	1,746
Net income	299	448	598	437	1,782
Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation	295	444	594	435	1,768
Earnings per share:					
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders					
Basic	$ 0.66	$ 0.99	$ 0.84	$ 0.57	$ 3.01
Diluted	$ 0.66	$ 0.99	$ 0.84	$ 0.57	$ 3.01
Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders					
Basic	$ 0.66	$ 0.99	$ 0.85	$ 0.62	$ 3.07
Diluted	$ 0.66	$ 0.99	$ 0.85	$ 0.62	$ 3.07
2011					
Operating revenues	$ 3,663	$ 3,534	$ 3,964	$ 3,368	$ 14,529
Operating income	814	679	767	517	2,777
Income from continuing operations	513	441	469	290	1,713
Net income	513	441	470	290	1,714
Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation	511	435	472	288	1,706
Earnings per share:					
Income from continuing operations attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders					
Basic	$ 1.15	$ 0.98	$ 1.06	$ 0.65	$ 3.83
Diluted	$ 1.15	$ 0.98	$ 1.06	$ 0.65	$ 3.83
Net income attributable to Duke Energy Corporation common shareholders					
Basic	$ 1.15	$ 0.98	$ 1.06	$ 0.65	$ 3.83
Diluted	$ 1.15	$ 0.98	$ 1.06	$ 0.65	$ 3.83

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items recorded by Duke Energy in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pre-tax unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2012				
Costs to achieve the merger (see Note 2)	**$ (8)**	**$ (7)**	**$ (457)**	**$ (164)**
Edwardsport IGCC charges (see Note 4)	**(420)**	**—**	**(180)**	**(28)**
Voluntary Opportunity Plan deferral (see Note 21)	**101**	**—**	**—**	**—**
Total	**$ (327)**	**$ (7)**	**$ (637)**	**$ (192)**
2011				
Edwardsport IGCC impairment (see Note 4)	$ —	$ —	$ (222)	$ —
Emission allowance charges (see Note 12)	—	—	(79)	—
Energy efficiency revenue adjustment[a]	—	—	—	59
Total	$ —	$ —	$ (301)	$ 59

(a) In the fourth quarter of 2011, Duke Energy recorded $59 million of previously deferred revenue resulting from the receipt of an order from the NCUC which allowed the recognition of revenue in excess of amounts billed to customers.

Duke Energy Carolinas

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2012					
Operating revenues	$ **1,501**	$ **1,616**	$ **1,939**	$ **1,609**	$ **6,665**
Operating income	**475**	**386**	**440**	**216**	**1,517**
Net income	**266**	**211**	**258**	**130**	**865**
2011					
Operating revenues	$ 1,552	$ 1,607	$ 1,868	$ 1,466	$ 6,493
Operating income	363	331	541	245	1,480
Net income	205	193	311	125	834

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items recorded by Duke Energy Carolinas in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pre-tax unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2012				
Costs to achieve the merger (see Note 2)	**$ (4)**	**$ (5)**	**$ (184)**	**$ (46)**
Voluntary Opportunity Plan deferral (see Note 21)	**101**	**—**	**—**	**—**
Total	**$ 97**	**$ (5)**	**$ (184)**	**$ (46)**
2011				
Energy efficiency revenue adjustment[a]	$ —	$ —	$ —	$ 59

(a) In the fourth quarter of 2011, Duke Energy Carolinas recorded $59 million of previously deferred revenue resulting from the receipt of an order from the NCUC which allowed the recognition of revenue in excess of amounts billed to customers.

Progress Energy

Amounts shown as N/A in the following table are due to the July 2, 2012 merger between Progress Energy and Duke Energy. Under the terms of the merger agreement, each share of Progress Energy common stock was converted into 0.87083 shares of Duke Energy common stock as adjusted for the one-for-three reverse stock split of Duke Energy stock, effected in conjunction with, and immediately prior to, the merger. Quarterly EPS amounts are meant to be stand-alone calculations and are not always additive to full-year amount due to rounding.

(in millions, except per share data)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2012					
Operating revenues	$ 2,102	$ 2,288	$ 2,788	$ 2,227	$ 9,405
Operating income	363	277	379	118	1,137
Income (loss) from continuing operations	141	68	154	(8)	355
Net income	152	64	157	34	407
Net income attributable to Parent	150	63	155	32	400
Earnings per share:					
Income from continuing operations attributable to Progress Energy common shareholders					
Basic	$ 0.47	$ 0.23	$ N/A	$ N/A	$ N/A
Diluted	$ 0.47	$ 0.23	$ N/A	$ N/A	$ N/A
Net income attributable to Progress Energy common shareholders					
Basic	$ 0.51	$ 0.21	$ N/A	$ N/A	$ N/A
Diluted	$ 0.51	$ 0.21	$ N/A	$ N/A	$ N/A
2011					
Operating revenues	$ 2,174	$ 2,269	$ 2,753	$ 1,752	$ 8,948
Operating income	447	433	687	16	1,583
Income (loss) from continuing operations	187	180	293	(73)	587
Net income (loss)	185	178	293	(74)	582
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests	184	176	291	(76)	575
Earnings per share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to controlling interests					
Basic	$ 0.63	$ 0.60	$ 0.98	$ (0.25)	$ 1.96
Diluted	$ 0.63	$ 0.60	$ 0.98	$ (0.25)	$ 1.96
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests					
Basic	$ 0.62	$ 0.60	$ 0.98	$ (0.25)	$ 1.94
Diluted	$ 0.62	$ 0.60	$ 0.98	$ (0.25)	$ 1.94

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items recorded by Progress Energy in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pre-tax unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2012				
Costs to achieve the merger (see Note 2)	**$ (7)**	**$ (20)**	**$ (217)**	**$ (82)**
Florida replacement power refund (see Note 4)	**-**	**-**	**(100)**	**-**
Charges related to decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3 (see Note 4)	**-**	**-**	**-**	**(192)**
Total	**$ (7)**	**$ (20)**	**$ (317)**	**$ (274)**
2011				
Florida customer refund (see Note 4)	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	$ (288)
CVO tender offer (see Note 15)	-	-	(59)	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ (59)	$ (288)

Progress Energy Carolinas

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2012					
Operating revenues	$ **1,090**	$ **1,090**	$ **1,398**	$ **1,128**	$ **4,706**
Operating income	**107**	**83**	**172**	**148**	**510**
Net income	**52**	**31**	**96**	**93**	**272**
2011					
Operating revenues	$ 1,134	$ 1,069	$ 1,331	$ 1,013	$ 4,547
Operating income	223	196	324	133	876
Net income	131	107	199	79	516

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items recorded by Progress Energy Carolinas in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. There are no unusual or infrequent items to report for 2011. All amounts discussed below are pre-tax unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2012				
Costs to achieve the merger (see Note 2)	**$ (4)**	**$ (12)**	**$ (180)**	**$ (36)**

Progress Energy Florida

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2012					
Operating revenues	$ **1,010**	$ **1,196**	$ **1,388**	$ **1,095**	$ **4,689**
Operating income (loss)	**255**	**196**	**207**	**(29)**	**629**
Net income (loss)	**128**	**83**	**100**	**(45)**	**266**
2011					
Operating revenues	$ 1,037	$ 1,199	$ 1,419	$ 737	$ 4,392
Operating income (loss)	216	236	363	(112)	703
Net income (loss)	102	113	203	(104)	314

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items recorded by Progress Energy Florida in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pre-tax unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2012				
Costs to achieve the merger (see Note 2)	$ (3)	$ (8)	$ (37)	$ (46)
Florida replacement power refund (see Note 4)	-	-	(100)	-
Charges related to decision to retire Crystal River Unit 3 (see Note 4)	-	-	-	(192)
Total	$ (3)	$ (8)	$ (137)	$ (238)
2011				
Florida customer refund (see Note 4)	-	-	-	(288)

Duke Energy Ohio

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2012					
Operating revenues	$ 912	$ 717	$ 757	$ 766	$ 3,152
Operating income	138	95	42	74	349
Net income	74	45	14	42	175
2011					
Operating revenues	$ 879	$ 694	$ 838	$ 770	$ 3,181
Operating income	135	59	116	65	375
Net income	73	33	51	37	194

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items recorded by Duke Energy Ohio in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pre-tax unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2012				
Costs to achieve the merger (see Note 2)	$ (1)	$ (1)	$ (22)	$ (12)
2011				
Emission allowance charges (see Note 12)	$ —	$ —	$ (79)	$ —

Duke Energy Indiana

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2012					
Operating revenues	$ 688	$ 685	$ 718	$ 626	$ 2,717
Operating (loss) income	(272)	134	(30)	93	(75)
Net (loss) income	(167)	77	(19)	59	(50)
2011					
Operating revenues	$ 659	$ 620	$ 718	$ 625	$ 2,622
Operating income (loss)	130	109	(42)	85	282
Net income (loss)	76	68	(31)	55	168

The following table includes unusual or infrequently occurring items recorded by Duke Energy Indiana in each quarter during the two most recently completed fiscal years. All amounts discussed below are pre-tax unless otherwise noted.

(in millions)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2012				
Costs to achieve the merger (see Note 2)	**$ (1)**	**$ (1)**	**$ (21)**	**$ (11)**
Edwardsport IGCC charges (see Note 4)	**(420)**	**—**	**(180)**	**(28)**
Total	**$ (421)**	**$ (1)**	**$ (201)**	**$ (39)**
2011				
Edwardsport IGCC impairment (see Note 4)	$ —	$ —	$ (222)	$ —

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Summary of Utility Plant and Accumulated Provisions for Depreciation, Amortization and Depletion

Line No.	Item (a)	Total Company For the Current Quarter/Year
1	UTILITY PLANT	
2	In Service	
3	Plant in Service (Classified)	6,809,272,178
4	Property Under Capital Leases	62,459,003
5	Plant Purchased or Sold	(7,724,030)
6	Completed Construction not Classified	1,446,118,258
7	Experimental Plant Unclassified	
8	TOTAL Utility Plant (Total of lines 3 thru 7)	8,310,125,409
9	Leased to Others	
10	Held for Future Use	4,854,336
11	Construction Work in Progress	156,971,788
12	Acquisition Adjustments	269,453,622
13	TOTAL Utility Plant (Total of lines 8 thru 12)	8,741,405,155
14	Accumulated Provisions for Depreciation, Amortization, & Depletion	2,974,071,318
15	Net Utility Plant (Total of lines 13 and 14)	5,767,333,837
16	DETAIL OF ACCUMULATED PROVISIONS FOR DEPRECIATION, AMORTIZATION AND DEPLETION	
17	In Service:	
18	Depreciation	2,646,824,358
19	Amortization and Depletion of Producing Natural Gas Land and Land Rights	
20	Amortization of Underground Storage Land and Land Rights	
21	Amortization of Other Utility Plant	194,647,555
22	TOTAL In Service (Total of lines 18 thru 21)	2,841,471,913
23	Leased to Others	
24	Depreciation	
25	Amortization and Depletion	
26	TOTAL Leased to Others (Total of lines 24 and 25)	
27	Held for Future Use	
28	Depreciation	132,987
29	Amortization	
30	TOTAL Held for Future Use (Total of lines 28 and 29)	132,987
31	Abandonment of Leases (Natural Gas)	
32	Amortization of Plant Acquisition Adjustment	132,466,418
33	TOTAL Accum. Provisions (Should agree with line 14 above)(Total of lines 22, 26, 30, 31, and 32)	2,974,071,318

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Summary of Utility Plant and Accumulated Provisions for Depreciation, Amortization and Depletion (continued)

Line No.	Electric (c)	Gas (d)	Other (specify) (e)	Common (f)
1				
2				
3	5,483,892,140	1,089,181,547		236,198,491
4	16,038,204	41,148,553		5,272,246
5	(7,724,030)			
6	828,076,045	533,035,640		85,006,573
7				
8	6,320,282,359	1,663,365,740		326,477,310
9				
10	4,854,336			
11	127,325,983	8,344,167		21,301,638
12	269,453,622			
13	6,721,916,300	1,671,709,907		347,778,948
14	2,387,287,381	443,535,557		143,248,380
15	4,334,628,919	1,228,174,350		204,530,568
16				
17				
18	2,179,959,249	427,713,616		39,151,493
19				
20				
21	74,728,727	15,821,941		104,096,887
22	2,254,687,976	443,535,557		143,248,380
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	132,987			
29				
30	132,987			
31				
32	132,466,418			
33	2,387,287,381	443,535,557		143,248,380

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Plant in Service (Accounts 101, 102, 103, and 106)

1. Report below the original cost of gas plant in service according to the prescribed accounts.
2. In addition to Account 101, Gas Plant in Service (Classified), this page and the next include Account 102, Gas Plant Purchased or Sold, Account 103, Experimental Gas Plant Unclassified, and Account 106, Completed Construction Not Classified-Gas.
3. Include in column (c) and (d), as appropriate corrections of additions and retirements for the current or preceding year.
4. Enclose in parenthesis credit adjustments of plant accounts to indicate the negative effect of such accounts.
5. Classify Account 106 according to prescribed accounts, on an

estimated basis if necessary, and include the entries in column (c).Also to be included in column (c) are entries for reversals of tentative distributions of prior year reported in column (b). Likewise, if the respondent has a significant amount of plant retirements which have not been classified to primary accounts at the end of the year, include in column (d) a tentative distribution of such retirements, on an estimated basis, with appropriate contra entry to the account for accumulated depreciation provision. Include also in column (d) reversals of tentative distributions of prior year's unclassified retirements. Attach supplemental statement showing the account distributions of these tentative classifications in columns (c) and (d),

Line No.	Account (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Additions (c)
1	INTANGIBLE PLANT		
2	301 Organization		
3	302 Franchises and Consents		
4	303 Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	16,903,405	(375,995)
5	TOTAL Intangible Plant (Enter Total of lines 2 thru 4)	16,903,405	(375,995)
6	PRODUCTION PLANT		
7	Natural Gas Production and Gathering Plant		
8	325.1 Producing Lands		
9	325.2 Producing Leaseholds		
10	325.3 Gas Rights		
11	325.4 Rights-of-Way		
12	325.5 Other Land and Land Rights		
13	326 Gas Well Structures		
14	327 Field Compressor Station Structures		
15	328 Field Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		
16	329 Other Structures		
17	330 Producing Gas Wells-Well Construction		
18	331 Producing Gas Wells-Well Equipment		
19	332 Field Lines		
20	333 Field Compressor Station Equipment		
21	334 Field Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		
22	335 Drilling and Cleaning Equipment		
23	336 Purification Equipment		
24	337 Other Equipment		
25	338 Unsuccessful Exploration and Development Costs		
26	339 Asset Retirement Costs for Natural Gas Production and		
27	TOTAL Production and Gathering Plant (Enter Total of lines 8		
28	PRODUCTS EXTRACTION PLANT		
29	340 Land and Land Rights		
30	341 Structures and Improvements		
31	342 Extraction and Refining Equipment		
32	343 Pipe Lines		
33	344 Extracted Products Storage Equipment		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Plant in Service (Accounts 101, 102, 103, and 106) (continued)

including the reversals of the prior years tentative account distributions of these amounts. Careful observance of the above instructions and the texts of Account 101 and 106 will avoid serious omissions of respondent's reported amount for plant actually in service at end of year.

6. Show in column (f) reclassifications or transfers within utility plant accounts. Include also in column (f) the additions or reductions of primary account classifications arising from distribution of amounts initially recorded in Account 102. In showing the clearance of Account 102, include in column (e) the amounts with respect to accumulated provision for depreciation, acquisition adjustments, etc., and show in column (f) only the offset to the debits or credits to primary account classifications.

7. For Account 399, state the nature and use of plant included in this account and if substantial in amount submit a supplementary statement showing subaccount classification of such plant conforming to the requirements of these pages.

8. For each amount comprising the reported balance and changes in Account 102, state the property purchased or sold, name of vendor or purchaser, and date of transaction. If proposed journal entries have been filed with the Commission as required by the Uniform System of Accounts, give date of such filing.

Line No.	Retirements (d)	Adjustments (e)	Transfers (f)	Balance at End of Year (g)
1				
2				
3				
4			27,946	16,555,356
5			27,946	16,555,356
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Plant in Service (Accounts 101, 102, 103, and 106) (continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Additions (c)
34	345 Compressor Equipment		
35	346 Gas Measuring and Regulating Equipment		
36	347 Other Equipment		
37	348 Asset Retirement Costs for Products Extraction Plant		
38	TOTAL Products Extraction Plant (Enter Total of lines 29 thru 37)		
39	TOTAL Natural Gas Production Plant (Enter Total of lines 27 and		
40	Manufactured Gas Production Plant (Submit Supplementary	11,299,727	4,497,010
41	TOTAL Production Plant (Enter Total of lines 39 and 40)	11,299,727	4,497,010
42	NATURAL GAS STORAGE AND PROCESSING PLANT		
43	Underground Storage Plant		
44	350.1 Land		
45	350.2 Rights-of-Way		
46	351 Structures and Improvements		
47	352 Wells		
48	352.1 Storage Leaseholds and Rights		
49	352.2 Reservoirs		
50	352.3 Non-recoverable Natural Gas		
51	353 Lines		
52	354 Compressor Station Equipment		
53	355 Other Equipment		
54	356 Purification Equipment		
55	357 Other Equipment		
56	358 Asset Retirement Costs for Underground Storage Plant		
57	TOTAL Underground Storage Plant (Enter Total of lines 44 thru		
58	Other Storage Plant		
59	360 Land and Land Rights		
60	361 Structures and Improvements		
61	362 Gas Holders		
62	363 Purification Equipment		
63	363.1 Liquefaction Equipment		
64	363.2 Vaporizing Equipment		
65	363.3 Compressor Equipment		
66	363.4 Measuring and Regulating Equipment		
67	363.5 Other Equipment		
68	363.6 Asset Retirement Costs for Other Storage Plant		
69	TOTAL Other Storage Plant (Enter Total of lines 58 thru 68)		
70	Base Load Liquefied Natural Gas Terminaling and Processing Plant		
71	364.1 Land and Land Rights		
72	364.2 Structures and Improvements		
73	364.3 LNG Processing Terminal Equipment		
74	364.4 LNG Transportation Equipment		
75	364.5 Measuring and Regulating Equipment		
76	364.6 Compressor Station Equipment		
77	364.7 Communications Equipment		
78	364.8 Other Equipment		
79	364.9 Asset Retirement Costs for Base Load Liquefied Natural Gas		
80	TOTAL Base Load Liquefied Nat'l Gas, Terminaling and		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Plant in Service (Accounts 101, 102, 103, and 106) (continued)

Line No.	Retirements (d)	Adjustments (e)	Transfers (f)	Balance at End of Year (g)
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40			51,886	15,848,623
41			51,886	15,848,623
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				
61				
62				
63				
64				
65				
66				
67				
68				
69				
70				
71				
72				
73				
74				
75				
76				
77				
78				
79				
80				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Plant in Service (Accounts 101, 102, 103, and 106) (continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Additions (c)
81	TOTAL Nat'l Gas Storage and Processing Plant (Total of lines 57,		
82	TRANSMISSION PLAN		
83	365.1 Land and Land Rights		
84	365.2 Rights-of-Way		
85	366 Structures and Improvements		
86	367 Mains		
87	368 Compressor Station Equipment		
88	369 Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment		
89	370 Communication Equipment		
90	371 Other Equipment		
91	372 Asset Retirement Costs for Transmission Plant		
92	TOTAL Transmission Plant (Enter Totals of lines 83 thru 91)		
93	DISTRIBUTION PLANT		
94	374 Land and Land Rights	9,117,280	
95	375 Structures and Improvements	1,504,679	19,541
96	376 Mains	942,489,992	71,238,672
97	377 Compressor Station Equipment		
98	378 Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment-General	25,602,792	1,242,278
99	379 Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment-City Gate	263,232	
100	380 Services	388,374,763	35,191,697
101	381 Meters	65,730,295	(12,919,500)
102	382 Meter Installations	28,032,929	1,759,269
103	383 House Regulators	22,548,063	1,233,346
104	384 House Regulator Installations	16,807,215	6,860
105	385 Industrial Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	3,531,431	
106	386 Other Property on Customers' Premises		
107	387 Other Equipment	965,310	
108	388 Asset Retirement Costs for Distribution Plant	4,745,042	
109	TOTAL Distribution Plant (Enter Total of lines 94 thru 108)	1,509,713,023	97,772,163
110	GENERAL PLANT		
111	389 Land and Land Rights		
112	390 Structures and Improvements	2,065,246	90,288
113	391 Office Furniture and Equipment	1,911,810	163,571
114	392 Transportation Equipment	769,197	
115	393 Stores Equipment		
116	394 Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	8,166,079	49,443
117	395 Laboratory Equipment	234,057	
118	396 Power Operated Equipment	118,621	
119	397 Communication Equipment	740,411	22,547,463
120	398 Miscellaneous Equipment		
121	Subtotal (Enter Total of lines 111 thru 120)	14,005,421	22,850,765
122	399 Other Tangible Property		
123	399.1 Asset Retirement Costs for General Plant		
124	TOTAL General Plant (Enter Total of lines 121, 122 and 123)	14,005,421	22,850,765
125	TOTAL (Accounts 101 and 106)	1,551,921,576	124,743,943
126	Gas Plant Purchased (See Instruction 8)		
127	(Less) Gas Plant Sold (See Instruction 8)		
128	Experimental Gas Plant Unclassified		
129	TOTAL Gas Plant In Service (Enter Total of lines 125 thru 128)	1,551,921,576	124,743,943

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Plant in Service (Accounts 101, 102, 103, and 106) (continued)

Line No.	Retirements (d)	Adjustments (e)	Transfers (f)	Balance at End of Year (g)
81				
82				
83				
84				
85				
86				
87				
88				
89				
90				
91				
92				
93				
94				9,117,280
95			10,278	1,534,498
96	3,339,017	153		1,010,389,800
97				
98	1,269,979			25,575,091
99				263,232
100	4,561,810			419,004,650
101	2,596,078		(4,601,743)	45,612,974
102	612,590		(95,774)	29,083,834
103				23,781,409
104				16,814,075
105				3,531,431
106				
107	35,454			929,856
108	416,600			4,328,442
109	12,831,528	153	(4,687,239)	1,589,966,572
110				
111				
112				2,155,534
113	22,221		(27,946)	2,025,214
114			(100,419)	668,778
115				
116	74,931		(27,098)	8,113,493
117	179,597			54,460
118			100,418	219,039
119			4,470,797	27,758,671
120				
121	276,749		4,415,752	40,995,189
122				
123				
124	276,749		4,415,752	40,995,189
125	13,108,277	153	(191,655)	1,663,365,740
126				
127				
128				
129	13,108,277	153	(191,655)	1,663,365,740

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Property and Capacity Leased from Others

1. Report below the information called for concerning gas property and capacity leased from others for gas operations.
2. For all leases in which the average annual lease payment over the initial term of the lease exceeds $500,000, describe in column (c), if applicable: the property or capacity leased. Designate associated companies with an asterisk in column (b).

Line No.	Name of Lessor (a)	* (b)	Description of Lease (c)	Lease Payments for Current Year (d)
1	2003 Bank of America Leasing & Capital		meters	1,505,769
2	2004 Bank of America Leasing & Capital		meters	780,237
3	2005 Bank of America Leasing & Capital		meters	660,579
4	2006 Bank of America Leasing & Capital		meters	601,943
5	2007 Bank of America Leasing & Capital		meters	669,901
6	2009 Bank of America Leasing & Capital		meters	853,650
7	2010 Bank of America Leasing & Capital		meters	435,395
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45	**Total**		[redacted]	**5,507,474**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Construction Work in Progress-Gas (Account 107)

1. Report below descriptions and balances at end of year of projects in process of construction (Account 107).
2. Show items relating to "research, development, and demonstration" projects last, under a caption Research, Development, and Demonstration (see Account 107 of the Uniform System of Accounts).
3. Minor projects (less than $1,000,000) may be grouped.

Line No.	Description of Project (a)	Construction Work in Progress-Gas (Account 107) (b)	Estimated Additional Cost of Project (c)
1	PROJECTS LESS THAN $1,000,000	8,334,167	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45	**Total**	**8,334,167**	

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) X An Original (2) __ A Resubmission	/ /	2012/Q4

General Description of Construction Overhead Procedure

1. For each construction overhead explain: (a) the nature and extent of work, etc., the overhead charges are intended to cover, (b) the general procedure for determining the amount capitalized, (c) the method of distribution to construction jobs, (d) whether different rates are applied to different types of construction, (e) basis of differentiation in rates for different types of construction, and (f) whether the overhead is directly or indirectly assigned.
2. Show below the computation of allowance for funds used during construction rates, in accordance with the provisions of Gas Plant Instructions 3 (17) of the Uniform System of Accounts.
3. Where a net-of-tax rate for borrowed funds is used, show the appropriate tax effect adjustment to the computations below in a manner that clearly indicates the amount of reduction in the gross rate for tax effects.

1. CONSTRUCTION OVERHEAD COSTS INCLUDE ENGINEERING AND SUPERVISORY SALARIES, ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL SALARIES AND ASSOCIATED PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS AND EMPLOYEE EXPENSES.

IN GENERAL, IF ENGINEERS, SUPERVISORS, AND CLERICAL EMPLOYEES DEVOTE ALL OR SUBSTANTIALLY ALL OF THEIR TIME TO CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, THE SALARIES, AND RELATED EXPENSES ARE CHARGED DIRECTLY TO THE SPECIFIC CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.

FOR POWER DELIVERY, CONSTRUCTION OVERHEAD COSTS ARE CHARGED TO THE ALLOCATION POOLS AND FROM THERE ARE TRANSFERRED TO THE SPECIFIC CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS WHERE THE LABOR (INTERNAL AND CONTRACT) WAS CHARGED DURING THE MONTH.

2. ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS USED DURING CONSTRUCTION (AFUDC) IS APPLIED TO THE TOTAL CONSTRUCTION EXPENDITURES, LESS CERTAIN EXCLUSIONS, ON JOBS UNDER CONSTRUCTION. EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1982, THE RESPONDENT ADOPTED THE PRACTICE OF UPDATING THE AFUDC RATE MONTHLY, AS AUTHORIZED BY THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION IN A LETTER DATED MAY 27, 1982. THE AVERAGE AFUDC RATE FOR 2012 WAS 7.83%. THE MONTHLY RATE DOES NOT INCLUDE A REDUCTION FOR THE INCOME TAX EFFECT ON THE COST OF DEBT.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

General Description of Construction Overhead Procedure (continued)

COMPUTATION OF ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS USED DURING CONSTRUCTION RATES

1. For line (5), column (d) below, enter the rate granted in the last rate proceeding. If not available, use the average rate earned during the preceding 3 years.
2. Identify, in a footnote, the specific entity used as the source for the capital structure figures.
3. Indicate, in a footnote, if the reported rate of return is one that has been approved in a rate case, black-box settlement rate, or an actual three-year average rate.

1. Components of Formula (Derived from actual book balances and actual cost rates):

Line No.	Title (a)	Amount (b)	Capitalization Ration (percent) (c)	Cost Rate Percentage (d)
	(1) Average Short-Term Debt	S		
	(2) Short-Term Interest			s
	(3) Long-Term Debt	D		d
	(4) Preferred Stock	P		p
	(5) Common Equity	C		c
	(6) Total Capitalization			
	(7) Average Construction Work In Progress Balance	W		

2. Gross Rate for Borrowed Funds s(S/W) + d[(D/(D+P+C)) (1-(S/W))]

3. Rate for Other Funds [1-(S/W)] [p(P/(D+P+C)) + c(C/(D+P+C))]

4. Weighted Average Rate Actually Used for the Year:

a. Rate for Borrowed Funds -

b. Rate for Other Funds -

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Accumulated Provision for Depreciation of Gas Utility Plant (Account 108)

1. Explain in a footnote any important adjustments during year.
2. Explain in a footnote any difference between the amount for book cost of plant retired, line 10, column (c), and that reported for gas plant in service, page 204-209, column (d), excluding retirements of nondepreciable property.
3. The provisions of Account 108 in the Uniform System of Accounts require that retirements of depreciable plant be recorded when such plant is removed from service. If the respondent has a significant amount of plant retired at year end which has not been recorded and/or classified to the various reserve functional classifications, make preliminary closing entries to tentatively functionalize the book cost of the plant retired. In addition, include all costs included in retirement work in progress at year end in the appropriate functional classifications.
4. Show separately interest credits under a sinking fund or similar method of depreciation accounting.
5. At lines 7 and 14, add rows as necessary to report all data. Additional rows should be numbered in sequence, e.g., 7.01, 7.02, etc.

Line No.	Item (a)	Total (c+d+e) (b)	Gas Plant in Service (c)	Gas Plant Held for Future Use (d)	Gas Plant Leased to Others (e)
	Section A. BALANCES AND CHANGES DURING YEAR				
1	Balance Beginning of Year	405,483,451	405,483,451		
2	Depreciation Provisions for Year, Charged to				
3	(403) Depreciation Expense	37,840,886	37,840,886		
4	(403.1) Depreciation Expense for Asset Retirement Costs				
5	(413) Expense of Gas Plant Leased to Others				
6	Transportation Expenses - Clearing	21,586	21,586		
7	Other Clearing Accounts				
8	Other Clearing (Specify) (footnote details):	(513,013)	(513,013)		
9					
10	TOTAL Deprec. Prov. for Year (Total of lines 3 thru 8)	37,349,459	37,349,459		
11	Net Charges for Plant Retired:				
12	Book Cost of Plant Retired	(12,831,527)	(12,831,527)		
13	Cost of Removal	(2,606,529)	(2,606,529)		
14	Salvage (Credit)	41,600	41,600		
15	TOTAL Net Chrgs for Plant Ret. (Total of lines 12 thru 14)	(15,479,656)	(15,479,656)		
16	Other Debit or Credit Items (Describe) (footnote details):	360,362	360,362		
17					
18	Book Cost of Asset Retirement Costs				
19	Balance End of Year (Total of lines 1,10,15,16 and 18)	427,713,616	427,713,616		
	Section B. BALANCES AT END OF YEAR ACCORDING TO FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS				
21	Productions-Manufactured Gas	8,562,389	8,562,389		
22	Production and Gathering-Natural Gas				
23	Products Extraction-Natural Gas				
24	Underground Gas Storage				
25	Other Storage Plant				
26	Base Load LNG Terminaling and Processing Plant				
27	Transmission				
28	Distribution	415,020,024	415,020,024		
29	General	4,131,203	4,131,203		
30	TOTAL (Total of lines 21 thru 29)	427,713,616	427,713,616		

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 219 Line No.: 8 Column: c

ARO Provision $(513,013)

Schedule Page: 219 Line No.: 16 Column: c

Common Utility Plant Provision	$(1,588,062)
Deferral of Smartgrid Projects	$1,970,106
Transfers/Adjustments	$(21,682)
	$360,362

Schedule Page: 219 Line No.: 3 Column: c

This amount includes $1,588,062 of common plant provision that was allocated to gas utility plant. This amount ties to the depreciation expense shown on page 115, line 6, Column I. $1,588,062 ties to the amount of common plant that was allocated to gas plant per page 336, Line 11, Column B.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Stored (Accounts 117.1, 117.2, 117.3, 117.4, 164.1, 164.2, and 164.3)

1. If during the year adjustments were made to the stored gas inventory reported in columns (d), (f), (g), and (h) (such as to correct cumulative inaccuracies of gas measurements), explain in a footnote the reason for the adjustments, the Dth and dollar amount of adjustment, and account charged or credited.
2. Report in column (e) all encroachments during the year upon the volumes designated as base gas, column (b), and system balancing gas, column (c), and gas property recordable in the plant accounts.
3. State in a footnote the basis of segregation of inventory between current and noncurrent portions. Also, state in a footnote the method used to report storage (i.e., fixed asset method or inventory method).

Line No.	Description (a)	(Account 117.1) (b)	(Account 117.2) (c)	Noncurrent (Account 117.3) (d)	(Account 117.4) (e)	Current (Account 164.1) (f)	LNG (Account 164.2) (g)	LNG (Account 164.3) (h)	Total (i)
1	Balance at Beginning of								
2	Gas Delivered to Storage								
3	Gas Withdrawn from								
4	Other Debits and Credits								
5	Balance at End of Year					0			
6	Dth								
7	Amount Per Dth								

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 220 Line No.: 5 Column: f

Gas inventory is now stored and managed by Duke Energy's Asset Manager.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Investments (Account 123, 124, and 136)

1. Report below investments in Accounts 123, Investments in Associated Companies, 124, Other Investments, and 136, Temporary Cash Investments.
2. Provide a subheading for each account and list thereunder the information called for:

(a) Investment in Securities-List and describe each security owned, giving name of issuer, date acquired and date of maturity. For bonds, also give principal amount, date of issue, maturity, and interest rate. For capital stock (including capital stock of respondent reacquired under a definite plan for resale pursuant to authorization by the Board of Directors, and included in Account 124, Other Investments) state number of shares, class, and series of stock. Minor investments may be grouped by classes. Investments included in Account 136, Temporary Cash Investments, also may be grouped by classes.

(b) Investment Advances-Report separately for each person or company the amounts of loans or investment advances that are properly includable in Account 123. Include advances subject to current repayment in Account 145 and 146. With respect to each advance, show whether the advance is a note or open account.

Line No.	Description of Investment (a)	* (b)	Book Cost at Beginning of Year (If book cost is different from cost to respondent, give cost to respondent in a footnote and explain difference) (c)	Purchases or Additions During the Year (d)
1	123 NONE			
2				
3	124 CINCINNATI NEW MARKETS FUND		3,201,120	
4	DATE ACQUIRED:04/20/05	*		
5	DATE OF MATURITY			
6				
7	136 TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS		50,000,000	20,000,000
8	DATE ACQUIRED: VARIOUS			
9	DATE OF MATURITY			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Investments (Account 123, 124, and 136) (continued)

List each note, giving date of issuance, maturity date, and specifying whether note is a renewal. Designate any advances due from officers, directors, stockholders, or employees.

3. Designate with an asterisk in column (b) any securities, notes or accounts that were pledged, and in a footnote state the name of pledges and purpose of the pledge.

4. If Commission approval was required for any advance made or security acquired, designate such fact in a footnote and cite Commission, date of authorization, and case or docket number.

5. Report in column (h) interest and dividend revenues from investments including such revenues from securities disposed of during the year.

6. In column (i) report for each investment disposed of during the year the gain or loss represented by the difference between cost of the investment (or the other amount at which carried in the books of account if different from cost) and the selling price thereof, not including any dividend or interest adjustment includible in column (h).

Line No.	Sales or Other Dispositions During Year (e)	Principal Amount or No. of Shares at End of Year (f)	Book Cost at End of Year (If book cost is different from cost to respondent, give cost to respondent in a footnote and explain difference) (g)	Revenues for Year (h)	Gain or Loss from Investment Disposed of (i)
1					
2					
3			3,201,120		
4					
5					
6					
7	70,000,000				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Investments in Subsidiary Companies (Account 123.1)

1. Report below investments in Account 123.1, Investments in Subsidiary Companies.
2. Provide a subheading for each company and list thereunder the information called for below. Sub-total by company and give a total in columns (e), (f), (g) and (h).

(a) Investment in Securities-List and describe each security owned. For bonds give also principal amount, date of issue, maturity, and interest rate.

(b) Investment Advances - Report separately the amounts of loans or investment advances which are subject to repayment, but which are not subject to current settlement. With respect to each advance show whether the advance is a note or open account. List each note giving date of issuance, maturity date, and specifying whether note is a renewal.

3. Report separately the equity in undistributed subsidiary earnings since acquisition. The total in column (e) should equal the amount entered for Account 418.1.

Line No.	Description of Investment (a)	Date Acquired (b)	Date of Maturity (c)	Amount of Investment at Beginning of Year (d)
1	MIAMI POWER CORPORATION	09/30/1945		
2	INVESTMENT AT COST			40,980
3	UNAPPROPRIATED UNDISTRIBUTED SUBSIDIARY EARNINGS			75,347
4	PURCHASE ACCOUNTING GOODWILL ALLOCATION			6,553
5	ADVANCES-OPEN ACCOUNT			6,090
6	SUBTOTAL			128,970
7				
8	DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC.	09/30/1945		
9	INVESTMENT AT COST			27,397,284
10	DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC & PURCH ACCTG UNAPPROPRIATED			316,433,846
11	PURCHASE ACCOUNTING GOODWILL ALLOCATION			172,312,903
12	CLEARING OF PURCHASE ACCOUNTING I&D & WORKERS COMP			48,089
13	DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC AND PURCH ACCTG ADOPTION OF SFAS			(164,697)
14	DEFERRED TAX RECONCILIATION ADJUSTMENTS			880,824
15	TRANSFER OF GENERATION PLANTS (CALEB)			140,061,362
16	ADVANCES-OPEN ACCOUNT			3,183,706
17	CONTRIBUTION FROM PARENT TO FUND PENSION CONTRIBUTION			3,150,000
18	KENTUCKY DIVIDEND TO PARENT			(135,000,000)
19	SUBTOTAL			528,303,317
20				
21	TRI-STATE IMPROVEMENT COMPANY	01/14/1964		
22	INVESTMENT AT COST			25,000
23	UNAPPROPRIATED UNDISTRIBUTED SUBSIDIARY EARNINGS			(2,723,685)
24	PURCHASE ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS			2,690,629
25	PURCHASE ACCOUNTING GOODWILL ALLOCATION			(168,780)
26	ADVANCES-OPEN ACCOUNT			360,924
27	SUBTOTAL			184,088
28				
29	KO TRANSMISSION COMPANY	04/11/1994		
30	INVESTMENT AT COST			10
31	UNAPPROPRIATED UNDISTRIBUTED SUBSIDIARY EARNINGS			4,802,294
32	DEFERRED TAX RECONCILIATION ADJUSTMENTS			43,869
33	ADVANCES-OPEN ACCOUNT			617,865
34	EQUITIZE BALANCE BETWEEN KO AND DUKE ENERGY OHIO			
35	SUBTOTAL			5,464,038
36				
37	DUKE ENERGY COMMERCIAL ASSET MANAGEMENT			
38	INVESTMENT AT COST (FAYETTE, LEE, WASHINGTON, & HANGING ROCK)	04/01/2011		1,032,299,496
39	INVESTMENT AT COST (VERMILLION)	05/01/2011		138,400,465

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Investments in Subsidiary Companies (Account 123.1) (continued)

4. Designate in a footnote, any securities, notes, or accounts that were pledged, and state the name of pledgee and purpose of the pledge.
5. If Commission approval was required for any advance made or security acquired, designate such fact in a footnote and give name of Commission, date of authorization, and case or docket number.
6. Report in column (f) interest and dividend revenues from investments, including such revenues from securities disposed of during the year.
7. In column (h) report for each investment disposed of during the year, the gain or loss represented by the difference between cost of the investment (or the other amount at which carried in the books of account if different from cost), and the selling price thereof, not including interest adjustments includible in column (f).
8. Report on Line 40, column (a) the total cost of Account 123.1.

Line No.	Equity in Subsidiary Earnings for Year (e)	Revenues for Year (f)	Amount of Investment at End of Year (g)	Gain or Loss from Investment Disposed of (h)
1				
2			40,980	
3	16,480		91,827	
4			6,553	
5			6,090	
6	16,480		145,450	
7				
8				
9			27,397,284	
10	28,355,838		344,789,684	
11			172,312,903	
12			48,089	
13			(164,697)	
14			880,824	
15			140,061,362	
16			3,183,706	
17			3,150,000	
18		10,000,000	(145,000,000)	
19	28,355,838	10,000,000	546,659,155	
20				
21				
22			25,000	
23	427,975		(2,295,710)	
24			2,690,629	
25			(168,780)	
26			360,924	
27	427,975		612,063	
28				
29				
30			10	
31	316,350		5,118,644	
32			43,869	
33			617,865	
34		4,387,362	(4,387,362)	
35	316,350	4,387,362	1,393,026	
36				
37				
38			1,032,299,496	
39			138,400,465	

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Investments in Subsidiary Companies (Account 123.1) (continued)

Line No.	Description of Investment (a)	Date Acquired (b)	Date of Maturity (c)	Amount of Investment at Beginning of Year (d)
1	UNAPPROPRIATED UNDISTRIBUTED SUBSIDIARY EARNINGS			92,609,785
2	ADVANCES-OPEN ACCOUNT			426,962
3	DECAM DIVIDEND TO PARENT			
4	DUKE ENERGY OHIO NON-NATIVE ALLOWANCE CONTRIBUTION			
5	VERMILLION SALE TO DUKE ENERGY INDIANA			
6	SUBTOTAL			1,263,736,708
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40	**TOTAL Cost of Account 123.1 $** 1,467,048,803		**TOTAL**	1,797,817,121

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Investments in Subsidiary Companies (Account 123.1) (continued)

Line No.	Equity in Subsidiary Earnings for Year (e)	Revenues for Year (f)	Amount of Investment at End of Year (g)	Gain or Loss from Investment Disposed of (h)
1	158,687,177		251,296,962	
2			426,962	
3		500,000,000	(500,000,000)	
4		(24,021,779)	24,021,779	
5		28,206,555	(28,206,555)	
6	158,687,177	504,184,776	918,239,109	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40	187,803,820	518,572,138	1,467,048,803	

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Prepayments (Acct 165), Extraordinary Property Losses (Acct 182.1), Unrecovered Plant and Regulatory Study Costs (Acct 182.2)

PREPAYMENTS (ACCOUNT 165)

1. Report below the particulars (details) on each prepayment.

Line No.	Nature of Payment (a)	Balance at End of Year (in dollars) (b)
1	Prepaid Insurance	
2	Prepaid Rents	
3	Prepaid Taxes	2,452,270
4	Prepaid Interest	
5	Miscellaneous Prepayments	22,431,908
6	TOTAL	24,884,178

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Other Regulatory Assets (Account 182.3)

1. Report below the details called for concerning other regulatory assets which are created through the ratemaking actions of regulatory agencies (and not includable in other accounts).
2. For regulatory assets being amortized, show period of amortization in column (a).
3. Minor items (5% of the Balance at End of Year for Account 182.3 or amounts less than $250,000, whichever is less) may be grouped by classes.
4. Report separately any "Deferred Regulatory Commission Expenses" that are also reported on pages 350-351, Regulatory Commission Expenses.
5. Provide in a footnote, for each line item, the regulatory citation where authorization for the regulatory asset has been granted (e.g. Commission Order, state commission order, court decision).

Line No.	Description and Purpose of Other Regulatory Assets (a)	Balance at Beginning Current Quarter/Year (b)	Debits (c)	Written off During Quarter/Year Account Charged (d)	Written off During Period Amount Recovered (e)	Written off During Period Amount Deemed Unrecoverable (f)	Balance at End of Current Quarter/Year (g)
1	Income Taxes	81,729,139	4,827,117	Various	1,571,624		84,984,632
2							
3	Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program	12,286,321	1,828,578	407.3	284,743		13,830,156
4							
5	Deferred PIP Uncollectible -- Gas	4,335,867	13,206,048	146 / 904	14,824,812		2,717,103
6	(Amortized in accordance with Rate per MCF billed)						
7							
8	Bad Debt to be Recovered		7,570,261	Various	7,570,261		
9	(Amortized in accordance with rider revenue)						
10							
11	ARO Other Regulatory Asset	478,345	66,877				545,222
12							
13	Gas ARO Other Regulatory Asset	14,038,434	892,403	108	513,013		14,417,824
14							
15	Manufactured Gas Plant Reg Asset	69,140,867	14,993,456	182.3/	7,019,761		77,114,562
16				228.4			
17	Interest Rate Hedges	1,864,264		427	644,514		1,219,750
18	(Amortized over lives of various instruments)						
19							
20	Accrued Pension Post Retire Purch Acctg	51,151,245		926 / 228	5,303,891		45,847,354
21	(Amortization varies based on actuarial projections)						
22							
23	Pension Post Retire Purchase Acctg -- FAS87 NQ	383,796		926	64,430		319,366
24							
25	Pension Post Retire Purchase Acctg -- FAS106	25,356,563		Various	1,917,466		23,439,097
26							
27	2007 DEO Gas Rate Case (Amortized 60 months, beginning June 2008)	137,417		928	97,000		40,417
28							
29	Deferred DSM Costs (Amortized in accordance with rider revenue)		15,640,733	Various	1,286,451		14,354,282
30							
31	Hurricane Ike Regulatory Asset (Amortized in accordance with rider revenue)	12,692,555		407.3	4,993,868		7,698,687
32	Base Transmission Rider		5,742,751				5,742,751
33	MISO Transmission Expansion Projects	73,736,857	3,441,597	561.8/ 565	5,193,414		71,985,040
34	Save-a-Watt Residential		13,794,175				13,794,175
35	SmartGrid	40,621,336	47,993,898	Various	27,615,460		60,999,774
36	Decoupling Rider		3,500,000				3,500,000
37	Camera Costs AMRP - Reg Asset	3,871,704	1,101,314	Various	3,997		4,969,021
38	REPS Incremental Costs		1,120,945	407.3	1,120,945		
39	Bad Debt to be Recovered - Generation		2,713,748	407.3	969,408		1,744,340

40	Total	391,824,710	138,433,901	[redacted]	80,995,058	0	449,263,553

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 232 Line No.: 35 Column: a

SmartGrid Deferred Costs	27,894,661
SmartGrid 2008 PISCC (Amortized 541 months, beginning May 2010)	378,771
SmartGrid 2009 PISCC (Amortized 660 months, beginning April 2011)	1,596,325
SmartGrid 2009 Deferred Depreciation (Amortized 12 months, beginning April 2011)	0
SmartGrid 2010 PISCC (Amortized 690 months, beginning July 2012)	2,789,012
SmartGrid 2010 Deferred Depreciation (Amortized 12 months, beginning June 2012)	1,206,666
SmartGrid 2011 PISCC	5,758,945
SmartGrid 2011 Deferred Depreciation	3,786,844
SmartGrid 2012 PISCC	8,975,129
SmartGrid 2012 Deferred Depreciation	8,613,421
Total	60,999,774

Schedule Page: 232 Line No.: 3 Column: a

Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 600 months, beginning June 2002)	285,227
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 504 months, beginning June 2002)	48,072
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 720 months, beginning May 2003)	233,594
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 600 months, beginning May 2003)	549,459
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 504 months, beginning May 2003)	94,384
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program	328,852

FOOTNOTE DATA

Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 720 months, beginning May 2004)	
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 600 months, beginning May 2004)	507,819
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 504 months, beginning May 2004)	134,505
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 720 months, beginning May 2005)	238,503
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 600 months, beginning May 2005)	634,007
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 504 months, beginning May 2005)	124,263
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 720 months, beginning May 2006)	49,246
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 600 months, beginning May 2006)	856,275
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 504 months, beginning May 2006)	146,141
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 720 months, beginning May 2007)	125,213
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 600 months, beginning May 2007)	1,071,065
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 504 months, beginning May 2007)	109,643
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 720 months, beginning May 2008)	166,008
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 600 months, beginning May 2008)	1,405,136

FOOTNOTE DATA

Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2008)	123,804
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 780 months, beginning May 2009)	109,351
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 660 months, beginning May 2009)	615,674
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2009)	169,526
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2009)	14,172
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 780 months, beginning May 2010)	27,258
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 660 months, beginning May 2010)	939,138
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2010)	146,962
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2010)	154,364
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 780 months, beginning May 2011)	19,505
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 660 months, beginning May 2011)	1,002,955
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2011)	464,942
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2011)	442,129
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 780 months, beginning May 2012)	22,969

FOOTNOTE DATA

Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 660 months, beginning May 2012)	815,628
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2012)	531,974
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs (Amortized 384 months, beginning May 2012)	169,204
Accelerated Gas Main Replacement Program Post In Service Carrying Costs	953,189
Total	13,830,156

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Miscellaneous Deferred Debits (Account 186)

1. Report below the details called for concerning miscellaneous deferred debits.
2. For any deferred debit being amortized, show period of amortization in column (a).
3. Minor items (less than $250,000) may be grouped by classes.

Line No.	Description of Miscellaneous Deferred Debits (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Debits (c)	Credits Account Charged (d)	Credits Amount (e)	Balance at End of Year (f)
1	Deferred compensation	2,993,118	86,427			3,079,545
2						
3	Vacation accrual	5,230,027	5,181,471	242	5,230,027	5,181,471
4						
5	Accrued pension post retire FAS158	101,019,209	70,435,346	Various	53,122,783	118,331,772
6						
7	Indirect overhead allocation	26,006	23,383,481	Various	23,296,989	112,498
8	pool - undistributed					
9						
10	Goodwill - PA	746,918,647				746,918,647
11						
12	Ohio excise tax	4,062,921		236	855,354	3,207,567
13						
14	Cincinnati Zoo naming right	220,000		404	30,000	190,000
15	(Amort 5/1/2009-4/30/2019)					
16						
17	Fuel - EA	2,037,130		151/501	2,037,130	
18						
19	OVEC investment	111,408,750		405	7,755,000	103,653,750
20	(Amort 4/1/2006-3/31/2026)					
21						
22	Joint owner	3,805,182	2,171,173	Various	1,221,268	4,755,087
23						
24	Fixed gas deferred O&M	10,057,235				10,057,235
25						
26	2008 Electric Rate Case Exp	75,678		928	75,678	
27	(Amort 7/13/2009-7/13/2012)					
28						
29	Private outdoor lighting	633,101	347,721	Various	373,514	607,308
30						
31	Accum expenses - debt	24,577	93,700	427	10,471	107,806
32						
33	Ohio SSO / MRO Exp.	978,593	13,478,226	555	8,903,289	5,553,530
34						
35	2012 Gas rate case		319,440			319,440
36						
37	2012 Electric Distrib Rate Case		268,531	186	375	268,156
38						
39	Miscellaneous Work in Progress					

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Miscellaneous Deferred Debits (Account 186) (continued)

1. Report below the details called for concerning miscellaneous deferred debits.
2. For any deferred debit being amortized, show period of amortization in column (a).
3. Minor items (less than $250,000) may be grouped by classes.

Line No.	Description of Miscellaneous Deferred Debits (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Debits (c)	Credits Account Charged (d)	Credits Amount (e)	Balance at End of Year (f)
1	Other	249,972	2,688,876	Various	2,466,980	471,868
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39	Miscellaneous Work in Progress					
40	**Total**	**989,740,146**	**118,454,392**		**105,378,858**	**1,002,815,680**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (Account 190)

1. Report the information called for below concerning the respondent's accounting for deferred income taxes.
2. At Other (Specify), include deferrals relating to other income and deductions.
3. Provide in a footnote a summary of the type and amount of deferred income taxes reported in the beginning-of-year and end-of-year balances for deferred income taxes that the respondent estimates could be included in the development of jurisdictional recourse rates.

Line No.	Account Subdivisions (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Changes During Year Amounts Debited to Account 410.1 (c)	Changes During Year Amounts Credited to Account 411.1 (d)
1	Account 190			
2	Electric	53,615,184	47,490,525	31,080,958
3	Gas	51,922,370	16,612,146	33,565,695
4	Other (Define) (footnote details)			
5	Total (Total of lines 2 thru 4)	105,537,554	64,102,671	64,646,653
6	Other (Specify) (footnote details)	48,858,497	19,912,771	92,603,001
7	TOTAL Account 190 (Total of lines 5 thru 6)	154,396,051	84,015,442	157,249,654
8	Classification of TOTAL			
9	Federal Income Tax	151,586,043	80,662,053	151,518,814
10	State Income Tax	2,810,008	3,353,389	5,730,840
11	Local Income Tax			

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (Account 190) (continued)

Line No.	Changes During Year Amounts Debited to Account 410.2 (e)	Changes During Year Amounts Credited to Account 411.2 (f)	Adjustments Debits Account No. (g)	Adjustments Debits Amount (h)	Adjustments Credits Account No. (i)	Adjustments Credits Amount (j)	Balance at End of Year (k)
1							
2			Footnote	1,957,981			35,247,636
3			Footnote	6,850,178			62,025,741
4							
5				8,808,159			97,273,377
6	249,546	1,926,054	Footnote	(4,230,334)			127,455,569
7	249,546	1,926,054		4,577,825			224,728,946
8							
9	164,395	1,430,271		5,905,458			217,803,222
10	85,151	495,783		(1,327,633)			6,925,724
11							

Schedule Page: 234 Line No.: 6 Column: b

	Beginning Balance
Emissions Allowance Expense	36,398,482
Property Tax Reserves	14,450,964
Pension	2,749,400
Asset Retirement Obligation	1,821,556
Accrued Vacation	1,468,226
Other Post-Employment Benefits	1,357,171
Unamortized Debt	(1,053,767)
Mark To Market	(3,551,708)
Retirement Plan Expense	(7,977,650)
Other	3,195,823
	48,858,497

Schedule Page: 234 Line No.: 6 Column: k

	Ending Balance
Emissions Allowance Expense	35,631,990
Property Tax Reserves	18,614,066
Pension	(4,280,958)
Asset Retirement Obligation	1,844,571
Accrued Vacation	1,139,060
Other Post-Employment Benefits	(3,820,495)
Unamortized Debt	(971,743)
Mark To Market	(2,146,287)
Retirement Plan Expense	(6,597,271)
Federal NOL	83,243,297
State NOL	1,783,937
Other	3,015,402
	127,455,569

Schedule Page: 234 Line No.: 2 Column: g

Adjustments include:
- Transactions with Account Groups 254 and 283
- Reclassifications between Electric - Utility and Other
- Transfer of NOL balance from parent company.

Schedule Page: 234 Line No.: 3 Column: g

Adjustments include:
- Transactions with Account Groups 254 and 283
- Transfer of NOL balance from parent company.

Schedule Page: 234 Line No.: 6 Column: g

Adjustments include:
- Transactions with Account Group 283
- Reclassifications between Electric - Utility and Other
- Transfer of NOL balance from parent company.

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Capital Stock (Accounts 201 and 204)

1. Report below the details called for concerning common and preferred stock at end of year, distinguishing separate series of any general class. Show separate totals for common and preferred stock.
2. Entries in column (b) should represent the number of shares authorized by the articles of incorporation as amended to end of year.
3. Give details concerning shares of any class and series of stock authorized to be issued by a regulatory commission which have not yet been issued.

Line No.	Class and Series of Stock and Name of Stock Exchange (a)	Number of Shares Authorized by Charter (b)	Par or Stated Value per Share (c)	Call Price at End of Year (d)
1	COMMON STOCK	120,000,000	8.50	
2				
3	TOTAL COMMON STOCK (ACCT 201)	120,000,000		
4				
5	PREFERRED STOCK			
6				
7	TOTAL PREFERRED STOCK (ACCT 204)			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Capital Stock (Accounts 201 and 204)

4. The identification of each class of preferred stock should show the dividend rate and whether the dividends are cumulative or noncumulative.
5. State in a footnote if any capital stock that has been nominally issued is nominally outstanding at end of year.
6. Give particulars (details) in column (a) of any nominally issued capital stock, reacquired stock, or stock in sinking and other funds which is pledged, stating name of pledgee and purpose of pledge.

Line No.	Outstanding per Bal. Sheet (total amt outstanding without reduction for amts held by respondent) Shares (e)	Outstanding per Bal. Sheet Amount (f)	Held by Respondent As Reacquired Stock (Acct 217) Shares (g)	Held by Respondent As Reacquired Stock (Acct 217) Cost (h)	Held by Respondent In Sinking and Other Funds Shares (i)	Held by Respondent In Sinking and Other Funds Amount (j)
1	89,663,086	762,136,231				
2						
3	89,663,086	762,136,231				
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 250 Line No.: 1 Column: b

The respondent's Common Stock is not listed on a national stock exchange.

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Other Paid-In Capital (Accounts 208-211)

1. Report below the balance at the end of the year and the information specified below for the respective other paid-in capital accounts. Provide a subheading for each account and show a total for the account, as well as a total of all accounts for reconciliation with the balance sheet, page 112. Explain changes made in any account during the year and give the accounting entries effecting such change.

(a) Donations Received from Stockholders (Account 208) - State amount and briefly explain the origin and purpose of each donation.

(b) Reduction in Par or Stated Value of Capital Stock (Account 209) - State amount and briefly explain the capital changes that gave rise to amounts reported under this caption including identification with the class and series of stock to which related.

(c) Gain or Resale or Cancellation of Reacquired Capital Stock (Account 210) - Report balance at beginning of year, credits, debits, and balance at end of year with a designation of the nature of each credit and debit identified by the class and series of stock to which related.

(d) Miscellaneous Paid-In Capital (Account 211) - Classify amounts included in this account according to captions that, together with brief explanations, disclose the general nature of the transactions that gave rise to the reported amounts.

Line No.	Item (a)	Amount (b)
1	Donations Received From Stockholders (Account 208)	
2	Balance: Beginning of Year	1,506,928,418
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Subtotal Balance: End of Year	1,506,928,418
8		
9	Reduction in Par or Stated Value of Capital Stock (Account 209)	
10		
11	Gain or Resale or Cancellation of Reaquired Capital Stock (Acct 210)	
12		
13	Miscellaneous Paid-In Capital	
14	Balance: Beginning of Year	3,578,004,739
15	Dividend from Duke Energy Ohio to Cinergy Corporation	(175,000,000)
16	Vermillion Sale to Duke Energy Indiana	(28,206,556)
17		
18	Subtotal Balance: End of Year	3,374,798,183
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40	**Total**	**4,881,726,601**

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 253 Line No.: 15 Column: b

A FERC order allows for the payment of a dividend through Paid-In Capital.

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) X An Original (2) __ A Resubmission	/ /	2012/Q4

Securities Issued or Assumed and Securities Refunded or Retired During the Year

1. Furnish a supplemental statement briefly describing security financing and refinancing transactions during the year and the accounting for the securities, discounts, premiums, expenses, and related gains or losses. Identify as to Commission authorization numbers and dates.
2. Provide details showing the full accounting for the total principal amount, par value, or stated value of each class and series of security issued, assumed, retired, or refunded and the accounting for premiums, discounts, expenses, and gains or losses relating to the securities. Set forth the facts of the accounting clearly with regard to redemption premiums, unamortized discounts, expenses, and gain or losses relating to securities retired or refunded, including the accounting for such amounts carried in the respondent's accounts at the date of the refunding or refinancing transactions with respect to securities previously refunded or retired.

3. Include in the identification of each class and series of security, as appropriate, the interest or dividend rate, nominal date of issuance, maturity date, aggregate principal amount, par value or stated value, and number of shares. Give also the issuance of redemption price and name of the principal underwriting firm through which the security transactions were consummated.
4. Where the accounting for amounts relating to securities refunded or retired is other than that specified in General Instruction 17 of the Uniform System of Accounts, cite the Commission authorization for the different accounting and state the accounting method.
5. For securities assumed, give the name of the company for which the liability on the securities was assumed as well as details of the transactions whereby the respondent undertook to pay obligations of another company. If any unamortized discount, premiums, expenses, and gains or losses were taken over onto the respondent's books, furnish details of these amounts with amounts relating to refunded securities clearly earmarked.

On November 30, 2012, the Ohio Air Quality Development Revenue Refunding Series 2007A Bond in the amount of $25,300,000 was purchased back. The bond is now classified as a Treasury Bond.

On November 30, 2012, the Ohio Water Development Revenue Refunding Series 2007A Bond in the amount of $21,400,000 was purchased back. The bond is now classified as a Treasury Bond.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Long-Term Debt (Accounts 221, 222, 223, and 224)

1. Report by Balance Sheet Account the details concerning long-term debt included in Account 221, Bonds, 222, Reacquired Bonds, 223, Advances from Associated Companies, and 224, Other Long-Term Debt.
2. For bonds assumed by the respondent, include in column (a) the name of the issuing company as well as a description of the bonds.
3. For Advances from Associated Companies, report separately advances on notes and advances on open accounts. Designate demand notes as such. Include in column (a) names of associated companies from which advances were received.
4. For receivers' certificates, show in column (a) the name of the court and date of court order under which such certificates were issued.

Line No.	Class and Series of Obligation and Name of Stock Exchange (a)	Nominal Date of Issue (b)	Date of Maturity (c)	Outstanding (Total amount outstanding without reduction for amts held by respondent) (d)
1	Account 221 - First Mortgage Bonds			
2				
3	Ohio Air Quality Development 1995 Series A	09/01/1995	09/01/2030	42,000,000
4	Ohio Air Quality Development 1995 Series B	09/01/1995	09/01/2030	42,000,000
5	Ohio Air Quality Development 2002 Series A	09/10/2002	09/01/2037	42,000,000
6	Ohio Air Quality Development 2002 Series B	09/10/2002	09/01/2037	42,000,000
7	Ohio Air Quality Development Revenue Refunding 2007 Series A	10/11/2007	01/01/2024	0
8	Ohio Water Development 2007 Revenue Refunding Series A	10/11/2007	01/01/2024	0
9	5.45% First Mortgage Bonds Due 2019	03/23/2009	04/01/2019	450,000,000
10	Ohio Air Quality Development 2004 Series A	11/10/2004	11/01/2039	47,000,000
11	Ohio Air Quality Development 2004 Series B	11/10/2004	11/01/2039	47,000,000
12				
13	Subtotal Account 221			712,000,000
14				
15	Subtotal Account 222			
16				
17	Subtotal Account 223			
18				
19	Account 224 - Notes Payable			
20				
21	6.9% Unsecured Debentures Due in 2025	06/01/1995	06/01/2025	150,000,000
22	5.70% Debentures Due in 2012	09/23/2002	09/15/2012	
23	5.40% Debentures Due in 2033	06/16/2003	06/15/2033	200,000,000
24	5.375% Debentures Due in 2033	06/16/2003	06/15/2033	200,000,000
25	Ohio Air Quality Development 2007 Revenue Series A	11/29/2007	12/01/2041	70,000,000
26	Ohio Air Quality Development 2007 Revenue Series B	11/29/2007	12/01/2041	70,000,000
27	2.10% First Mortgage Bonds Due 2013	12/14/2009	06/15/2013	250,000,000
28	Todhunter Sale of Gas Storage Facility to TEPPCO	09/01/2007	08/31/2027	7,270,887
29				
30	Other Long-Term Debt			2,486,086
31				
32	Subtotal Account 224			949,756,973
33				
34	SEE FOOTNOTE			
35				
36	OCI Amortization			
37				
38				
39				
40	**TOTAL**			1,661,756,973

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Long-Term Debt (Accounts 221, 222, 223, and 224)

5. In a supplemental statement, give explanatory details for Accounts 223 and 224 of net changes during the year. With respect to long-term advances, show for each company: (a) principal advanced during year (b) interest added to principal amount, and (c) principal repaid during year. Give Commission authorization numbers and dates.

6. If the respondent has pledged any of its long-term debt securities, give particulars (details) in a footnote, including name of the pledgee and purpose of the pledge.

7. If the respondent has any long-term securities that have been nominally issued and are nominally outstanding at end of year, describe such securities in a footnote.

8. If interest expense was incurred during the year on any obligations retired or reacquired before end of year, include such interest expense in column (f). Explain in a footnote any difference between the total of column (f) and the total Account 427, Interest on Long-Term Debt and Account 430, Interest on Debt to Associated Companies.

9. Give details concerning any long-term debt authorized by a regulatory commission but not yet issued.

Line No.	Interest for Year Rate (in %) (e)	Interest for Year Amount (f)	Held by Respondent Reacquired Bonds (Acct 222) (g)	Held by Respondent Sinking and Other Funds (h)	Redemption Price per $100 at End of Year (i)
1					
2					
3	0.342	222,067			100.00
4	0.258	157,070			100.00
5	0.421	501,017			100.00
6	0.422	309,471			100.00
7	0.281	193,371			100.00
8	0.345	165,031			100.00
9	5.450	24,525,000			0.40
10	0.419	556,331			100.00
11	0.417	555,953			100.00
12					
13		27,185,311			
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	6.900	10,350,000			0.00
22	5.700	20,108,333			0.00
23	5.400	10,800,000			0.20
24	5.375	10,750,000			0.20
25	0.422	583,757			100.00
26	0.422	583,757			100.00
27	2.100	5,250,000			0.15
28	4.770				
29					
30					
31					
32		58,425,847			
33					
34	0.000				
35					
36		644,514			
37					
38					
39					
40		86,255,672			

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 28 Column: a

In July 2007, Duke Energy Ohio sold a cavern storage facility to TEPPCO. Under the rules of FAS 66, this transaction could not be accounted for as a sale and as such the consideration received has been recorded as long term debt on the Respondent's books.

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 34 Column: a

On September 29, 2010, duke Energy corporation filed a Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement providing for the registration for the issuance of public securities. The Registration Statement includes Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., has no limitation as to the amount of public securities to be offered. The Registration Statement was effective as of the filing date and is expected to remain effective for approximately 3 years.

On May 9, 2012, the long-term financing authority, PUCO Case No. 12-905-GE-AIS, was approved to issue securities in the form of Secured and Unsecured notes, Tax Exempt notes, and Capital leases, and it expires on April 30, 2013. The order provides the authorization to issue up to $800M of first mortgage bonds, senior and junior unsecured Debentures, or other forms of unsecured indebtedness. Additionally, the application provides for the issuance of up to $450M of tax-exempt private activity bonds through the Ohio Air Quality Development Authority or other Authority and $100M of capital leases.

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 34 Column: e

The interst rate for each variable rate bond is the December 2012 average rate.

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 9 Column: i

Redemption price of the Debenture is baed on the present value of the future interest and principal payments discounted at a rate equal to the yield of US government securities with a maturity similar to the Debenture plus a certain spread. This spread is presented in column I and is shown as basis points. The calculated Redemption Price can never be less than $100.

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 21 Column: i

Refer to footnote for Page 256, Line 9, Column i

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 22 Column: i

Refer to footnote for Page 256, Line 9, Column i

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 23 Column: i

Refer to footnote for Page 256, Line 9, Column i

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 24 Column: i

Refer to footnote for Page 256, Line 9, Column i

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 7 Column: d

Pollution Control Bond Series 2007A was purchased back on November 30, 2012. The bond is now classified as a Treasury Bond.

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 8 Column: d

Pollution Control Bond Series 2007A was purchased back on November 30, 2012. The bond is now classified as a Treasury Bond.

Schedule Page: 256 Line No.: 27 Column: i

Refer to footnote for Page 256, Line 9, Column i

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Unamortized Debt Expense, Premium and Discount on Long-Term Debt (Accounts 181, 225, 226)

1. Report under separate subheadings for Unamortized Debt Expense, Unamortized Premium on Long-Term Debt and Unamortized Discount on Long-Term Debt, details of expense, premium or discount applicable to each class and series of long-term debt.
2. Show premium amounts by enclosing the figures in parentheses.
3. In column (b) show the principal amount of bonds or other long-term debt originally issued.
4. In column (c) show the expense, premium or discount with respect to the amount of bonds or other long-term debt originally issued.

Line No.	Designation of Long-Term Debt (a)	Principal Amount of Debt Issued (b)	Total Expense Premium or Discount (c)	Amortization Period Date From (d)	Amortization Period Date To (e)
1					
2	UNAMORTIZED EXPENSE				
3	6.9% UNSECURED DEBENTURES DUE 6/01/25	150,000,000	2,871,122	06/01/1995	06/01/2025
4	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 1995 SERIES A	42,000,000	272,300	09/01/1995	09/01/2030
5	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 1995 SERIES B	42,000,000	272,300	09/01/1995	09/01/2030
6	5.45% FIRST MORTG BONDS DUE 4/1/19	450,000,000	2,174,657	03/23/2009	04/01/2019
7	2.10% FIRST MORTG BONDS DUE 6/15/13	250,000,000	687,500	12/17/2009	06/15/2013
8					
9	5.70% DEBENTURES DUE 9/15/12	500,000,000	2,126,966	09/23/2002	09/15/2012
10	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2002 SERIES A	42,000,000	437,702	09/10/2002	09/01/2037
11	INSURANCE EXPENSE - OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2002 SERIES A	42,000,000	807,465	09/10/2002	09/01/2037
12	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2002 SERIES B	42,000,000	437,702	09/10/2002	09/01/2037
13	INSURANCE EXPENSE - OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2002 SERIES B	42,000,000	807,465	09/10/2002	09/01/2037
14	5.40% DEBENTURES DUE 6/15/33	200,000,000	1,295,647	06/16/2003	06/15/2033
15	5.375% DEBENTURES DUE 6/15/33	200,000,000	840,299	06/16/2003	06/15/2033
16	REOFF OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 1995B	42,000,000	150,000	08/01/2003	09/01/2030
17	MASTER CREDIT FACILITY			11/18/2011	11/18/2017
18	REOFF OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 1995A	42,000,000	150,000	08/01/2003	08/01/2030
19	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2004 SERIES A	47,000,000	799,672	11/18/2004	11/01/2039
20	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2004 SERIES B	47,000,000	799,672	11/18/2004	11/01/2039
21	2007 REVOLVER		167,362	06/28/2007	06/29/2012
22	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. REVENUE REFUNDING 2007 SERIES A	25,300,000	298,823	10/11/2007	11/30/2012
23	OHIO WATER DEV. REVENUE REFUNDING 2007 SERIES A	21,400,000	327,212	10/11/2007	11/30/2012
24	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2007 REVENUE SERIES A	70,000,000	495,000	12/01/2007	12/01/2041
25	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 2007 REVENUE SERIES B	70,000,000	495,000	12/01/2007	12/01/2041
26	SUBTOTAL ACCOUNT 181				
27					
28					
29	UNAMORTIZED PREMIUM				
30	PURCH ACCTG - 5.40% DEBENTURES DUE 6/15/33	200,000,000	(2,590,117)	04/01/2006	06/15/2033
31	PURCH ACCTG - 6.90% UNSECURED DEBENTURES DUE 6/1/25	150,000,000	(6,459,047)	04/01/2006	06/01/2025
32	SUBTOTAL ACCOUNT 225				
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Unamortized Debt Expense, Premium and Discount on Long-Term Debt (Accounts 181, 225, 226)

5. Furnish in a footnote details regarding the treatment of unamortized debt expense, premium or discount associated with issues redeemed during the year. Also, give in a footnote the date of the Commission's authorization of treatment other than as specified by the Uniform System of Accounts.

6. Identify separately undisposed amounts applicable to issues which were redeemed in prior years.

7. Explain any debits and credits other than amortization debited to Account 428, Amortization of Debt Discount and Expense, or credited to Account 429, Amortization of Premium on Debt-Credit.

Line No.	Balance at Beginning of Year (f)	Debits During Year (g)	Credits During Year (h)	Balance at End of Year (i)
1				
2				
3	741,842		55,293	686,549
4	101,752		5,451	96,301
5	106,938		5,729	101,209
6	1,621,866		223,706	1,398,160
7	346,270		237,895	108,375
8				
9	90,836		90,836	
10	321,543		12,528	309,015
11	592,500		23,085	569,415
12	321,542		12,527	309,015
13	592,500		23,085	569,415
14	594,951		27,730	567,221
15	512,417		23,883	488,534
16	59,751		3,200	56,551
17	3,102,726	149,021	607,457	2,644,290
18	58,243		3,119	55,124
19	637,092		22,889	614,203
20	637,092		22,889	614,203
21	31,576		31,576	
22	327,708		327,708	
23	175,683		175,683	
24	1,043,130		34,868	1,008,262
25	1,043,130		34,868	1,008,262
26	13,061,088	149,021	2,006,005	11,204,104
27				
28				
29				
30	(2,039,545)	95,059		(1,944,486)
31	(4,523,995)	337,192		(4,186,803)
32	(6,563,540)	432,251		(6,131,289)
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
1				
2				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Unamortized Debt Expense, Premium and Discount on Long-Term Debt (Accounts 181, 225, 226) (continued)

Line No.	Designation of Long-Term Debt (a)	Principal Amount of Debt Issued (b)	Total Expense Premium or Discount (c)	Amortization Period Date From (d)	Amortization Period Date To (e)
1					
2	UNAMORTIZED DISCOUNT				
3	6.9% UNSECURED DEBENTURES DUE 2025	150,000,000	975,000	06/01/1995	06/01/2025
4	5.45% DEBENTURES DUE 4/1/19	450,000,000	180,000	03/23/2009	04/01/2019
5	2.10% DEBENTURES DUE 6/15/13	250,000,000	42,500	12/17/2009	06/15/2013
6	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 1995 SERIES A	42,000,000	149,265	09/01/1995	09/01/2030
7	OHIO AIR QUALITY DEV. 1995 SERIES B	42,000,000	149,265	09/01/1995	09/01/2030
8	5.70% DEBENTURES DUE 9/15/12	500,000,000	2,294,803	09/23/2002	09/15/2012
9	5.40% DEBENTURES DUE 6/15/33	200,000,000	35,366,184	06/16/2003	06/15/2033
10	5.375% DEBENTURES DUE 6/15/33	200,000,000	17,312,591	06/16/2003	06/15/2033
11	SUBTOTAL ACCOUNT 226				
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Unamortized Debt Expense, Premium and Discount on Long-Term Debt (Accounts 181, 225, 226) (continued)

Line No.	Balance at Beginning of Year (f)	Debits During Year (g)	Credits During Year (h)	Balance at End of Year (i)
3	436,440		32,535	403,905
4	130,202		17,960	112,242
5	17,700		12,162	5,538
6	79,563		4,262	75,301
7	79,587		4,263	75,324
8	244,473		244,473	
9	25,299,760		1,179,171	24,120,589
10	13,566,624		632,313	12,934,311
11	39,854,349		2,127,139	37,727,210
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 258 Line No.: 22 Column: a

Pollution Control Bond Series 2007A was purchased back on November 30, 2012. The bond is now classified as a Treasury Bond.

Schedule Page: 258 Line No.: 23 Column: a

Pollution Control Bond Series 2007A was purchased back on November 30, 2012. The bond is now classified as a Treasury Bond.

Schedule Page: 258 Line No.: 17 Column: a

In November 2011, Duke Energy entered into a new five-year master credit facility, expiring in November 2016. In October 2012, the Duke Energy Registrants reached an agreement with banks representing $5.63 billion of commitments under the master credit facility to extend the expiration date by one year to November 2017. The Duke Energy Registrants each have borrowing capacity under the master credit facility up to specified sublimits for each borrower. Duke Energy Ohio has a $650 million borrowing limit as of December 31, 2012.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Unamortized Loss and Gain on Reacquired Debt (Accounts 189, 257)

1. Report under separate subheadings for Unamortized Loss and Unamortized Gain on Reacquired Debt, details of gain and loss, including maturity date, on reacquisition applicable to each class and series of long-term debt. If gain or loss resulted from a refunding transaction, include also the maturity date of the new issue.
2. In column (c) show the principal amount of bonds or other long-term debt reacquired.
3. In column (d) show the net gain or net loss realized on each debt reacquisition as computed in accordance with General Instruction 17 of the Uniform Systems of Accounts.
4. Show loss amounts by enclosing the figures in parentheses.
5. Explain in a footnote any debits and credits other than amortization debited to Account 428.1, Amortization of Loss on Reacquired Debt, or credited to Account 429.1, Amortization of Gain on Reacquired Debt-Credit.

Line No.	Designation of Long-Term Debt (a)	Date Reacquired (b)	Principal of Debt Reacquired (c)	Net Gain or Loss (d)	Balance at Beginning of Year (e)	Balance at End of Year (f)
1						
2						
3	FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS - 9.70%, 10-1/8%	11/11/1995	200,000,000	(3,724,487)	423,750	370,083
4	POLLUTION CONTROL BONDS - 10-1/8% DATED DECEMBER 1, 1985 DUE DECEMBER 1, 2015	11/11/1995	84,000,000	(3,554,482)	243,674	181,459
5	FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS - 10.20% DATED DECEMBER 1, 1990 DUE DECEMBER 1, 2020	10/30/1995	4,000,000	(1,950,399)	242,901	215,660
6	FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS - 8.95% SERIES	03/03/1997	100,000,000	(1,480,555)	208,707	187,749
7	FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS - 8-1/2%	03/30/1998	100,000,000	(987,703)	151,021	136,863
8	FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS - 7.20%	07/14/1999	34,500,000	(316,026)	53,594	49,032
9	OAQD BONDS 1985 A SERIES	10/01/2002	42,000,000	(300,358)	31,272	23,288
10	OAQD BONDS 1985 B SERIES	10/01/2002	42,000,000	(299,568)	31,189	23,226
11	DEBENTURES - 8.28% JR SB	06/30/2003	100,000,000	(3,158,778)	1,398,803	1,295,188
12	FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS - 7.20%	10/01/2003	265,500,000	(5,485,728)	2,325,779	2,127,840
13	OAQD BONDS 2001 A SERIES	09/01/2010	12,100,000	(534,966)	503,840	480,496
14						
15	TOTAL ACCOUNT 189		984,100,000	(21,793,050)	5,614,530	5,090,884
16						
17	FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS - 7.20%	07/14/1999	34,500,000	1,006,250	(487,437)	(445,953)
18						
19	TOTAL ACCOUNT 257		34,500,000	1,006,250	(487,437)	(445,953)
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Reconciliation of Reported Net Income with Taxable Income for Feder Income Taxes

1. Report the reconciliation of reported net income for the year with taxable income used in computing Federal Income Tax accruals and show computation of such tax accruals. Include in the reconciliation, as far as practicable, the same detail as furnished on Schedule M-1 of the tax return for the year. Submit a reconciliation even though there is no taxable income for the year. Indicate clearly the nature of each reconciling amount.

2. If the utility is a member of a group that files consolidated Federal tax return, reconcile reported net income with taxable net income as if a separate return were to be filed, indicating, however, intercompany amounts to be eliminated in such a consolidated return. State names of group members, tax assigned to each group member, and basis of allocation, assignments, or sharing of the consolidated tax among the group members.

Line No.	Details (a)	Amount (b)
1	Net Income for the Year (Page 116)	174,683,369
2	Reconciling Items for the Year	
3		
4	Taxable Income Not Reported on Books	
5	Contributions in Aid of Construction	1,735,466
6		
7		
8	TOTAL	1,735,466
9	Deductions Recorded on Books Not Deducted for Return	
10	See footnote for details	80,823,683
11		
12		
13	TOTAL	80,823,683
14	Income Recorded on Books Not Included in Return	
15	Equity in Earnins of Subsidiary	187,803,820
16	Allowance For Funds Used During Construction	9,715,384
17	Past In-Service Carring Costs	2,343,833
18	TOTAL	199,863,037
19	Deductions on Return Not Charged Against Book Income	
20	See footnote for details	565,798,985
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	TOTAL	565,798,985
27	Federal Tax Net Income	(508,419,504)
28	Show Computation of Tax:	
29	Tax at 35% of Federal Tax Net Income of -508,419,504	(177,946,826)
30	Less: Prior Period Adjustments	(42,466,351)
31	Less: NOLs	(98,786,055)
32	Less: Other	(941,137)
33		
34		
35	Tax of Respondent	(35,753,283)

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 261 Line No.: 10 Column: b

Federal Income Tax Expense - Net Of ITC	-8,478,569
State Income Tax Expense	-3,540,607
Pension Cost - FASB-87	16,082,047
Regulatory Asset - Hurricane Ike Storm Damage	10,295,868
Reg Asset - AccrPension FAS 158	8,650,951
Reg Asset/Liab Def Revenue	8,270,000
RSP Cost Capitalization	7,755,000
Non-Cash Overhead Basis Adjustment	5,649,701
Reg Asset - Pension Post Retirement	5,303,891
Deferred Ohio Gross Receipts	5,282,553
Tax Interest Capitalized	5,063,579
Inventory and Contract Write-up	2,037,130
Reg Asset - Pension Post Retirement	1,917,466
Severance Accrual	1,803,978
Uncollectible Provision - PIP Adjustment	1,618,764
Book Capital Lease Meters	1,573,714
Misc. Current Taxable Inc. Adj. - Reg Asset/Liab	1,300,000
Regulatory Asset/Liab - Load Factor Adj Rider	1,169,037
Asset Retirement Obligation	984,566
Regulatory Asset - Smart Grid Gas Furnace	945,211
Duke Merger - Permanent	832,420
Emissions Allowance Deduction	742,337
Cash Flow Hedge	704,014
Business Meals	534,805
Amortization Of Loss On Reacquired Debt	529,038
Other	3,796,789
	80,823,683

Schedule Page: 261 Line No.: 20 Column: b

Depreciation Deducted in Excess of Amount on Book	265,933,842
Property Tax	43,901,129
Repairs 481(a) Pursuant to 3115	41,968,077
Loss On ACRS	16,463,281
Current Portion of Reg Asset	15,962,340
Reg Asset Accr Pension Post Ret	14,551,501
Post Retirement Benefits - Health Care	14,436,515
Demand Side Management	14,354,282
263A Adjustment	14,000,000
MGP Sites	13,335,000
Mark-to-Market ST	13,020,930
Joint Owner Pension Rec-NC	12,666,395
Save-a-Watt Regulated Deferred Liability	10,797,400
Regulatory Asset - Smart Grid PISCC	9,213,859
Regulatory Asset - MGP Costs	7,973,695
Uncollectible Accounts Provision Adjustment	6,754,846
Electric Meters & Transformers - Leased	6,420,454
Deferred Fuel Cost - P.G.A.	5,586,101
Reg Asset - Electric Rate Case Expense	4,949,438
Mark-to-Market LT	4,719,855
Regulatory Asset - Smart Grid Deferred Depreciation	4,443,340

FOOTNOTE DATA

Vacation Pay Accruals	4,114,330
Qualified Pension Plan	3,884,062
Cost Of Removal Adjustment	2,122,915
Reg Asset - Transition from MISO to PJM	1,946,254
FAS 34	1,901,411
Unbilled Revenue - Fuel	1,850,948
Gain On Sale Of Lattice Towers	1,750,000
Regulatory Asset - Smart Grid Deferred Other O&M	1,593,818
FAS 106 OPEB OCI	1,075,008
Self-Developed Software	944,265
Regulatory Asset - ARO	829,986
Post Employment Benefits - SFAS 112	608,527
Lease Meters - Current	504,382
Other	1,220,799
	565,798,985

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Taxes Accrued, Prepaid and Charged During Year, Distribution of Taxes Charged (Show utility dept where applicable and acct charged)

1. Give details of the combined prepaid and accrued tax accounts and show the total taxes charged to operations and other accounts during the year. Do not include gasoline and other sales taxes which have been charged to the accounts to which the taxed material was charged. If the actual or estimated amounts of such taxes are known, show the amounts in a footnote and designate whether estimated or actual amounts.
2. Include on this page, taxes paid during the year and charged direct to final accounts, (not charged to prepaid or accrued taxes). Enter the amounts in both columns (d) and (e). The balancing of this page is not affected by the inclusion of these taxes.
3. Include in column (d) taxes charged during the year, taxes charged to operations and other accounts through (a) accruals credited to taxes accrued, (b) amounts credited to the portion of prepaid taxes charged to current year, and (c) taxes paid and charged direct to operations or accounts other than accrued and prepaid tax accounts.
4. List the aggregate of each kind of tax in such manner that the total tax for each State and subdivision can readily be ascertained.

Line No.	Kind of Tax (See Instruction 5) (a)	Balance at Beg. of Year Taxes Accrued (b)	Balance at Beg. of Year Prepaid Taxes (c)
1			
2	FEDERAL TAXES		
3	INCOME	4,717,473	36,506,049
4	FEDERAL INSURANCE	199,877	
5	UNEMPLOYMENT	41,706	
6	HIGHWAY & FUEL		
7			
8			
9			
10	STATE TAXES		
11	INCOME	(1,224,511)	4,924,229
12	UNEMPLOYMENT	32,442	
13	SALES & USE	637,854	
14	PROPERTY	436,367	
15	EXCISE	11,539,891	
16			
17			
18			
19	OTHER TAXES		
20	LOCAL PROPERTY	153,450,076	
21	CINCINNATI FRANCHISE	252,657	
22	OHIO COMMERCIAL	1,388,029	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
	TOTAL	171,471,861	41,430,278

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Taxes Accrued, Prepaid and Charged During Year, Distribution of Taxes Charged (Show utility dept where applicable and acct charged) (continued)

5. If any tax (exclude Federal and State income taxes) covers more than one year, show the required information separately for each tax year, identifying the year in column (a).
6. Enter all adjustments of the accrued and prepaid tax accounts in column (f) and explain each adjustment in a footnote. Designate debit adjustments by parentheses.
7. Do not include on this page entries with respect to deferred income taxes or taxes collected through payroll deductions or otherwise pending transmittal of such taxes to the taxing authority.
8. Show in columns (i) thru (p) how the taxes accounts were distributed. Show both the utility department and number of account charged. For taxes charged to utility plant, show the number of the appropriate balance sheet plant account or subaccount.
9. For any tax apportioned to more than one utility department or account, state in a footnote the basis (necessity) of apportioning such tax.
10. Items under $250,000 may be grouped.
11. Report in column (q) the applicable effective state income tax rate.

Line No.	Taxes Charged During Year (d)	Taxes Paid During Year (e)	Adjustments (f)	Balance at End of Year Taxes Accrued (Account 236) (g)	Balance at End of Year Prepaid Taxes (Included in Acct 165) (h)
1					
2					
3	(35,753,283)	4,466,049	2	(72,007,906)	
4	12,922,840	12,919,611		203,106	
5	205,673	199,485		47,894	
6	14,630	14,630			
7					
8					
9					
10					
11	2,602,741	(619,340)		(474,388)	2,452,271
12	24,774	56,274		942	
13	5,759	472,028		171,585	
14	390,809	426,894		400,282	
15	94,221,211	94,501,544		11,259,558	
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	90,216,660	111,282,973		132,383,763	
21	1,056,910	1,085,793		223,774	
22	3,933,158	3,662,219		1,658,968	
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
	TOTAL 169,841,882	228,468,160	2	73,867,578	2,452,271

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Taxes Accrued, Prepaid and Charged During Year, Distribution of Taxes Charged (Show utility dept where applicable and acct charged)

1. Give details of the combined prepaid and accrued tax accounts and show the total taxes charged to operations and other accounts during the year. Do not include gasoline and other sales taxes which have been charged to the accounts to which the taxed material was charged. If the actual or estimated amounts of such taxes are known, show the amounts in a footnote and designate whether estimated or actual amounts.
2. Include on this page, taxes paid during the year and charged direct to final accounts, (not charged to prepaid or accrued taxes). Enter the amounts in both columns (d) and (e). The balancing of this page is not affected by the inclusion of these taxes.
3. Include in column (d) taxes charged during the year, taxes charged to operations and other accounts through (a) accruals credited to taxes accrued, (b) amounts credited to the portion of prepaid taxes charged to current year, and (c) taxes paid and charged direct to operations or accounts other than accrued and prepaid tax accounts.
4. List the aggregate of each kind of tax in such manner that the total tax for each State and subdivision can readily be ascertained.

DISTRIBUTION OF TAXES CHARGED (Show utility department where applicable and account charged.)

Line No.	Electric (Account 408.1, 409.1) (i)	Gas (Account 408.1, 409.1) (j)	Other Utility Dept. (Account 408.1, 409.1) (k)	Other Income and Deductions (Account 408.2, 409.2) (l)
1				
2				
3	(16,519,920)	(9,527,616)		(9,705,747)
4	9,869,333	2,374,252		679,255
5	162,345	43,328		
6	11,973	2,657		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11	1,155,613	533,713		913,415
12	17,561	7,213		
13	5,765	(6)		
14	390,809			
15	69,921,691	24,299,520		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20	68,664,913	21,507,846		43,901
21	1,057,574	(664)		
22	3,933,158			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
	TOTAL 138,670,815	39,240,243		(8,069,176)

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 262 Line No.: 3 Column: f

Other

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Liabilities (Account 242)

1. Describe and report the amount of other current and accrued liabilities at the end of year.
2. Minor items (less than $250,000) may be grouped under appropriate title.

Line No.	Item (a)	Balance at End of Year (b)
1	Vacation Entitlement Reserve	5,739,735
2	Deferred Revenue - Unbilled Fuel	2,141,677
3	Retirement Bank Accrual	2,986,943
4	Provision for Incentive Benefits Program	2,348,170
5	FAS 158 Liabilities	2,817,944
6	Wages Payable Accrual	1,558,949
7	Deferred Revenue Payable - Other	261,095
8	Severance Reserve/Accrual	1,748,942
9	Collateral Liabilities	283,610
10	Employee Other Insurance Deductions	43,492
11	Accrued Liabilities - MW Joint Owner	6,693,651
12	Contract Retentions	246,156
13	Accrued Liability - Uncollectible Rider	7,366,614
14	Accrued Liability - Alternative Energy Rider	812,865
15	MISO MTEP Short Term Accruals	2,900,000
16	Other	383,283
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45	**Total**	**38,333,126**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Other Deferred Credits (Account 253)

1. Report below the details called for concerning other deferred credits.
2. For any deferred credit being amortized, show the period of amortization.
3. Minor items (less than $250,000) may be grouped by classes.

Line No.	Description of Other Deferred Credits (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Debit Contra Account (c)	Debit Amount (d)	Credits (e)	Balance at End of Year (f)
1	Customer Choice Program - Deposit	300,000			300,000	600,000
2						
3	Gas Refund and Recon. Adj.					
4	- Due Customers	417,726	various	695,960	1,177,831	899,597
5						
6	Other Non Current Liability					
7	- Power Trading Purch. Acctg.	30	555	30		
8						
9	Employee Postretirement Benefit					
10	Cost - DP&L	3,664,088	various	535,817	383,405	3,511,676
11						
12	Postretirement Benefits Health					
13	Care DP&L/CSP Share	(9,346,493)	various	1,054,230	67,216	(10,333,507)
14						
15	Pension Cost Adj.					
16	- DP&L/CSP Share	21,705,426	various	9,792,571	11,820,795	23,733,650
17						
18	Bankruptcy Settlement Reserve	2,243,052	various	1,722,887	400,000	920,165
19						
20	Pension Cost Adj. - FAS 106	15,654,772	various	23,097,818	22,155,596	14,712,550
21						
22	SmartGrid Reserve	5,244,949	various	3,167,906	8,450,459	10,527,502
23						
24	Deferred Credit Affiliate					
25	- Gain on Sale of I/C Inventory	1,472,284	411	509,527	18,060	980,817
26						
27	Misc. Deferred Credits	(92,739)	146,514	114,548	125,860	(81,427)
28						
29	MISO MTEP Accrual	76,277,107	various	8,237,872	4,539,801	72,579,036
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45	**Total**	**117,540,202**		**48,929,166**	**49,439,023**	**118,050,059**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes-Other Property (Account 282)

1. Report the information called for below concerning the respondent's accounting for deferred income taxes relating to property not subject to accelerated amortization.
2. At Other (Specify), include deferrals relating to other income and deductions.

Line No.	Account Subdivisions (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Amounts Debited to Account 410.1 (c)	Amounts Credited to Account 411.1 (d)
1	Account 282			
2	Electric	480,061,473	90,155,742	60,482,147
3	Gas	262,628,429	57,835,945	22,936,693
4	Other (Define) (footnote details)			
5	Total (Enter Total of lines 2 thru 4)	742,689,902	147,991,687	83,418,840
6	Other (Specify) (footnote details)	550,115,859	91,730,421	78,031,903
7	TOTAL Account 282 (Enter Total of lines 5 thr	1,292,805,761	239,722,108	161,450,743
8	Classification of TOTAL			
9	Federal Income Tax	1,269,405,977	230,167,259	148,696,678
10	State Income Tax	23,399,784	9,554,849	12,754,065
11	Local Income Tax			

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes-Other Property (Account 282) (continued)

3. Provide in a footnote a summary of the type and amount of deferred income taxes reported in the beginning-of-year and end-of-year balances for deferred income taxes that the respondent estimates could be included in the development of jurisdictional recourse rates.

Line No.	Changes during Year Amounts Debited to Account 410.2 (e)	Changes during Year Amounts Credited to Account 411.2 (f)	Adjustments Debits Acct. No. (g)	Adjustments Debits Amount (h)	Adjustments Credits Account No. (i)	Adjustments Credits Amount (j)	Balance at End of Year (k)
1							
2					Footnote	7,494,311	502,240,757
3					Footnote	2,124,628	295,403,053
4							
5						9,618,939	797,643,810
6	2,091,775	136,256			Footnote	(12,916,446)	578,686,342
7	2,091,775	136,256				(3,297,507)	1,376,330,152
8							
9	2,055,473	135,709				(701,812)	1,353,498,134
10	36,302	547				(2,595,695)	22,832,018
11							

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 274 Line No.: 6 Column: b

	Beginning Balance
Property, Plant & Equipment - ARAM	411,441,618
Property, Plant & Equipment - Repairs	96,317,072
Property, Plant & Equipment - DTL	72,391,628
Depreciation	24,908,653
Book Capitalized Interest - FAS 34	5,472,458
Casualty Loss	3,525,213
Self-Developed Software	2,609,750
263A Adjustment	1,555,714
Tax Interest Capitalized	(6,400,248)
Impairment of Plant Assets	(57,601,570)
Other	(4,104,429)
	550,115,859

Schedule Page: 274 Line No.: 6 Column: k

	Ending Balance
Property, Plant & Equipment - ARAM	422,811,431
Property, Plant & Equipment - Repairs	112,973,968
Property, Plant & Equipment - DTL	71,662,086
Depreciation	17,718,574
Book Capitalized Interest - FAS 34	6,158,351
Casualty Loss	3,525,213
Self-Developed Software	2,609,750
263A Adjustment	5,382,947
AFUDC Interest	2,164,601
Tax Interest Capitalized	(6,956,076)
Impairment of Plant Assets	(57,217,721)
Other	(2,146,782)
	578,686,342

Schedule Page: 274 Line No.: 2 Column: i

Adjustments include transactions with Account Group 182 and reclassifications between Electric - Utility and Other.

Schedule Page: 274 Line No.: 3 Column: i

Adjustments include transactions with Account Group 182 and reclassifications between Gas - Utility and Other.

Schedule Page: 274 Line No.: 6 Column: i

Reclassifications between Electric - Utility and Other and Gas - Utility and Other.

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes-Other (Account 283)

1. Report the information called for below concerning the respondent's accounting for deferred income taxes relating to amounts recorded in Account 283.
2. At Other (Specify), include deferrals relating to other income and deductions.

Line No.	Account Subdivisions (a)	Balance at Beginning of Year (b)	Changes During Year Amounts Debited to Account 410.1 (c)	Changes During Year Amounts Credited to Account 411.1 (d)
1	Account 283			
2	Electric	98,269,541	38,011,539	40,278,776
3	Gas	64,756,573	21,026,846	3,130,792
4	Other (Define) (footnote details)			
5	Total (Total of lines 2 thru 4)	163,026,114	59,038,385	43,409,568
6	Other (Specify) (footnote details)	93,596,871	11,055,115	35,242,677
7	TOTAL Account 283 (Total of lines 5 thru	256,622,985	70,093,500	78,652,245
8	Classification of TOTAL			
9	Federal Income Tax	249,360,555	68,817,810	77,214,248
10	State Income Tax	7,262,430	1,275,690	1,437,997
11	Local Income Tax			

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes-Other (Account 283) (continued)

3. Provide in a footnote a summary of the type and amount of deferred income taxes reported in the beginning-of-year and end-of-year balances for deferred income taxes that the respondent estimates could be included in the development of jurisdictional recourse rates.

Line No.	Changes during Year Amounts Debited to Account 410.2 (e)	Changes during Year Amounts Credited to Account 411.2 (f)	Adjustments Debits Acct. No. (g)	Adjustments Debits Amount (h)	Adjustments Credits Account No. (i)	Adjustments Credits Amount (j)	Balance at End of Year (k)
1							
2					Footnote	26,392,774	69,609,530
3					190	17,662,458	64,990,169
4							
5						44,055,232	134,599,699
6	23,023	15,030			Footnote	624,411	68,792,891
7	23,023	15,030				44,679,643	203,392,590
8							
9	22,602	14,755				43,963,645	197,008,319
10	421	275				715,998	6,384,271
11							

Schedule Page: 276 Line No.: 6 Column: b

	Beginning Balance
Emissions Allowance Trading	43,641,559
RSP Costs Capitalization	39,143,238
Deferred Revenue	3,007,946
Deferred Pipeline Installation Costs	2,959,479
Other	4,844,649
	93,596,871

Schedule Page: 276 Line No.: 6 Column: k

	Ending Balance
Emissions Allowance Trading	43,640,774
RSP Costs Capitalization	36,715,133
Deferred Pipeline Installation Costs	3,562,368
Retirement Plan Expense	(14,931,681)
Other	(193,703)
	68,792,891

Schedule Page: 276 Line No.: 2 Column: i

Adjustments include:
- Transactions with Account Group 190
- Reclassifications between Electric - Utility and Other.

Schedule Page: 276 Line No.: 6 Column: i

Reclassifications between Electric - Utility and Other.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Other Regulatory Liabilities (Account 254)

1. Report below the details called for concerning other regulatory liabilities which are created through the ratemaking actions of regulatory agencies (and not includable in other amounts).
2. For regulatory liabilities being amortized, show period of amortization in column (a).
3. Minor items (5% of the Balance at End of Year for Account 254 or amounts less than $250,000, whichever is less) may be grouped by classes.
4. Provide in a footnote, for each line item, the regulatory citation where the respondent was directed to refund the regulatory liability (e.g. Commission Order, state commission order, court decision).

Line No.	Description and Purpose of Other Regulatory Liabilities (a)	Balance at Beginning of Current Quarter/Year (b)	Written off during Quarter/Period Account Credited (c)	Written off During Period Amount Refunded (d)	Written off During Period Amount Deemed Non-Refundable (e)	Credits (f)	Balance at End of Current Quarter/Year (g)
1	Income Taxes	3,224,080	Various	554,463			2,669,617
2							
3	Save-A-Watt Regulatory Liability	10,797,400	456	8,904,773		7,024,351	8,916,978
4	(Amortized in accordance with rider revenue)						
5							
6	Bad Debt Expense Over Collection	6,184,065	407.3 /	7,543,188		2,420,331	1,061,208
7			182.3				
8							
9	Load Factor Adjustment Deferral		456	454,281		1,623,318	1,169,037
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
40							
41							
42							
43							
44							
45	**Total**	**20,205,545**		**17,456,705**	**0**	**11,068,000**	**13,816,840**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Monthly Quantity & Revenue Data by Rate Schedule

1. Reference to account numbers in the USofA is provided in parentheses beside applicable data. Quantities must not be adjusted for discounts.
2. Total Quantities and Revenues in whole numbers
3. Report revenues and quantities of gas by rate schedule. Where transportation services are bundled with storage services, reflect only transportation Dth. When reporting storage, report Dth of gas withdrawn from storage and revenues by rate schedule.
4. Revenues in Column (c) include transition costs from upstream pipelines. Revenue (Other) in Column (e) includes reservation charges received by the pipeline plus usage charges, less revenues reflected in Columns (c) and (d). Include in Column (e), revenue for Accounts 490-495.
5. Enter footnotes as appropriate.

Line No.	Item (a)	Month 1 Quantity (b)	Month 1 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (c)	Month 1 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (d)	Month 1 Revenue (Other) (e)	Month 1 Revenue (Total) (f)
1	Total Sales (480-488)	1,319,795			18,537,627	18,537,627
2	Transportation of Gas for Others (489.2 and 489..3)					
3	Rate - Case #PRO8-27				3,511	3,511
4	Rate FT	1,658,301			11,251,711	11,251,711
5	Rate IT	1,620,073			1,229,955	1,229,955
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Monthly Quantity & Revenue Data by Rate Schedule

1. Reference to account numbers in the USofA is provided in parentheses beside applicable data. Quantities must not be adjusted for discounts.
2. Total Quantities and Revenues in whole numbers
3. Report revenues and quantities of gas by rate schedule. Where transportation services are bundled with storage services, reflect only transportation Dth. When reporting storage, report Dth of gas withdrawn from storage and revenues by rate schedule.
4. Revenues in Column (c) include transition costs from upstream pipelines. Revenue (Other) in Column (e) includes reservation charges received by the pipeline plus usage charges, less revenues reflected in Columns (c) and (d). Include in Column (e), revenue for Accounts 490-495.
5. Enter footnotes as appropriate.

Line No.	Month 2 Quantity (g)	Month 2 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (h)	Month 2 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (i)	Month 2 Revenue (Other) (j)	Month 2 Revenue (Total) (k)	Month 3 Quantity (l)	Month 3 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (m)	Month 3 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (n)	Month 3 Revenue (Other) (o)	Month 3 Revenue (Total) (p)
1	2,716,253			24,910,739	24,910,739	2,627,931			24,368,997	24,368,997
2										
3				7,335	7,335				21,211	21,211
4	3,436,830			13,856,817	13,856,817	4,572,045			14,808,899	14,808,899
5	1,801,770			1,393,988	1,393,988	1,768,729			1,340,034	1,340,034
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28										
29										
30										
31										
32										
33										
34										
35										
36										
37										
38										
39										
40										
41										
42										
43										
44										
45										

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Monthly Quantity & Revenue Data by Rate Schedule (continued)

Line No.	Item (a)	Month 1 Quantity (b)	Month 1 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (c)	Month 1 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (d)	Month 1 Revenue (Other) (e)	Month 1 Revenue (Total) (f)
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58						
59						
60						
61						
62						
63	Total Transportation (Other than Gathering)	3,278,374			12,485,177	12,485,177
64	Storage (489.4)					
65						
66						
67						
68						
69						
70						
71						
72						
73						
74						
75						
76						
77						
78						
79						
80						
81						
82						
83						
84						
85						
86						
87						
88						
89						
90	Total Storage					
91	Gathering (489.1)					
92	Gathering-Firm					
93	Gathering-Interruptible					
94	Total Gathering (489.1)					
95	Additional Revenues					
96	Products Sales and Extraction (490-492)					
97	Rents (493-494)				172,724	172,724
98	Other Gas Revenues (495)				113	113
99	(Less) Provision for Rate Refunds					

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Monthly Quantity & Revenue Data by Rate Schedule (continued)

Line No.	Month 2 Quantity (g)	Month 2 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (h)	Month 2 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (i)	Month 2 Revenue (Other) (j)	Month 2 Revenue (Total) (k)	Month 3 Quantity (l)	Month 3 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (m)	Month 3 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (n)	Month 3 Revenue (Other) (o)	Month 3 Revenue (Total) (p)
48										
49										
50										
51										
52										
53										
54										
55										
56										
57										
58										
59										
60										
61										
62										
63	5,238,600			15,258,140	15,258,140	6,340,774			16,170,144	16,170,144
64										
65										
66										
67										
68										
69										
70										
71										
72										
73										
74										
75										
76										
77										
78										
79										
80										
81										
82										
83										
84										
85										
86										
87										
88										
89										
90										
91										
92										
93										
94										
95										
96										
97				278,659	278,659				278,659	278,659
98				4,110	4,110				15	15
99										

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Monthly Quantity & Revenue Data by Rate Schedule (continued)

Line No.	Item	Month 1 Quantity	Month 1 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay	Month 1 Revenue (GRI & ACA)	Month 1 Revenue (Other)	Month 1 Revenue (Total)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
100	Total Additional Revenues				172,837	172,837
101	Total Operating Revenues (Total of Lines 1,63,90,94 & 100)	4,598,169			31,195,641	31,195,641

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Monthly Quantity & Revenue Data by Rate Schedule (continued)

Line No.	Month 2 Quantity (g)	Month 2 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (h)	Month 2 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (i)	Month 2 Revenue (Other) (j)	Month 2 Revenue (Total) (k)	Month 3 Quantity (l)	Month 3 Revenue Costs and Take-or-Pay (m)	Month 3 Revenue (GRI & ACA) (n)	Month 3 Revenue (Other) (o)	Month 3 Revenue (Total) (p)
100				282,769	282,769				278,674	278,674
101	7,954,853			40,451,648	40,451,648	8,968,705			40,817,815	40,817,815

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operating Revenues

1. Report below natural gas operating revenues for each prescribed account total. The amounts must be consistent with the detailed data on succeeding pages.
2. Revenues in columns (b) and (c) include transition costs from upstream pipelines.
3. Other Revenues in columns (f) and (g) include reservation charges received by the pipeline plus usage charges, less revenues reflected in columns (b) through (e). Include in columns (f) and (g) revenues for Accounts 480-495.

Line No.	Title of Account (a)	Revenues for Transition Costs and Take-or-Pay Amount for Current Year (b)	Revenues for Transition Costs and Take-or-Pay Amount for Previous Year (c)	Revenues for GRI and ACA Amount for Current Year (d)	Revenues for GRI and ACA Amount for Previous Year (e)
1	480 Residential Sales				
2	481 Commercial and Industrial Sales				
3	482 Other Sales to Public Authorities				
4	483 Sales for Resale				
5	484 Interdepartmental Sales				
6	485 Intracompany Transfers				
7	487 Forfeited Discounts				
8	488 Miscellaneous Service Revenues				
9	489.1 Revenues from Transportation of Gas of Others Through Gathering Facilities				
10	489.2 Revenues from Transportation of Gas of Others Through Transmission Facilities				
11	489.3 Revenues from Transportation of Gas of Others Through Distribution Facilities				
12	489.4 Revenues from Storing Gas of Others				
13	490 Sales of Prod. Ext. from Natural Gas				
14	491 Revenues from Natural Gas Proc. by Others				
15	492 Incidental Gasoline and Oil Sales				
16	493 Rent from Gas Property				
17	494 Interdepartmental Rents				
18	495 Other Gas Revenues				
19	Subtotal:				
20	496 (Less) Provision for Rate Refunds				
21	TOTAL:				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operating Revenues

4. If increases or decreases from previous year are not derived from previously reported figures, explain any inconsistencies in a footnote.
5. On Page 108, include information on major changes during the year, new service, and important rate increases or decreases.
6. Report the revenue from transportation services that are bundled with storage services as transportation service revenue.

Line No.	Other Revenues Amount for Current Year (f)	Other Revenues Amount for Previous Year (g)	Total Operating Revenues Amount for Current Year (h)	Total Operating Revenues Amount for Previous Year (i)	Dekatherm of Natural Gas Amount for Current Year (j)	Dekatherm of Natural Gas Amount for Previous Year (k)
1	175,961,622	226,541,132	175,961,621	226,541,132	14,699,054	19,028,759
2	60,310,289	80,438,529	60,310,289	80,438,529	5,978,944	7,654,356
3	3,725,349	4,727,269	3,725,349	4,727,269	425,489	496,531
4						
5	336,458	392,512	336,458	392,512	78,650	80,953
6						
7						
8	363,654	304,948	363,654	304,948		
9						
10						
11	139,068,510	130,892,234	139,068,510	130,892,234	45,482,374	43,393,899
12						
13						
14						
15						
16	2,284,558	2,279,067	2,284,558	2,279,067		
17						
18	7,203	78,899	7,203	78,899		
19	382,057,643	445,654,590	382,057,642	445,654,590		
20						
21	382,057,643	445,654,590	382,057,642	445,654,590		

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Other Gas Revenues (Account 495)

Report below transactions of $250,000 or more included in Account 495, Other Gas Revenues. Group all transactions below $250,000 in one amount and provide the number of items.

Line No.	Description of Transaction (a)	Amount (in dollars) (b)
1	Commissions on Sale or Distribution of Gas of Others	
2	Compensation for Minor or Incidental Services Provided for Others	
3	Profit or Loss on Sale of Material and Supplies not Ordinarily Purchased for Resale	
4	Sales of Stream, Water, or Electricity, including Sales or Transfers to Other Departments	
5	Miscellaneous Royalties	
6	Revenues from Dehydration and Other Processing of Gas of Others except as provided for in the Instructions to Account 495	
7	Revenues for Right and/or Benefits Received from Others which are Realized Through Research, Development, and Demonstration Ventures	
8	Gains on Settlements of Imbalance Receivables and Payables	
9	Revenues from Penalties earned Pursuant to Tariff Provisions, including Penalties Associated with Cash-out Settlements	
10	Revenues from Shipper Supplied Gas	
11	Other revenues (Specify):	
12	Items under the Threshold	7,203
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
	Total	**7,203**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
1	1. PRODUCTION EXPENSES		
2	A. Manufactured Gas Production		
3	Manufactured Gas Production (Submit Supplemental Statement)	1,280,146	1,679,784
4	B. Natural Gas Production		
5	B1. Natural Gas Production and Gathering		
6	Operation		
7	750 Operation Supervision and Engineering	0	0
8	751 Production Maps and Records	0	0
9	752 Gas Well Expenses	0	0
10	753 Field Lines Expenses	0	0
11	754 Field Compressor Station Expenses	0	0
12	755 Field Compressor Station Fuel and Power	0	0
13	756 Field Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	0	0
14	757 Purification Expenses	0	0
15	758 Gas Well Royalties	0	0
16	759 Other Expenses	0	0
17	760 Rents	0	0
18	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 7 thru 17)	0	0
19	Maintenance		
20	761 Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	0	0
21	762 Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	0	0
22	763 Maintenance of Producing Gas Wells	0	0
23	764 Maintenance of Field Lines	0	0
24	765 Maintenance of Field Compressor Station Equipment	0	0
25	766 Maintenance of Field Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	0	0
26	767 Maintenance of Purification Equipment	0	0
27	768 Maintenance of Drilling and Cleaning Equipment	0	0
28	769 Maintenance of Other Equipment	0	0
29	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 20 thru 28)	0	0
30	TOTAL Natural Gas Production and Gathering (Total of lines 18 and 29)	0	0

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
31	B2. Products Extraction		
32	Operation		
33	770 Operation Supervision and Engineering	0	0
34	771 Operation Labor	0	0
35	772 Gas Shrinkage	0	0
36	773 Fuel	0	0
37	774 Power	0	0
38	775 Materials	0	0
39	776 Operation Supplies and Expenses	0	0
40	777 Gas Processed by Others	0	0
41	778 Royalties on Products Extracted	0	0
42	779 Marketing Expenses	0	0
43	780 Products Purchased for Resale	0	0
44	781 Variation in Products Inventory	0	0
45	(Less) 782 Extracted Products Used by the Utility-Credit	0	0
46	783 Rents	0	0
47	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 33 thru 46)	0	0
48	Maintenance		
49	784 Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	0	0
50	785 Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	0	0
51	786 Maintenance of Extraction and Refining Equipment	0	0
52	787 Maintenance of Pipe Lines	0	0
53	788 Maintenance of Extracted Products Storage Equipment	0	0
54	789 Maintenance of Compressor Equipment	0	0
55	790 Maintenance of Gas Measuring and Regulating Equipment	0	0
56	791 Maintenance of Other Equipment	0	0
57	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 49 thru 56)	0	0
58	TOTAL Products Extraction (Total of lines 47 and 57)	0	0

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
59	C. Exploration and Development		
60	Operation		
61	795 Delay Rentals	0	0
62	796 Nonproductive Well Drilling	0	0
63	797 Abandoned Leases	0	0
64	798 Other Exploration	0	0
65	TOTAL Exploration and Development (Total of lines 61 thru 64)	0	0
66	D. Other Gas Supply Expenses		
67	Operation		
68	800 Natural Gas Well Head Purchases	0	0
69	800.1 Natural Gas Well Head Purchases, Intracompany Transfers	0	0
70	801 Natural Gas Field Line Purchases	121,875,374	176,226,395
71	802 Natural Gas Gasoline Plant Outlet Purchases	0	0
72	803 Natural Gas Transmission Line Purchases	0	0
73	804 Natural Gas City Gate Purchases	0	0
74	804.1 Liquefied Natural Gas Purchases	0	0
75	805 Other Gas Purchases	(17,262,086)	(17,430,051)
76	(Less) 805.1 Purchases Gas Cost Adjustments	0	0
77	TOTAL Purchased Gas (Total of lines 68 thru 76)	104,613,288	158,796,344
78	806 Exchange Gas	864,162	(3,656,228)
79	Purchased Gas Expenses		
80	807.1 Well Expense-Purchased Gas	0	0
81	807.2 Operation of Purchased Gas Measuring Stations	791,399	606,045
82	807.3 Maintenance of Purchased Gas Measuring Stations	294,488	200,589
83	807.4 Purchased Gas Calculations Expenses	0	0
84	807.5 Other Purchased Gas Expenses	277,507	406,504
85	TOTAL Purchased Gas Expenses (Total of lines 80 thru 84)	1,363,394	1,213,138

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
86	808.1 Gas Withdrawn from Storage-Debit	0	0
87	(Less) 808.2 Gas Delivered to Storage-Credit	0	0
88	809.1 Withdrawals of Liquefied Natural Gas for Processing-Debit	0	0
89	(Less) 809.2 Deliveries of Natural Gas for Processing-Credit	0	0
90	Gas used in Utility Operation-Credit		
91	810 Gas Used for Compressor Station Fuel-Credit	0	0
92	811 Gas Used for Products Extraction-Credit	0	0
93	812 Gas Used for Other Utility Operations-Credit	0	0
94	TOTAL Gas Used in Utility Operations-Credit (Total of lines 91 thru 93)	0	0
95	813 Other Gas Supply Expenses	0	0
96	TOTAL Other Gas Supply Exp. (Total of lines 77,78,85,86 thru 89,94,95)	106,840,844	156,353,254
97	TOTAL Production Expenses (Total of lines 3, 30, 58, 65, and 96)	108,120,990	158,033,038
98	2. NATURAL GAS STORAGE, TERMINALING AND PROCESSING EXPENSES		
99	A. Underground Storage Expenses		
100	Operation		
101	814 Operation Supervision and Engineering	0	0
102	815 Maps and Records	0	0
103	816 Wells Expenses	0	0
104	817 Lines Expense	0	0
105	818 Compressor Station Expenses	0	0
106	819 Compressor Station Fuel and Power	0	0
107	820 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	0	0
108	821 Purification Expenses	0	0
109	822 Exploration and Development	0	0
110	823 Gas Losses	0	0
111	824 Other Expenses	0	0
112	825 Storage Well Royalties	0	0
113	826 Rents	0	0
114	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines of 101 thru 113)	0	0

Name of Respondent Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	This Report Is: (1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr) / /	Year/Period of Report End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
115	Maintenance		
116	830 Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	0	0
117	831 Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	0	0
118	832 Maintenance of Reservoirs and Wells	0	0
119	833 Maintenance of Lines	0	0
120	834 Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	0	0
121	835 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	0	0
122	836 Maintenance of Purification Equipment	0	0
123	837 Maintenance of Other Equipment	0	0
124	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 116 thru 123)	0	0
125	TOTAL Underground Storage Expenses (Total of lines 114 and 124)	0	0
126	B. Other Storage Expenses		
127	Operation		
128	840 Operation Supervision and Engineering	0	0
129	841 Operation Labor and Expenses	0	0
130	842 Rents	0	0
131	842.1 Fuel	0	0
132	842.2 Power	0	0
133	842.3 Gas Losses	0	0
134	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 128 thru 133)	0	0
135	Maintenance		
136	843.1 Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	0	0
137	843.2 Maintenance of Structures	0	0
138	843.3 Maintenance of Gas Holders	0	0
139	843.4 Maintenance of Purification Equipment	0	0
140	843.5 Maintenance of Liquefaction Equipment	0	0
141	843.6 Maintenance of Vaporizing Equipment	0	0
142	843.7 Maintenance of Compressor Equipment	0	0
143	843.8 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Equipment	0	0
144	843.9 Maintenance of Other Equipment	0	0
145	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 136 thru 144)	0	0
146	TOTAL Other Storage Expenses (Total of lines 134 and 145)	0	0

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
147	C. Liquefied Natural Gas Terminaling and Processing Expenses		
148	Operation		
149	844.1 Operation Supervision and Engineering	0	0
150	844.2 LNG Processing Terminal Labor and Expenses	0	0
151	844.3 Liquefaction Processing Labor and Expenses	0	0
152	844.4 Liquefaction Transportation Labor and Expenses	0	0
153	844.5 Measuring and Regulating Labor and Expenses	0	0
154	844.6 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses	0	0
155	844.7 Communication System Expenses	0	0
156	844.8 System Control and Load Dispatching	0	0
157	845.1 Fuel	0	0
158	845.2 Power	0	0
159	845.3 Rents	0	0
160	845.4 Demurrage Charges	0	0
161	(less) 845.5 Wharfage Receipts-Credit	0	0
162	845.6 Processing Liquefied or Vaporized Gas by Others	0	0
163	846.1 Gas Losses	0	0
164	846.2 Other Expenses	0	0
165	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 149 thru 164)	0	0
166	Maintenance		
167	847.1 Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	0	0
168	847.2 Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	0	0
169	847.3 Maintenance of LNG Processing Terminal Equipment	0	0
170	847.4 Maintenance of LNG Transportation Equipment	0	0
171	847.5 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Equipment	0	0
172	847.6 Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	0	0
173	847.7 Maintenance of Communication Equipment	0	0
174	847.8 Maintenance of Other Equipment	0	0
175	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 167 thru 174)	0	0
176	TOTAL Liquefied Nat Gas Terminaling and Proc Exp (Total of lines 165 and 175)	0	0
177	TOTAL Natural Gas Storage (Total of lines 125, 146, and 176)	0	0

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
178	3. TRANSMISSION EXPENSES		
179	Operation		
180	850 Operation Supervision and Engineering	0	0
181	851 System Control and Load Dispatching	0	0
182	852 Communication System Expenses	0	0
183	853 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses	0	0
184	854 Gas for Compressor Station Fuel	0	0
185	855 Other Fuel and Power for Compressor Stations	0	0
186	856 Mains Expenses	0	0
187	857 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses	0	0
188	858 Transmission and Compression of Gas by Others	0	0
189	859 Other Expenses	365	443,405
190	860 Rents	0	0
191	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 180 thru 190)	365	443,405
192	Maintenance		
193	861 Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	0	0
194	862 Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	0	0
195	863 Maintenance of Mains	0	0
196	864 Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	0	0
197	865 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment	0	0
198	866 Maintenance of Communication Equipment	0	0
199	867 Maintenance of Other Equipment	0	0
200	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 193 thru 199)	0	0
201	TOTAL Transmission Expenses (Total of lines 191 and 200)	365	443,405
202	4. DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES		
203	Operation		
204	870 Operation Supervision and Engineering	43,729	77,174
205	871 Distribution Load Dispatching	606,058	596,303
206	872 Compressor Station Labor and Expenses	0	0
207	873 Compressor Station Fuel and Power	0	0

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
208	874 Mains and Services Expenses	7,455,861	8,092,269
209	875 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-General	72,254	115,387
210	876 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-Industrial	117,011	107,159
211	877 Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses-City Gas Check Station	0	0
212	878 Meter and House Regulator Expenses	1,395,958	2,060,071
213	879 Customer Installations Expenses	6,085,898	5,975,290
214	880 Other Expenses	2,918,366	2,724,475
215	881 Rents	0	14,240
216	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 204 thru 215)	18,695,135	19,762,368
217	Maintenance		
218	885 Maintenance Supervision and Engineering	196,508	163,514
219	886 Maintenance of Structures and Improvements	0	0
220	887 Maintenance of Mains	4,005,998	3,914,267
221	888 Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment	0	0
222	889 Maintenance of Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment-General	137,427	235,538
223	890 Maintenance of Meas. and Reg. Station Equipment-Industrial	10,646	13,278
224	891 Maintenance of Meas. and Reg. Station Equip-City Gate Check Station	0	0
225	892 Maintenance of Services	205,525	282,902
226	893 Maintenance of Meters and House Regulators	885,544	836,573
227	894 Maintenance of Other Equipment	294,669	136,056
228	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 218 thru 227)	5,736,317	5,582,128
229	TOTAL Distribution Expenses (Total of lines 216 and 228)	24,431,452	25,344,496
230	5. CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSES		
231	Operation		
232	901 Supervision	0	1,247
233	902 Meter Reading Expenses	2,410,525	2,858,069
234	903 Customer Records and Collection Expenses	12,239,300	15,253,164

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Operation and Maintenance Expenses(continued)

Line No.	Account (a)	Amount for Current Year (b)	Amount for Previous Year (c)
235	904 Uncollectible Accounts	12,138,752	26,940,511
236	905 Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Expenses	575	0
237	TOTAL Customer Accounts Expenses (Total of lines 232 thru 236)	26,789,152	45,052,991
238	6. CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATIONAL EXPENSES		
239	Operation		
240	907 Supervision	0	0
241	908 Customer Assistance Expenses	590,612	520,617
242	909 Informational and Instructional Expenses	38,171	11,698
243	910 Miscellaneous Customer Service and Informational Expenses	8,166,135	8,588,318
244	TOTAL Customer Service and Information Expenses (Total of lines 240 thru 243)	8,794,918	9,120,633
245	7. SALES EXPENSES		
246	Operation		
247	911 Supervision	(6,027)	10,248
248	912 Demonstrating and Selling Expenses	13,278	107
249	913 Advertising Expenses	136,913	220,496
250	916 Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	0	0
251	TOTAL Sales Expenses (Total of lines 247 thru 250)	144,164	230,851
252	8. ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES		
253	Operation		
254	920 Administrative and General Salaries	10,284,791	7,372,764
255	921 Office Supplies and Expenses	6,057,796	6,549,295
256	(Less) 922 Administrative Expenses Transferred-Credit	(194)	(433)
257	923 Outside Services Employed	4,974,493	4,987,137
258	924 Property Insurance	282,154	297,642
259	925 Injuries and Damages	1,142,072	1,553,257
260	926 Employee Pensions and Benefits	9,600,884	9,985,942
261	927 Franchise Requirements	0	0
262	928 Regulatory Commission Expenses	780,316	828,572
263	(Less) 929 Duplicate Charges-Credit	399,504	515,008
264	930.1General Advertising Expenses	18,539	16,946
265	930.2Miscellaneous General Expenses	2,313,515	2,009,536
266	931 Rents	3,166,974	2,982,141
267	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 254 thru 266)	38,222,224	36,068,657
268	Maintenance		
269	932 Maintenance of General Plant	291,157	841,498
270	TOTAL Administrative and General Expenses (Total of lines 267 and 269)	38,513,381	36,910,155
271	TOTAL Gas O&M Expenses (Total of lines 97,177,201,229,237,244,251, and 270)	206,794,422	275,135,569

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 317 Line No.: 3 Column: c

OPERATION:	**2011**
711 Gas Boiler Labor	$ 17,812
712 Other Power Expenses	14,781
717 Liquified Petroleum Gas Expense	365,996
728 Liquified Petroleum Gas	181,266
735 Misc. Production Expense	109,560
736 Gas Raw Material - Rents	614,092
Total Operation	**$1,303,507**
Maintenance:	
742 Production Equipment	376,277
Total Maintenance	**376,277**
Total Manufactured Gas Production	**$1,679,784**

Schedule Page: 317 Line No.: 3 Column: b

OPERATION:	**2012**
711 Gas Boiler Labor	$9,130
712 Other Power Expenses	7,956
717 Liquified Petroleum Gas Expense	123,970
728 Liquified Petroleum Gas	28,276
735 Misc. Production Expense	83,764
736 Gas Raw Material - Rents	614,092
Total Operation	**$867,188**
Maintenance:	
742 Production Equipment	412,958
Total Maintenance	**412,958**
Total Manufactured Gas Production	**$1,280,146**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Exchange and Imbalance Transactions

1. Report below details by zone and rate schedule concerning the gas quantities and related dollar amount of imbalances associated with system balancing and no-notice service. Also, report certificated natural gas exchange transactions during the year. Provide subtotals for imbalance and no-notice quantities for exchanges. If respondent does not have separate zones, provide totals by rate schedule. Minor exchange transactions (less than 100,000 Dth) may be grouped.

Line No.	Zone/Rate Schedule (a)	Gas Received from Others Amount (b)	Gas Received from Others Dth (c)	Gas Delivered to Others Amount (d)	Gas Delivered to Others Dth (e)
1	Texas Gas Transmission - Zone 4 NNS	616,385	205,380	616,385	205,380
2	Duke Energy Ohio Enhanced Firm Balancing Service	247,776	339,880	247,776	339,880
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	**Total**	**864,161**	**545,260**	**864,161**	**545,260**

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Miscellaneous General Expenses (Account 930.2)

1. Provide the information requested below on miscellaneous general expenses.
2. For Other Expenses, show the (a) purpose, (b) recipient and (c) amount of such items. List separately amounts of $250,000 or more however, amounts less than $250,000 may be grouped if the number of items of so grouped is shown.

Line No.	Description (a)	Amount (in dollars) (b)
1	Industry association dues.	100,768
2	Experimental and general research expenses.	
	a. Gas Research Institute (GRI)	
	b. Other	12,759
3	Publishing and distributing information and reports to stockholders, trustee, registrar, and transfer	
	agent fees and expenses, and other expenses of servicing outstanding securities of the respondent	
4	Other expenses	
5	Business and Service Company Support	2,101,038
6	Dues and Subscriptions to Various Organizations	49,769
7	Director's Fees and Expenses	37,695
8	Shareholder's Communications/Systems	10,982
9	Account Analysis Reconciliation Adjustments	504
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	**Total**	2,313,515

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization of Gas Plant (Accts 403, 404.1, 404.2, 404.3, 405) (Except Amortization of Acquisition Adjustments)

1. Report in Section A the amounts of depreciation expense, depletion and amortization for the accounts indicated and classified according to the plant functional groups shown.
2. Report in Section B, column (b) all depreciable or amortizable plant balances to which rates are applied and show a composite total. (If more desirable, report by plant account, subaccount or functional classifications other than those pre-printed in column (a). Indicate in a footnote the manner in which column (b) balances are

Section A. Summary of Depreciation, Depletion, and Amortization Charges

Line No.	Functional Classification (a)	Depreciation Expense (Account 403) (b)	Amortization Expense for Asset Retirement Costs (Account 403.1) (c)	Amortization and Depletion of Producing Natural Gas Land and Land Rights (Account 404.1) (d)	Amortization of Underground Storage Land and Land Rights (Account 404.2) (e)
1	Intangible plant				1,502,251
2	Production plant, manufactured gas	273,346			
3	Production and gathering plant, natural gas				
4	Products extraction plant				
5	Underground gas storage plant				
6	Other storage plant				
7	Base load LNG terminaling and processing plant				
8	Transmission plant				
9	Distribution plant	33,921,696			
10	General plant	2,057,782			645,686
11	Common plant-gas	1,588,062			1,465,086
12	TOTAL	37,840,886			3,613,023

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization of Gas Plant (Accts 403, 404.1, 404.2, 404.3, 405) (Except Amortization of Acquisition Adjustments) (continued)

obtained. If average balances are used, state the method of averaging used. For column (c) report available information for each plant functional classification listed in column (a). If composite depreciation accounting is used, report available information called for in columns (b) and (c) on this basis. Where the unit-of-production method is used to determine depreciation charges, show in a footnote any revisions made to estimated gas reserves.

3. If provisions for depreciation were made during the year in addition to depreciation provided by application of reported rates, state in a footnote the amounts and nature of the provisions and the plant items to which related.

Section A. Summary of Depreciation, Depletion, and Amortization Charges

Line No.	Amortization of Other Limited-term Gas Plant (Account 404.3) (f)	Amortization of Other Gas Plant (Account 405) (g)	Total (b to g) (h)	Functional Classification (a)
1			1,502,251	Intangible plant
2			273,346	Production plant, manufactured gas
3				Production and gathering plant, natural gas
4				Products extraction plant
5				Underground gas storage plant
6				Other storage plant
7				Base load LNG terminaling and processing plant
8				Transmission plant
9			33,921,696	Distribution plant
10			2,703,468	General plant
11			3,053,148	Common plant-gas
12			41,453,909	TOTAL

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization of Gas Plant (Accts 403, 404.1, 404.2, 404.3, 405) (Except Amortization of Acquisition Adjustments) (continued)

4. Add rows as necessary to completely report all data. Number the additional rows in sequence as 2.01, 2.02, 3.01, 3.02, etc.

Section B. Factors Used in Estimating Depreciation Charges

Line No.	Functional Classification (a)	Plant Bases (in thousands) (b)	Applied Depreciation or Amortization Rates (percent) (c)
1	Production and Gathering Plant		
2	Offshore (footnote details)		
3	Onshore (footnote details)	15,368	1.78
4	Underground Gas Storage Plant (footnote details)		
5	Transmission Plant		
6	Offshore (footnote details)		
7	Onshore (footnote details)		
8	General Plant (footnote details)	40,995	6.70
9	Distribution Plant	1,580,853	2.23
10	Intangible Plant	16,555	13.99
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Particulars Concerning Certain Income Deductions and Interest Charges Accounts

Report the information specified below, in the order given, for the respective income deduction and interest charges accounts.

(a) Miscellaneous Amortization (Account 425)-Describe the nature of items included in this account, the contra account charged, the total of amortization charges for the year, and the period of amortization.

(b) Miscellaneous Income Deductions-Report the nature, payee, and amount of other income deductions for the year as required by Accounts 426.1, Donations; 426.2, Life Insurance; 426.3, Penalties; 426.4, Expenditures for Certain Civic, Political and Related Activities; and 426.5, Other Deductions, of the Uniform System of Accounts. Amounts of less than $250,000 may be grouped by classes within the above accounts.

(c) Interest on Debt to Associated Companies (Account 430)-For each associated company that incurred interest on debt during the year, indicate the amount and interest rate respectively for (a) advances on notes, (b) advances on open account, (c) notes payable, (d) accounts payable, and (e) other debt, and total interest. Explain the nature of other debt on which interest was incurred during the year.

(d) Other Interest Expense (Account 431) - Report details including the amount and interest rate for other interest charges incurred during the year.

Line No.	Item (a)	Amount (b)
1	Account 425 - Miscellaneous Amortization	
2	Total Account 425	
3		
4	Account 426.1 - Donations	
5	Protect Your Vote Ohio	250,000
6	Economic Development	225,000
7	Customer Assistance Programs	197,315
8	World Business Chicago G-8 NATO	140,800
9	Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy	50,000
10	Items Under Threshold	61,864
11		
12	Account 426.2 - Life Insurance	
13		
14	Account 426.3 - Penalties	
15	FERC, RFC & SERC Settlement	148,204
16	Items Under Threshold	1,798
17		
18	Account 426.4 - Expenditures	
19	Civic, Political & Related Activities	2,625,868
20		
21	Account 426.5 - Other Deductions	
22	PP&E Impairment	2,348,090
23	Nuclear Study	2,012,278
24	Sale of A/R Fees	1,031,102
25	Coal Asset Transfer	912,817
26	Unrealized Mark to Market Loss Reserve	280,358
27	Gas Mark to Market Gain	(76,620)
28	Non-Regulatory Intercompany Gas Mark to Market Gain	(901,961)
29	Electricity Mark to Market Gain	(1,748,637)
30	Power Trading Mark to Market Gain	(12,566,866)
31	Items Under Threshold	90,312
32		
33	Total Account 426	(4,918,278)
34		
35		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Particulars Concerning Certain Income Deductions and Interest Charges Accounts (continued)

Line No.	Item (a)	Amount (b)
1	Account 430 - Interest on Debt to Associated Companies	
2	Money Pool - Duke Energy Ohio to Duke Energy Corporation	24,620
3	Money Pool - Duke Energy Ohio to Duke Energy Carolinas	7,370
4	Money Pool - Duke Energy Ohio to Duke Energy Kentucky	196
5	Total Account 430	32,186
6		
7	Account 431 - Other Interest Expense	
8	Capital Meter Lease Interest	1,403,448
9	Credit Facility	1,294,871
10	Customer Service Deposits @ 5% Annum	731,058
11	Interest - Assigned from Service Company	459,464
12	FIN 48	453,211
13	Property Tax Appeal	70,890
14	Deferred Compensation for Board of Directors	56,575
15	Gas Refund/Recon Adj. in Accordance with PUCO Rule 28	52,169
16	Certified Retail Energy Suppliers	(278,504)
17	Swap FV Adjustments	(1,305,170)
18	Swap Net Interest	(3,931,912)
19	Items Under Threshold	2,841
20	Total Account 431	(991,059)
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Regulatory Commission Expenses (Account 928)

1. Report below details of regulatory commission expenses incurred during the current year (or in previous years, if being amortized) relating to formal cases before a regulatory body, or cases in which such a body was a party.
2. In column (b) and (c), indicate whether the expenses were assessed by a regulatory body or were otherwise incurred by the utility.

Line No.	Description (Furnish name of regulatory commission or body, the docket number, and a description of the case.) (a)	Assessed by Regulatory Commission (b)	Expenses of Utility (c)	Total Expenses to Date (d)	Deferred in Account 182.3 at Beginning of Year (e)
1	Regulatory Commission Fees				
2	Gas Related				
3	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO)	534,291		534,291	
4	Ohio Consumers' Council	95,688		95,688	
5	PUCO - Division of Forecasting	53,337		53,337	
6					
7	Electric Related				
8	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	1,371,169		1,371,169	
9	Ohio Consumers' Council	245,569		245,569	
10	PUCO - Division of Forecasting	111,693		111,693	
11					
12	Midwest Independent System Operator (MISO)				
13	FERC Annual Assessment	34,513		34,513	
14					
15	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio				
16	Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR				
17	Request for Rate Increase - Gas		97,000	97,000	137,417
18					
19	Case No. 08-709-EL-AIR				
20	Request for Rate Increase - Electric		75,678	75,678	75,678
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	**Total**	2,446,260	172,678	2,618,938	213,095

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Regulatory Commission Expenses (Account 928)

3. Show in column (k) any expenses incurred in prior years that are being amortized. List in column (a) the period of amortization.
4. Identify separately all annual charge adjustments (ACA).
5. List in column (f), (g), and (h) expenses incurred during year which were charges currently to income, plant, or other accounts.
6. Minor items (less than $250,000) may be grouped.

Line No.	Expenses Incurred During Year Charged Currently To Department (f)	Expenses Incurred During Year Charged Currently To Account No. (g)	Expenses Incurred During Year Charged Currently To Amount (h)	Expenses Incurred During Year Deferred to Account 182.3 (i)	Amortized During Year Contra Account (j)	Amortized During Year Amount (k)	Deferred in Account 182.3 End of Year (l)
1							
2							
3	Gas	928	534,291				
4	Gas	928	95,688				
5	Gas	928	53,337				
6							
7							
8	Electric	928	1,371,169				
9	Electric	928	245,569				
10	Electric	928	111,693				
11							
12							
13	Electric	928	34,513				
14							
15							
16							
17	Gas	928	97,000			97,000	40,417
18							
19							
20	Electric	928	75,678			75,678	
21							
22							
23							
24							
25			2,618,938			172,678	40,417

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Employee Pensions and Benefits (Account 926)

1. Report below the items contained in Account 926, Employee Pensions and Benefits.

Line No.	Expense (a)	Amount (b)
1	Pensions – defined benefit plans	2,444,231
2	Pensions – other	865,121
3	Post-retirement benefits other than pensions (PBOP)	424,561
4	Post- employment benefit plans	134,207
5	Other (Specify)	
6	Medical and Dental	2,792,306
7	Vacation Carryover	(31,257)
8	Life Insurance	114,117
9	Service/Safety Awards	24,444
10	Other Work/Family Benefits/Tuition	20,253
11	MSA/DCSA Fees	
12	Benefits Distribution	2,348,547
13	Other	464,355
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
	Total	**9,600,885**

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 352 Line No.: 12 Column: a

Includes allocated benefits from Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Kentucky, and Service Company through a system generated journal entry that adds a loaded percentage for benefits to all labor charged to Duke Energy Ohio with an offset to the 926 account on the originating entity.

Schedule Page: 352 Line No.: 13 Column: a

Other expenses are primarily due to increase in executive savings plan and severance payouts.

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Distribution of Salaries and Wages

Report below the distribution of total salaries and wages for the year. Segregate amounts originally charged to clearing accounts to Utility Departments, Construction, Plant Removals and Other Accounts, and enter such amounts in the appropriate lines and columns provided. Salaries and wages billed to the Respondent by an affiliated company must be assigned to the particular operating function(s) relating to the expenses.

In determining this segregation of salaries and wages originally charged to clearing accounts, a method of approximation giving substantially correct results may be used. When reporting detail of other accounts, enter as many rows as necessary numbered sequentially starting with 75.01, 75.02, etc.

Line No.	Classification (a)	Direct Payroll Distribution (b)	Payroll Billed by Affiliated Companies (c)	Allocation of Payroll Charged for Clearing Accounts (d)	Total (e)
1	Electric				
2	Operation				
3	Production	21,352,485	3,005,480	21,123	24,379,088
4	Transmission	194,524	1,642,149	1,593	1,838,266
5	Distribution	5,374,078	9,394,413	12,807	14,781,298
6	Customer Accounts	3,353,696	10,971,467	12,423	14,337,586
7	Customer Service and Informational	212,365	2,855,054	2,660	3,070,079
8	Sales				
9	Administrative and General	1,718,146	55,383,346	49,519	57,151,011
10	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 3 thru 9)	32,205,294	83,251,909	100,125	115,557,328
11	Maintenance				
12	Production	24,038,616	367,184		24,405,800
13	Transmission	651,614	1,341,952		1,993,566
14	Distribution	7,065,780	6,337,083		13,402,863
15	Administrative and General	136,760	143,929		280,689
16	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 12 thru 15)	31,892,770	8,190,148		40,082,918
17	Total Operation and Maintenance				
18	Production (Total of lines 3 and 12)	45,391,101	3,372,664	21,123	48,784,888
19	Transmission (Total of lines 4 and 13)	846,138	2,984,101	1,593	3,831,832
20	Distribution (Total of lines 5 and 14)	12,439,858	15,731,496	12,807	28,184,161
21	Customer Accounts (line 6)	3,353,696	10,971,467	12,423	14,337,586
22	Customer Service and Informational (line 7)	212,365	2,855,054	2,660	3,070,079
23	Sales (line 8)				
24	Administrative and General (Total of lines 9 and 15)	1,854,906	55,527,275	49,519	57,431,700
25	TOTAL Operation and Maintenance (Total of lines 18 thru 24)	64,098,064	91,442,057	100,125	155,640,246
26	Gas				
27	Operation				
28	Production - Manufactured Gas	118,820	18,264	122	137,206
29	Production - Natural Gas(Including Exploration and Development)				
30	Other Gas Supply	34,869	766,007	712	801,588
31	Storage, LNG Terminaling and Processing				
32	Transmission				
33	Distribution	5,311,325	5,397,205	9,524	10,718,054
34	Customer Accounts	1,760,328	6,395,155	7,253	8,162,736
35	Customer Service and Informational	127,044	2,584,909	2,412	2,714,365
36	Sales				
37	Administrative and General	(59,831)	9,628,773	8,511	9,577,453
38	TOTAL Operation (Total of lines 28 thru 37)	7,292,555	24,790,313	28,534	32,111,402
39	Maintenance				
40	Production - Manufactured Gas	169,445	20,822		190,267
41	Production - Natural Gas(Including Exploration and Development)				
42	Other Gas Supply				
43	Storage, LNG Terminaling and Processing				
44	Transmission				
45	Distribution	1,430,599	1,054,049		2,484,648

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Distribution of Salaries and Wages (continued)

Line No.	Classification	Direct Payroll Distribution	Payroll Billed by Affiliated Companies	Allocation of Payroll Charged for Clearing Accounts	Total
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
46	Administrative and General		9,654		9,654
47	TOTAL Maintenance (Total of lines 40 thru 46)	1,600,044	1,084,525		2,684,569
48	Gas (Continued)				
49	Total Operation and Maintenance				
50	Production - Manufactured Gas (Total of lines 28 and 40)	288,265	39,086	122	327,473
51	Production - Natural Gas (Including Expl. and Dev.)(ll. 29 and 41)				
52	Other Gas Supply (Total of lines 30 and 42)	34,869	766,007	712	801,588
53	Storage, LNG Terminaling and Processing (Total of ll. 31 and 43)				
54	Transmission (Total of lines 32 and 44)				
55	Distribution (Total of lines 33 and 45)	6,741,924	6,451,254	9,524	13,202,702
56	Customer Accounts (Total of line 34)	1,760,328	6,395,155	7,253	8,162,736
57	Customer Service and Informational (Total of line 35)	127,044	2,584,909	2,412	2,714,365
58	Sales (Total of line 36)				
59	Administrative and General (Total of lines 37 and 46)	(59,831)	9,638,427	8,511	9,587,107
60	Total Operation and Maintenance (Total of lines 50 thru 59)	8,892,599	25,874,838	28,534	34,795,971
61	Other Utility Departments				
62	Operation and Maintenance				
63	TOTAL ALL Utility Dept. (Total of lines 25, 60, and 62)	72,990,663	117,316,895	128,659	190,436,217
64	Utility Plant				
65	Construction (By Utility Departments)				
66	Electric Plant	12,073,474	23,260,514	3,430,401	38,764,389
67	Gas Plant	6,775,918	8,691,608	329,037	15,796,563
68	Other				
69	TOTAL Construction (Total of lines 66 thru 68)	18,849,392	31,952,122	3,759,438	54,560,952
70	Plant Removal (By Utility Departments)				
71	Electric Plant	1,578,498	1,354,244		2,932,742
72	Gas Plant	721,331	142,743		864,074
73	Other				
74	TOTAL Plant Removal (Total of lines 71 thru 73)	2,299,829	1,496,987		3,796,816
75	Other Accounts (Specify) (footnote details)	2,591,915	5,787,903		8,379,818
76	TOTAL Other Accounts	2,591,915	5,787,903		8,379,818
77	TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES	96,731,799	156,553,907	3,888,097	257,173,803

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 354 Line No.: 75 Column: b

Projects for Duke Subsidiaries and Merchandising	$ 194,749
Other Work in Progress	($4,643,722)
Other Accounts	$7,040,888
Total Other Accounts Direct Payroll Distribution	($2,591,915)

Schedule Page: 354 Line No.: 75 Column: c

Projects for Duke Subsidiaries and Merchandising	$ 211,850
Other Work in Progress	$4,814,020
Other Accounts	$ 762,033
Total Other Accounts Billed by Affiliated Cos.	$5,787,903

Schedule Page: 354 Line No.: 75 Column: e

Projects for Duke Subsidiaries and Merchandising	$ 406,599
Other Work in Progress	$ 170,298
Other Accounts	$7,802,923
Total Other Accounts	$8,379,820

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Charges for Outside Professional and Other Consultative Services

1. Report the information specified below for all charges made during the year included in any account (including plant accounts) for outside consultative and other professional services. These services include rate, management, construction, engineering, research, financial, valuation, legal, accounting, purchasing, advertising,labor relations, and public relations, rendered for the respondent under written or oral arrangement, for which aggregate payments were made during the year to any corporation partnership, organization of any kind, or individual (other than for services as an employee or for payments made for medical and related services) amounting to more than $250,000, including payments for legislative services, except those which should be reported in Account 426.4 Expenditures for Certain Civic, Political and Related Activities.

(a) Name of person or organization rendering services.

(b) Total charges for the year.

2. Sum under a description "Other", all of the aforementioned services amounting to $250,000 or less.

3. Total under a description "Total", the total of all of the aforementioned services.

4. Charges for outside professional and other consultative services provided by associated (affiliated) companies should be excluded from this schedule and be reported on Page 358, according to the instructions for that schedule.

Line No.	Description (a)	Amount (in dollars) (b)
1	CONVERGYS INFORMATION MANAGEMENT - CONSULTANT - IT	2,797,500
2	WRIGHT & TALISMAN PC - LEGAL SERVICES - MIDWEST ISO	2,321,892
3	ACCENTURE LLP - CONSULTANT - FINANCE, IT	2,002,401
4	TENDRIL NETWORKS - CONSULTANT - ENERGY EFFICIENCY	1,908,531
5	MCGUIREWOODS LLP - LEGAL SERVICES - REGULATORY	1,619,240
6	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP - CONSULTANT - IT	1,176,337
7	CHARLES RIVER ASSOCIATES INTL - CONSULTANT - ELECTRICITY	1,053,074
8	PROCUREMENT AUCTION	
9	GENERAL ELECTRIC INTERNATIONAL INC - CONSULTANT - ENGINEERING	682,384
10	NALCO COMPANY - CONSULTANT - ENVIRONMENTAL	450,329
11	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP - LEGAL SERVICES - LITIGATION	303,996
12	OTHER	3,244,720
13		
14	TOTAL	17,560,404
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Transactions with Associated (Affiliated) Companies

1. Report below the information called for concerning all goods or services received from or provided to associated (affiliated) companies amounting to more than $250,000.
2. Sum under a description "Other", all of the aforementioned goods and services amounting to $250,000 or less.
3. Total under a description "Total", the total of all of the aforementioned goods and services.
4. Where amounts billed to or received from the associated (affiliated) company are based on an allocation process, explain in a footnote the basis of the allocation.

Line No.	Description of the Good or Service (a)	Name of Associated/Affiliated Company (b)	Account(s) Charged or Credited (c)	Amount Charged or Credited (d)
1	Goods or Services Provided by Affiliated Company			
2	Services provided by Duke Energy Business Services - (Service Company transactions)	Duke Energy Business Services, LLC	various	472,468,554
3	Services provided by Progress Energy Service Company - (Service Company transactions)	Progress Energy Service Company, LLC	various	6,064,001
4	Services provided by DE Commercial Enterprises for generation stations	Duke Energy Commercial Enterprises, Inc	various	19,414,670
5	DE Indiana employees provide O&M and capital services for the electric transmission & distribution systems	Duke Energy Indiana, Inc	various	2,733,848
6	DE Kentucky employees provide O&M and capital services for the gas distribution system	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	various	2,300,405
7	DE Kentucky employees provide O&M and capital services for the electric transmission & distribution systems	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	various	1,418,818
8	Other goods or services provided by Progress Energy Carolinas	Progress Energy Carolinas	various	241,725
9	DE Carolinas employees provide O&M and capital services for the electric transmission & distribution systems	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	various	237,809
10	Other goods or services provided by Duke Energy Kentucky	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	various	175,659
11	Other goods or services provided by Duke Energy Indiana	Duke Energy Indiana, Inc	various	149,144
12	Other goods or services provided by Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	various	79,335
13	Other goods or services provided by Progress Energy Floriida	Progress Energy Florida	various	12,174
14	Other goods or services provided by Duke Energy Commercial Enterprises	Duke Energy Commercial Enterprises, Inc	various	11,535
15				
16	Total			505,307,677
17				
18				
19				
20	Goods or Services Provided for Affiliated Company			
21	DE Ohio employees provide services to Duke Energy Business Services (Service Company)	Duke Energy Business Services, LLC	various	24,100,984
22	DE Ohio employees provide services for Miami Fort Unit 6 and Woodsdale generating stations	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	various	9,895,709
23	DE Ohio employees provide O&M and capital services to DE Kentucky for the electric transmission & distribution systems	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	various	4,868,278
24	DE Ohio employees provide O&M and capital services for the gas distribution system	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	various	1,973,110
25	DE Ohio employees provide O&M and capital services to DE Indiana for the electric transmission & distribution systems	Duke Energy Indiana, Inc	various	1,970,074
26	DE Ohio employees provide gas transmission services to KO Transmission	KO Transmission Company	various	150,713
27	Other goods or services provided by DE Ohio to Duke Energy One	Duke Energy One, Inc	various	127,108
28	Other goods or services provided by DE Ohio to Duke Energy Indiana	Duke Energy Indiana, Inc	various	97,172
29	Other goods or services provided by DE Ohio to Duke Energy Kentucky	Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	various	79,333
30	Other goods or services provided by DE Ohio to Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	various	42,254
31				

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Transactions with Associated (Affiliated) Companies (continued)

Line No.	Description of the Good or Service (a)	Name of Associated/Affiliated Company (b)	Account(s) Charged or Credited (c)	Amount Charged or Credited (d)
32	Total			43,304,735
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				

Schedule Page: 358 Line No.: 2 Column: a

When an employee of the Service Company performs services for a Client Company, costs will be directly assigned or distributed or allocated. For allocated services, the allocation method will be on a basis reasonably related to the service performed. The Service Company Utility Service Agreement prescribes 23 Service Company functions and approximately 20 allocation methods.

Functions and Allocation Methods:

Information Systems
- Number of Central Processing Unit Seconds Ratio
- Number of Personal Computer Workstations Ratio
- Number of Information Systems Servers Ratio
- Number of Employees Ratio
- Three Factor Formula

Meters
- Number of Customers Ratio

Transportation
- Number of Employees Ratio
- Three Factor Formula

Electric System Maintenance
- Circuit Miles of Electric Transmission Lines Ratio
- Circuit Miles of Electric Distribution Lines Ratio

Marketing and Customer Relations
- Sales Ratio
- Number of Customers Ratio

Electric Transmission & Distribution Engineering & Construction
- Electric Transmission Plant's Construction - Expenditures Ratio
- Electric Distribution Plant's Construction - Expenditures Ratio

Power Engineering & Construction
- Electric Production Plant's Construction - Expenditures Ratio

Human Resources
- Number of Employees Ratio

Materials Management
- Procurement Spending Ratio
- Inventory Ratio

Facilities
- Square Footage Ratio

Accounting
- Three Factor Formula
- Generating Unit MW Capability Ratio

Power Planning and Operations
- Electric Peak Load Ratio
- Weighted Avg of the Circuit Miles of Electric Distribution Lines Ratio and the Electric Peak Load Ratio
- Sales Ratio
- Weighted Avg of the Circuit Miles of Electric Transmission Lines Ratio and the Electric Peak Load Ratio
- Generating Unit MW Capability Ratio

Public Affairs
- Three Factor Formula
- Weighted Avg of Number of Customers Ratio and Number of Employees Ratio

Legal
- Three Factor Formula

Rates
- Sales Ratio

Finance
- Three Factor Formula

FOOTNOTE DATA

Rights of Way
- Circuit Miles of Electric Transmission Lines Ratio
- Circuit Miles of Electric Distribution Lines Ratio

Internal Auditing
- Three Factor Formula

Environmental, Health and Safety
- Three Factor Formula
- Sales Ratio

Fuels
- Sales Ratio

Investor Relations
- Three Factor Formula

Planning
- Three Factor Formula

Executive
- Three Factor Formula

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Auxiliary Peaking Facilities

1. Report below auxiliary facilities of the respondent for meeting seasonal peak demands on the respondent's system, such as underground storage projects, liquefied petroleum gas installations, gas liquefaction plants, oil gas sets, etc.

2. For column (c), for underground storage projects, report the delivery capacity on February 1 of the heating season overlapping the year-end for which this report is submitted. For other facilities, report the rated maximum daily delivery capacities.

3. For column (d), include or exclude (as appropriate) the cost of any plant used jointly with another facility on the basis of predominant use, unless the auxiliary peaking facility is a separate plant as contemplated by general instruction 12 of the Uniform System of Accounts.

Line No.	Location of Facility (a)	Type of Facility (b)	Maximum Daily Delivery Capacity of Facility Dth (c)	Cost of Facility (in dollars) (d)	Was Facility Operated on Day of Highest Transmission Peak Delivery?
1	Eastern Avenue - Ohio	Liquid Petroleum Gas Installation	63,700	11,121,003	**Yes**
2	Dicks Creek - Ohio	Liquid Petroleum Gas Installation	40,800	3,852,346	**No**
3	Erlanger KY - Owned by Duke KY	Liquid Petroleum Gas Installation	44,940	7,033,790	**Yes**
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					

Name of Respondent	This Report Is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year/Period of Report
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	(1) [X] An Original (2) [ ] A Resubmission	/ /	End of 2012/Q4

Gas Account - Natural Gas

1. The purpose of this schedule is to account for the quantity of natural gas received and delivered by the respondent.
2. Natural gas means either natural gas unmixed or any mixture of natural and manufactured gas.
3. Enter in column (c) the year to date Dth as reported in the schedules indicated for the items of receipts and deliveries.
4. Enter in column (d) the respective quarter's Dth as reported in the schedules indicated for the items of receipts and deliveries.
5. Indicate in a footnote the quantities of bundled sales and transportation gas and specify the line on which such quantities are listed.
6. If the respondent operates two or more systems which are not interconnected, submit separate pages for this purpose.
7. Indicate by footnote the quantities of gas not subject to Commission regulation which did not incur FERC regulatory costs by showing (1) the local distribution volumes another jurisdictional pipeline delivered to the local distribution company portion of the reporting pipeline (2) the quantities that the reporting pipeline transported or sold through its local distribution facilities or intrastate facilities and which the reporting pipeline received through gathering facilities or intrastate facilities, but not through any of the interstate portion of the reporting pipeline, and (3) the gathering line quantities that were not destined for interstate market or that were not transported through any interstate portion of the reporting pipeline.
8. Indicate in a footnote the specific gas purchase expense account(s) and related to which the aggregate volumes reported on line No. 3 relate.
9. Indicate in a footnote (1) the system supply quantities of gas that are stored by the reporting pipeline, during the reporting year and also reported as sales,transportation and compression volumes by the reporting pipeline during the same reporting year, (2) the system supply quantities of gas that are stored by the reporting pipeline during the reporting year which the reporting pipeline intends to sell or transport in a future reporting year, and (3) contract storage quantities.
10. Also indicate the volumes of pipeline production field sales that are included in both the company's total sales figure and the company's total transportation figure. Add additional information as necessary to the footnotes.

Line No.	Item (a)	Ref. Page No. of (FERC Form Nos. 2/2-A) (b)	Total Amount of Dth Year to Date (c)	Current Three Months Ended Amount of Dth Quarterly Only
01	Name of System:			
2	GAS RECEIVED			
3	Gas Purchases (Accounts 800-805)		22,743,526	
4	Gas of Others Received for Gathering (Account 489.1)	303		
5	Gas of Others Received for Transmission (Account 489.2)	305		
6	Gas of Others Received for Distribution (Account 489.3)	301	44,850,097	
7	Gas of Others Received for Contract Storage (Account 489.4)	307		
8	Gas of Others Received for Production/Extraction/Processing (Account 490 and 491)			
9	Exchanged Gas Received from Others (Account 806)	328	545,260	
10	Gas Received as Imbalances (Account 806)	328		
11	Receipts of Respondent's Gas Transported by Others (Account 858)	332		
12	Other Gas Withdrawn from Storage (Explain)			
13	Gas Received from Shippers as Compressor Station Fuel			
14	Gas Received from Shippers as Lost and Unaccounted for			
15	Other Receipts (Specify) (footnote details)		4,519	
16	Total Receipts (Total of lines 3 thru 15)		68,143,402	
17	GAS DELIVERED			
18	Gas Sales (Accounts 480-484)		20,636,876	
19	Deliveries of Gas Gathered for Others (Account 489.1)	303		
20	Deliveries of Gas Transported for Others (Account 489.2)	305		
21	Deliveries of Gas Distributed for Others (Account 489.3)	301	45,482,374	
22	Deliveries of Contract Storage Gas (Account 489.4)	307		
23	Gas of Others Delivered for Production/Extraction/Processing (Account 490 and 491)			
24	Exchange Gas Delivered to Others (Account 806)	328	545,260	
25	Gas Delivered as Imbalances (Account 806)	328		
26	Deliveries of Gas to Others for Transportation (Account 858)	332		
27	Other Gas Delivered to Storage (Explain)			
28	Gas Used for Compressor Station Fuel	509		
29	Other Deliveries and Gas Used for Other Operations		46,883	
30	Total Deliveries (Total of lines 18 thru 29)		66,711,393	
31	GAS LOSSES AND GAS UNACCOUNTED FOR			
32	Gas Losses and Gas Unaccounted For		1,432,009	
33	TOTALS			
34	Total Deliveries, Gas Losses & Unaccounted For (Total of lines 30 and 32)		68,143,402	

FOOTNOTE DATA

Schedule Page: 520 Line No.: 15 Column: c

Propane produced from peaking facilities, also includes inventory adjustment.

Schedule Page: 520 Line No.: 29 Column: c

Company Use.